



800 conics on a smooth quartic surface

Alex Degtyarev¹

Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 November 2021

Received in revised form 17

February 2022

Available online 10 March 2022

Communicated by I. Coskun

MSC:

Primary 14J28; secondary 14N25

Keywords:

K3-surface

Quartic surface

Conic

Leech lattice

ABSTRACT

We construct an example of a smooth spatial quartic surface that contains 800 irreducible conics.

© 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

This short note was motivated by Barth, Bauer [1], Bauer [2], and my recent paper [6]. Generalizing [2], define $N_{2n}(d)$ as the maximal number of smooth rational curves of degree d that can lie on a smooth degree $2n$ K3-surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. (All algebraic varieties considered in this note are over \mathbb{C} .) The bounds $N_{2n}(1)$ have a long history and currently are well known, whereas for $d = 2$ the only known value is $N_6(2) = 285$ (see [6]). In the most classical case $2n = 4$ (spatial quartics), the best known examples have 352 or 432 conics (see [1,2]), whereas the best known upper bound is 5016 (see [2], with a reference to S.A. Strømme).

For $d = 1$, the extremal configurations (for various values of n) tend to exhibit similar behaviour. Hence, contemplating the findings of [6], one may speculate that

- it is easier to count *all* conics, both irreducible and reducible, but
- nevertheless, in extremal configurations all conics are irreducible.

E-mail address: degt@fen.bilkent.edu.tr.

¹ The author was partially supported by the TÜBİTAK grant 118F413.

On the other hand, famous *Schur's quartic* (the one on which the maximum $N_4(1)$ is attained) has 720 conics (mostly reducible), suggesting that 432 should be far from the maximum $N_4(2)$. Therefore, in this note I suggest a very simple (although also implicit) construction of a smooth quartic with 800 irreducible conics.

Theorem 1.1 (see §3.3). *There exists a smooth quartic surface $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ containing 800 irreducible conics.*

The quartic X_4 is Kummer in the sense of [1,2]: it contains 16 disjoint conics. I conjecture that $N_4(2) = 800$ and, moreover, 800 is the sharp upper bound on the total number of conics (irreducible or reducible) on a smooth spatial quartic. This conjecture is substantiated by the following two facts:

- the configuration of conics on X_4 is the maximum that can be embedded, *via* the construction of [6] (see §3.1 *vs.* §3.2), to the Leech lattice;
- 800 is the maximal number of conics in the Barth–Bauer family (see [1]) of Kummer quartics (see [5] and Remark 1.2).

Remark 1.2. There has been a considerable development after this note appeared in the arXiv. X. Roulleau observed that, computing the projective automorphism group and using [3], X_4 in Theorem 1.1 must be given by the Mukai polynomial

$$z_0^4 + z_1^4 + z_2^4 + z_3^4 + 12z_0z_1z_2z_3 = 0,$$

even though only 320 conics were found in [3]. Then, B. Naskręcki [8] found explicit equations of all 800 conics. Finally, upon observing that X_4 has 16 pairwise disjoint conics, the same quartic was constructed in [5] within the Barth–Bauer family.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Sławomir Rams who introduced me to the subject and made me familiar with [1,2]. I extend my gratitude to Dino Festi, Bartosz Naskręcki, and Xavier Roulleau, who took active part in the study of X_4 , and to Matthias Schütt for a few helpful remarks.

2. The Leech lattice (see [4])

2.1. The Golay code

The (*extended binary*) *Golay code* is the only binary code of length 24, dimension 12, and minimal Hamming distance 8. We regard codewords as subsets of $\Omega := \{1, \dots, 24\}$ and denote this collection of subsets by \mathcal{C} ; clearly, $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^{12}$. The code \mathcal{C} is invariant under the complement $o \mapsto \Omega \setminus o$. Apart from \emptyset and Ω itself, it consists of 759 *octads* (codewords of length 8), 759 complements thereof, and 2576 *dodecads* (codewords of length 12).

The setwise stabilizer of \mathcal{C} in the full symmetric group $\mathbb{S}(\Omega)$ is the Mathieu group M_{24} of order 244823040; the actions of this group on Ω and \mathcal{C} are described in detail in §2 of [4, Chapter 10].

2.2. The square 4 vectors

The *Leech lattice* is the only root-free unimodular even positive definite lattice of rank 24. For the construction, consider the standard Euclidean lattice $E := \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}e_i$, $i \in \Omega$, and divide the form by 8, so

that $e_i^2 = 1/8$. (Thus, we avoid the factor $8^{-1/2}$ appearing throughout in [4].) Then, the Leech lattice is the sublattice $\Lambda \subset E$ spanned over \mathbb{Z} by the square 4 vectors of the form

$$(\mp 3, \pm 1^{23}) \quad (\text{the upper signs are taken on a codeword } o \in \mathcal{C}). \tag{2.1}$$

(We use the notation of [4]: a^m, b^n, \dots means that there are m coordinates equal to a , n coordinates equal to b , etc.) Apart from (2.1), the square 4 vectors in Λ are

$$(\pm 2^8, 0^{16}) \quad (\pm 2 \text{ are taken on an octad, the number of } + \text{ is even}), \text{ or} \tag{2.2}$$

$$(\pm 4^2, 0^{22}) \quad (\text{no further restrictions}). \tag{2.3}$$

Altogether, there are 196560 square 4 vectors: $24 \cdot |\mathcal{C}| = 98304$ vectors as in (2.1), $2^7 \cdot 759 = 97152$ vectors as in (2.2), and $2^2 \cdot C(24, 2) = 1104$ vectors as in (2.3).

3. The construction

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1.

3.1. The lattice S

Consider the lattice $V := \mathbb{Z}h + \mathbb{Z}a + \mathbb{Z}u_1 + \mathbb{Z}u_2 + \mathbb{Z}u_3$ with the Gram matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It can be shown that, up to $O(\Lambda)$, there is a unique primitive isometric embedding $V \rightarrow \Lambda$; however, for our example, we merely choose a particular model. Fix an ordered quintuple $Q := (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \subset \Omega$ and choose one of the four octads O such that $O \cap Q = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ (cf. *sextets* in §2.5 of [4, Chapter 10]); upon reordering Ω , we can assume that $O = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ (the underlined positions in the top row of Table 1). Then, the generators of V can be chosen as shown in the upper part of Table 1. (For better readability, we represent zeros by dots; all components beyond $\bar{O} := Q \cup O$ are zeros.)

The choice of Q and O is unique up to M_{24} ; furthermore, the subgroup $G \subset M_{24}$ stabilising Q pointwise and O as a set can be identified with the alternating group $\mathbb{A}(O \setminus Q)$; in particular, it acts simply transitively on the set of ordered pairs

Table 1
The lattice V and the conics.

#	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	3	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	
h	4	4
a	.	4	4
u_1	.	.	4	4
u_2	.	.	.	4	4
u_3	-2	2	.	-2	2	2	2	2	2	.
1	1	3	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1*	-1*	$\pm 1^{15}$
2	3	1	1	-1	1	1	1	-1*	-1*	$\pm 1^{15}$
3	2	2	$\pm 2^6, 0^9$
4	2	2	2*	-2*	$\pm 2^4, 0^{11}$
			fixed = Q			movable in $O \setminus Q$				

Table 2
The number of conics in S .

1:	$C(4, 2) \cdot \underline{16} = 96$	(codewords $o \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $o \cap \bar{O} = \{2, 3, 5, p, q\}$),
2:	$C(4, 2) \cdot \underline{16} = 96$	(codewords $o \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $o \cap \bar{O} = \{1, 4, p, q\}$),
3:	$2^5 \cdot \underline{10} = 320$	(octads $o \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $o \cap \bar{O} = \{1, 2\}$),
4:	$2^3 \cdot P(4, 2) \cdot \underline{3} = 288$	(octads $o \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $o \cap \bar{O} = \{1, 2, p, q\}$).

$$(p, q): \quad p, q \in O \setminus Q = \{6, 7, 8, 9\}, \quad p \neq q. \tag{3.1}$$

Define a *conic* as a square 4 vector $l \in \Lambda$ such that

$$l \cdot \bar{h} = 2, \quad l \cdot a = 1, \quad l \cdot u_1 = l \cdot u_2 = l \cdot u_3 = 0.$$

This strange condition can be recast as follows: $l \cdot \bar{h} = 2$ and l (as well as \bar{h}) lies in the rank 20 lattice

$$S := \bar{V}^\perp \subset \Lambda, \quad \text{where } \bar{V} := \bar{h}_V^\perp \text{ (the orthogonal complement in } V\text{)}.$$

Using §2.2, we conclude that each conic fits one of the four patterns shown at the bottom of Table 1: there are two for (2.1) and two for (2.2). (If l is as in (2.3), we have $l \cdot a = 0 \pmod 2$.) The number of conics within each pattern is computed as shown in Table 2, where

- the ordered or unordered pair (p, q) as in (3.1) designates the two variable special positions marked with a * in Table 1,
- the underlined factor counts certain codewords $o \in \mathcal{C}$; the restrictions given by (2.1) or (2.2) are described in the parentheses, and
- the other factors account for the choice of (p, q) and/or signs in ± 2 .

These counts sum up to 800.

3.2. The Néron–Severi lattice

Observe that $\bar{h} \in 2S^\vee$: indeed, $\bar{h} - 2a \in \bar{V}$ and we have $x \cdot \bar{h} = 2x \cdot a = 0 \pmod 2$ for any $x \in S$. Thus, we can apply to $S \ni \bar{h}$ the construction of [6], *i.e.*, consider the orthogonal complement $\bar{h}_S^\perp = V^\perp \subset \Lambda$, reverse the sign of the form, and pass to the index 2 extension

$$N := (-\langle \bar{h}_S^\perp \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}h)_2^\sim, \quad h^2 = 4,$$

containing the vector $c := c(l) := l - \frac{1}{2}\bar{h} + \frac{1}{2}h$ for some (equivalently, any) conic $l \in S$. These 800 new vectors $c \in N$ are also called *conics*; one obviously has

$$c^2 = -2 \quad \text{and} \quad c \cdot h = 2. \tag{3.2}$$

Starting from

$$\text{discr } V \cong \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

(see Nikulin [9] for the concept of *discriminant form* $\text{discr } V := V^\vee/V$ and related techniques), we easily compute

$$\mathcal{N} := \text{discr } N \cong \left[\frac{5}{4} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{1}{8} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{2}{5} \right] \cong \left[-\frac{1}{4} \right] \oplus \left[-\frac{5}{8} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{2}{5} \right].$$

Therefore, $-\mathcal{N} \cong \text{discr } T$, where $T := \mathbb{Z}b \oplus \mathbb{Z}c$, $b^2 = 4$, $c^2 = 40$. Then, it follows from [9, Thm 1.12.2] that there is a primitive isometric embedding of the hyperbolic lattice N to the intersection lattice H_2 of a $K3$ -surface, so that $T \cong N^\perp$ plays the rôle of the transcendental lattice. Finally, by the surjectivity of the period map [7], we conclude that there exists a $K3$ -surface X with $NS(X) \cong N$.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The Néron–Severi lattice $NS(X) \cong N$ constructed in the previous section is equipped with a distinguished polarisation $h \in N$, $h^2 = 4$. Since the original lattice $S \subset \Lambda$ is root free, N does *not* contain any of the following “bad” vectors:

- $e \in N$ such that $e^2 = -2$ and $e \cdot h = 0$ (*exceptional divisors*) or
- $e \in N$ such that $e^2 = 0$ and $e \cdot h = 2$ (*2-isotropic vectors*)

(see [6] for details). Hence, by Nikulin [10] and Saint-Donat [11], the linear system $|h|$ is fixed point free and maps X onto a smooth quartic surface $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

The lattice N contains 800 conics c as in (3.2). By the Riemann–Roch theorem, each class c is effective, *i.e.*, represented by a curve $C \subset X_4$ of projective degree 2. Since X is smooth and contains no lines (or other curves of odd degree, as we have $h \in 2N^\vee$ by the construction), each of these curves C is irreducible. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

References

- [1] W. Barth, Th. Bauer, Smooth quartic surfaces with 352 conics, *Manuscr. Math.* 85 (3–4) (1994) 409–417, MR1305751.
- [2] Th. Bauer, Quartic surfaces with 16 skew conics, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 464 (1995) 207–217, MR1340342.
- [3] Cédric Bonnafé, Alessandra Sarti, $K3$ surfaces with maximal finite automorphism groups containing M_{20} , *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 71 (2) (2021) 711–730.
- [4] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften (Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences)*, vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988, with contributions by E. Bannai, J. Leech, S.P. Norton, A.M. Odlyzko, R.A. Parker, L. Queen and B.B. Venkov, MR920369 (89a:11067).
- [5] Alex Degtyarev, Conics on Kummer quartics, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* (2022), in press, arXiv:2108.11181, 2021.
- [6] Alex Degtyarev, Conics on sextic $K3$ -surfaces in \mathbb{P}^4 , *Nagoya Math. J.* (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1017/nmj.2021.3>, arXiv:2010.07412.
- [7] Vik.S. Kulikov, Surjectivity of the period mapping for $K3$ surfaces, *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 32 (4(196)) (1977) 257–258, MR0480528 (58 #688).
- [8] Bartosz Naskręcki, Explicit equations of 800 conics on a Barth–Bauer quartic, in press, arXiv:2108.13402, 2021.
- [9] V.V. Nikulin, Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 43 (1) (1979) 111–177, 238, English translation: *Math. USSR, Izv.* 14 (1979) (1) (1980) 103–167, MR0480528 (58 #688).
- [10] Viacheslav V. Nikulin, Weil linear systems on singular $K3$ surfaces, in: *Algebraic Geometry and Analytic Geometry*, (Tokyo, 1990), ICM-90 Satell. Conf. Proc., Springer, Tokyo, 1991, pp. 138–164, MR1260944.
- [11] B. Saint-Donat, Projective models of $K3$ -surfaces, *Am. J. Math.* 96 (1974) 602–639, MR0364263 (51 #518).