Prevalence and clinical/molecular characteristics of \textit{PTEN} mutations in Turkish children with autism spectrum disorders and macrocephaly
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Abstract

\textbf{Background:} Phosphatase and tensin homolog (\textit{PTEN}) germline mutations are associated with cancer syndromes (\textit{PTEN} hamartoma tumor syndrome; PHTS) and in pediatric patients with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and macrocephaly. The exact prevalence of \textit{PTEN} mutations in patients with ASD and macrocephaly is uncertain; with prevalence rates ranging from 1\% to 17\%. Most studies are retrospective and contain more adult than pediatric patients, there is a need for more prospective pediatric studies.

\textbf{Methods:} We recruited 131 patients (108 males, 23 females) with ASD and macrocephaly between the ages of 3 and 18 from five child and adolescent psychiatry clinics in Turkey from July 2018 to December 2019. We defined macrocephaly as occipito-frontal HC size at or greater than 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean for age and sex on standard growth charts. \textit{PTEN} gene sequence analysis was performed using a MiSeq next generation sequencing (NGS) platform, (Illumina).
INTRODUCTION

Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) (OMIM 601728) is a tumor suppressor negatively regulates Phosphoinositide 3-kinase/AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin (PI3K/AKT/mTOR) pathway that plays an important role in cell growth, survival, and proliferation (Lv et al., 2013). Germline pathogenic variants in the PTEN gene lead to a range of clinical outcomes including cancer syndrome phenotypes collectively known as PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome (PHTS), and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) with macrocephaly (MIM 605309) (Lv et al., 2013). Indeed, in mice model of PTEN haploinsufficiency, overgrowth of brain is detectable from birth to adulthood (Chen et al., 2015).

It had been reported that the frequency of PTEN mutations ranged from 1% to 22% in patients with ASD and macrocephaly (Buxbaum et al., 2007; Conti et al., 2012; Frazier et al., 2015; Herman et al., 2007; Hobert et al., 2014; Klein et al., 2013; Kurata et al., 2018; McBride et al., 2010; Varga et al., 2009). Even though same PTEN mutation in different individuals lead to different phenotype (Leslie & Longy, 2016), missense mutations were predominantly reported in autism and macrocephaly syndrome (Leslie & Longy, 2016; Spinelli et al., 2015). These mutations lower, but do not abolish, PTEN's key activity (Smith et al., 2019). Mighell et al., (2018) proposed that mutations associated with ASD and developmental delay are unstable, but more catalytically active than mutations causing PHTS. It had been suggested that PTEN mutation carrier ASD patients have a distinct neurobehavioral phenotype compared to idiopathic ASD (Busch et al., 2019) that strongly suggests the importance of reliable genotype-phenotype studies to help in patient management, prognosis and therapeutic selection by identifying key mutations associated to ASD phenotypes. Since clinical testing guidelines for PTHS in children are fairly new and not applied uniformly (Butler et al., 2005; Hansen-Kiss et al., 2017; Macken et al., 2019), PTHS often goes undetected in children. We hope that our study will increase awareness of this rare disease in Turkey.

In this study, we screened PTEN variants in children with ASD, mild intellectual disability and macrocephaly without significant developmental delay in Turkey to determine the prevalence of PTEN mutations in pediatric ASD and macrocephaly patients and to find novel mutations that would lead to greater insight into genotype-phenotype correlations for PTEN mutations.

METHODS

After Istanbul University ethics committee approval (Number: 2014/798), we recruited 131 Turkish children (108 males, 23 females) aged 3–18 years with macrocephaly and ASD who were seen at five different child and adolescent psychiatry clinics in Turkey from July 2018 to December 2019. ASD diagnosis was made by experienced child and adolescent psychiatrists using DSM V criteria. We defined macrocephaly as occipito-frontal HC size at or greater than 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean for age and sex on standard growth charts. All patients had thyroid ultrasounds (USG) and cranial magnetic resonance imagining (MRI).

Total of 3cc's of peripheral venous blood was collected from each patient after written informed parental consent forms were signed. The blood samples were archived and stored for possible further analysis. DNA extraction was performed with DNA extraction kits (Qiagen. inc), and the DNA samples were preserved at −20°C for future analysis.

First tier PTEN gene sequence analysis was performed using a MiSeq next generation sequencing (NGS) platform, (Illuima, San Diego, CA, USA) an FDA approved diagnostic system. All coding exons of the PTEN gene and their flanking splice site junctions were amplified by in house designed primers. PCRs were validated by using agarose gel electrophoresis. After PCR amplification, the

Conclusion: PTEN gene sequence analyses identified three pathogenic/likely pathogenic mutations [NM_000314.6; p.(Pro204Leu), (p.Arg233*) and novel (p.Tyr176Cys*8)] and two variants of uncertain significance (VUS) [NM_000314.6; p.(Ala79Thr) and c.*10del]. We also report that patient with (p.Tyr176Cys*8) mutation has Grade 1 hepatosteatosis, a phenotype not previously described. This is the first PTEN prevalence study of patients with ASD and macrocephaly in Turkey and South Eastern Europe region with a largest homogenous cohort. The prevalence of PTEN mutations was found 3.8% (VUS included) or 2.29% (VUS omitted). We recommend testing for PTEN mutations in all patients with ASD and macrocephaly.
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libraries were prepared with the NexteraXT kit (Illumina Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Next-generation sequencing was carried on MiSeq (Illumina Inc.). Sequences were aligned to the hg19 genome within MiSeq Reporter software (Illumina Inc.). Visualization of the data was performed with IGV 2.3 (Broad Institute) software. Confirmatory Sanger sequencing was performed for 10 randomly selected patients, patients with positive results, and their respective parents.

To determine the confidence interval of the estimated prevalence, we followed Lwanga and Lemeshow (1991), where the absolute precision is defined as: $D = \sqrt{z^2 \times P \times (1 - P) / n}$ with $z$ the significance threshold, $P$ the calculated prevalence, $D$ the absolute precision and $n$ the sample size. Using the genomic data on 131 patients and considering five SNPs discovered, we calculated the prevalence to be $3.8 \pm 3.3\%$, with 95% confidence interval being $(0.5, 7.1)$.

Patients with positive results were seen by a pediatric geneticist (except for patient 3 lost to follow up) for dysmorphology exam, additional family history, and genetic counselling.

### RESULTS

In our cohort of 131 patients (108 males, 23 females) between the ages of 3 and 18, we found two variants of uncertain significance and three pathogenic mutations according to ClinVar database. The prevalence of PTEN mutations is 3.8% and 2.29% by including or excluding VUS, respectively.

Among pathogenic mutations, two are frameshift mutations and one is a missense mutation. We confirmed the de novo nature of these mutations by using DNA from the parents of four patients. Clinical and molecular characteristics of these patients are summarized in Table 1.

Patient 1 was a 5-year-old female diagnosed with mild ASD when she was 4 years old. She did not have any dysmorphic features nor other medical problems. Her HC had the biggest SD among 131 patients; measuring 59 cm, 99.98% and +5.65 SD. Her weight was +3.47 SD. Her HC had the biggest SD among 131 patients; measuring 59 cm, 99.98% and +5.65 SD. Her weight was +3.47 SD. She had a normal EEG and thyroid USG. Cranial MRI showed nonspecific hyperintense areas on T2. She was nondysmorphic. There were no cutaneous lesions. Her PTEN mutation has strong evidence level according to Clingen PTEN Expert Panel phenotype scoring. (Table 2 and SuppInfo 1) c.*10del (Ref seq NM_000314.6; c.235G>A, p.(Ala79Thr)) missense variant was identified in this patient. The dbSNP database classified this variant as of uncertain significance, while ClinVar classified it as likely benign (Table 1 and SuppInfo 2).

Patient 3 was a 7-year-old male diagnosed with mild ASD when he was 3 years old. His HC was 55 cm, 98.07% and +2.42 SD. He and his family were lost to follow up. Developmental test could not be done. He had mild ID based on observations. His PTEN mutation has strong evidence level according to Clingen PTEN Expert Panel phenotype scoring. (Table 2 and SuppInfo 1) c.*10del (Ref seq NM_000314.6; c.10del) variant was identified. It was classified as a variant of uncertain significance in the ClinVar database (Table 1 and SuppInfo 2).

Patient 4 was a 5-year-old female diagnosed with mild ASD when she was 3 years old. She had mild intellectual deficiency based on observations and Turkish developmental test. Her HC had the biggest SD among 131 patients; measuring 59 cm, 99.98% and +5.65 SD. Her weight was +3.47 SD. She had a normal EEG and thyroid USG. Cranial MRI showed nonspecific hyperintense areas on T2. She was nondysmorphic. There were no cutaneous lesions. Her PTEN mutation has strong evidence level according to Clingen PTEN Expert Panel phenotype scoring. (Table 2 and SuppInfo 1) Her mother also had HC +2 SD, a nasal bridge lipoma, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension. She was suffering from depression. A de novo p.Arg233* [Ref seq NM_000314.6; c.697C>T, p.(Arg233*)] loss-of-function (LOF) mutation was identified. It was classified as pathogenic with no conflicts in ClinVar and dbSNP database (Table 1 and SuppInfo 2).

Patient 5 was a 15-year-old male diagnosed with mild ASD when he was 7 years old. He had mild intellectual deficiency according to observations. His parents refused the developmental test. His HC was 61 cm, 99.75% and +2.81 SD. His weight was −1.05 SD and height was +0.38 SD. He had frontal bossing and penile freckling. Thyroid and scrotal USG were normal. Abdominal USG showed grade 1 hepatosteatosis. Cranial MRI was normal. His PTEN mutation has strong evidence level according to Clingen PTEN Expert Panel phenotype scoring. (Table 2 and SuppInfo 1)
**Table 1** Clinical and molecular characteristics of PTEN positive patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>ClinVar</th>
<th>dbSNP</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Psychiatric comorbidities</th>
<th>Type of mutation</th>
<th>Thyroid usg</th>
<th>Cranial MR</th>
<th>Cutaneous lesions</th>
<th>HC at exam/SD</th>
<th>Dysmorphology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>c.611C&gt;T p.(P204L)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Likely pathogenic</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Nonspecific hyperintense areas in T2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>53.5 cm/+2.01 Macrocephaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>c.235G&gt;A p.(Ala79Thr)</td>
<td>Likely benign</td>
<td>Uncertain significance</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td>VUS</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>56cm/+2.42 Almond shaped eyes, low set ears, prominent ear lobes, macrocephaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C*10del</td>
<td>Uncertain significance</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>VUS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>55cm/+2.41 Macrocephaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>c.697C&gt;T p.(Arg233*)</td>
<td>Pathogenic with no conflicts</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Pathogenic</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Nonspecific hyperintense areas in T2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60cm/+5.65 Macrocephaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>c.525_526dupTG p.(Tyr176Cys*)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Likely pathogenic</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Penile freckling</td>
<td>61cm/+ 2.81 Frontal bossing, macrocephaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: 3*: Patient lost contact.

Abbreviations: HC, head circumference; ID, Intellectual disability; NA, not available VUS, variant of unsignificance; Patient, Pt; SD, standard deviation; usg, ultrasound.
This patient fit the diagnostic criteria of BRRS. A novel de novo \textit{p.Y176Cfs*8} [Ref seq NM_000314.6; c.525_526dup, (p.Tyr176Cys*8)] LOF mutation was found. It was classified as likely pathogenic in ACMG classification. (Table 1 and SuppInfo 2) It has not previously been reported in the literature nor found in the Population Frequency Databases.

**DISCUSSION**

\textit{PTEN} is composed of 403 amino acids that comprise five functional domains: a phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2)-binding domain (PBD) and a phosphatase domain containing the catalytic core (spans amino acids 123–130) at the N-terminus, a C2 domain, two PEST (proline, glutamic acid, serine, threonine) domains, and a PDZ interaction motif for protein–protein interactions at the C-terminus (Yehia & Eng, 2018) (Figure 1).

The \textit{c.*10del} (NM_000314.6; c.*10del) variant (rs756681683) that is located in 3’UTR region of the \textit{PTEN} gene is classified as a variant of uncertain significance in the ClinVar database. It was absent from controls in Exome Sequencing Project, 1000 Genomes Project, or Exome Aggregation Consortium. However, in ALFA Project (Allele Frequency Aggregator), the minor allele frequency reported for the variant was below 1% (delT=0.00036) (Phan et al., 2020) (Table 1 and SuppInfo 2).

Novel loss-of-function mutation \textit{p.Y176Cfs*8} (NM_000314.6; c.525_526dup, p.Tyr176Cys*8) and \textit{p.Arg233*} [NM_000314.6; c.697C>T, (p.Arg233*)], located in \textit{PTEN} and \textit{C2} domains of \textit{PTEN}, respectively, result in an early truncated protein. They might affect the enzymatic activity and protein stability of \textit{PTEN}, cell migration, and protein–protein interactions (Phan et al., 2020; Song et al., 2011; Vazquez et al., 2000). \textit{p.Y176Cfs*8} meets criteria to be classified as likely pathogenic (Table 1 and SuppInfo 2). The other LOF mutation of \textit{PTEN} \textit{c.697C>T} at cDNA level creates a stop codon from Arginine at 233 position at the protein level. It was classified as pathogenic with no conflicts in ClinVar database (ClinVar: 7813) and it is a well-known stop codon mutation reported in the literature multiple times and results in Cowden Syndrome, Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome and other cancers (Busch et al., 2013; Lachlan et al., 2007; Marsh et al., 1997; Ngeow et al., 2014).

The \textit{A79T} variant was reported with low frequency (0.01%) in gnomAD exomes and ExAC. The dbSNP database classified this variant as of uncertain significance, while ClinVar classified it as likely benign (dbSNP: rs202004587, ClinVar: 41682). It was predicted to be deleterious by multiple in silico algorithms (MetalR, MetaSVM and FATHMM), while some of the predictions obtained from SIFT, REVEL, Polyphe suggested a benign effect on protein function (Table 1 and SuppInfo 2).

\textit{PTEN} is a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and where missense variants are a common mechanism of disease (Raufopoulos et al., 2004). The \textit{A79T} variant was located on the catalytic phosphatase tensin-type domain (spans amino acids 14 – 185). The analysis of the effect of the \textit{A79T} substitution on the stability and conformational dynamics of the protein (PDB:1D5R) using DynaMut web server suggested

**TABLE 2** \textit{PTEN} variant classification according to Clingen PTEN Expert Panel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Phenotype specificity score</th>
<th>Phenotypic evidence level</th>
<th>Variant classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>PS1, PS2, PM5, PS4_M, PP2, PP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>PS4, PM1, PP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>PS4, BP7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>PVS1, PS2, PS4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>PVS1, PS2, PS4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: 3*: Patient lost contact.

Abbreviations: Please refer to SuppInfo 1.
that A79 destabilizes this protein (ΔΔG: −0.3431 kcal/mol; a negative value of ΔΔG indicates the mutation destabilizes the protein) (Wu et al., 2000) (Figure 2a). Additionally, we used HOPE web server (Project Have your Protein Explained) that analyzes the structural and functional effects of point mutations (Rodrigues et al., 2018). Wildtype A79 residue positioned within PTP domain is smaller and more hydrophobic than the mutant T79 residue that suggests mutation of the A79T might disturb the function of the protein.

P204L [NM_000314.6; c.611C>T p.(Pro204Leu)] missense variant was identified in our study, it was previously reported in cancer database. In addition, this variant was predicted to be deleterious by PolyPhen, FATHMM, ClinPred, MetaSVM, REVEL, and SIFT in silico analyses. An alternative P204A (p.Pro204Ala) variant has been found to be Likely Pathogenic in the ClinVar Database (ClinVar: 189415) (Table 1 and SuppInfo 2). Also, additional missense variants in nearby residues (F200S, T202I, M205V, S207R) have been reported in PTEN-related disorders (Stenson et al., 2014), providing functional importance of this region of the protein. Based on the currently available evidence, P204L is considered likely pathogenic. The analysis of the effect of P204L missense mutation that is located at the C2 domain using DynaMut web server found the positive ΔΔG (ΔΔG: 1.022 kcal/mol), which indicates the mutation does not destabilize the protein. However, HOPE web server revealed that the mutant residues of P204L bigger than the wild-type residue (proline) and the mutation introduces an amino acid (leucine) with different properties, which can disturb the C2 domain and abolish its function. Moreover, rigidity of a protein structure is essential for specific function. The wild-type 204 residue, proline, is known to be very rigid (Figure 2b) and substitution with leucine can disrupt this required rigidity of the PTEN protein.

Macrocephaly prevalence in ASD is estimated to be 20% in some studies (Fombonne et al., 1999; Miles et al., 2000), however another study reported no difference in head size between children with autism and controls (Langen et al., 2009). Although it was not the aim of our study, we found a 10.2% prevalence of macrocephaly in one center. At this center, head circumferences of 361 patients with autism spectrum disorder were measured for our study and 37 of these patients had macrocephaly. (SuppInfo 3) To the best of our knowledge this is the largest cohort of pediatric patients with ASD and macrocephaly and is the first prevalence study of PTEN mutations in macrocephaly and ASD in Turkey and South Eastern Europe region.

The patient 5 with de novo pathogenic LOF mutation (c.525_526dup, p.Tyr176Cys*8) displayed Grade 1 hepatosteatosis. PTEN loss has been previously hypothesized to cause hepatosteatosis by resulting in increased lipogenesis and hepatic apoB-lipoprotein degradation (Qiu et al., 2008). Clinically, our patient does not have any other risk factors for hepatosteatosis (patient is not obese, does not have diabetes, does not have high cholesterol and not an adult) Therefore,
PTEN mutation in this patient may be also associated with hepatosteatosis. This feature, to the best of our knowledge, is not previously described in the literature. Clinical outcome of pediatric patients with PTEN mutations are not well known yet; there are few studies suggesting a follow up plan in this group (Ciaccio et al., 2019). Smilikou et al., (2015) showed that thyroid carcinoma can occur at a very early age (6–7 years). This indicates the importance of screening for PTEN mutations to allow later thyroid carcinoma surveillance. Ciaccio et al., (2019) recommend screening of patients with ASD when HC is more than 3 SD. However, in our cohort, three patients with PTEN mutations have HC less than 3 SD. Also a progressive increase in HC is described. (Balci et al., 2018; Vanderver et al., 2014) We therefore recommend screening all patients with ASD whose HC are more than 2 SD.

Studies showed that individuals with ASD who carries PTEN mutations have reduced performance on attention, impulsivity, reaction time, processing speed, motor coordination and worse ID’s compared to individuals with ASD without PTEN mutations (Busch et al., 2013, 2019; Frazier et al., 2015). The limitations of our study is that we could not do a detailed neurobehavioral tests to observe these domains. Interestingly, in our cohort, one patient did not have ID and others had mild ID. However, it is not possible to make a genotype-phenotype correlation at this time.

Studies of the mutation types in PTEN have varying results (Spinelli et al.; 2015) and the genotype-phenotype correlations are not substantial enough to predict the phenotype. (Macken et al.; 2019).

Among our pathogenic mutations, two are LOF mutations and one is missense mutation. With this small number it is difficult to make a conclusion. Patient 5 with LOF mutation, demonstrated the clinical phenotype of BRRS. Patient 4 with LOF mutation had the biggest head circumference and since they is only 5 years old she may not show the clinical characteristics of PHTS yet. (She carries a well-known stop codon mutation reported in the literature multiple times and results in Cowden Syndrome, Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome and other cancers).

Most of the PHTS-linked PTEN mutations are loss-of-function mutations (Rademacher & Eickholt, 2019) and our findings are in line with this hypothesis although as stated by Macken et al in their paper, in the absence of observational studies we cannot predict the phenotypes of children into adulthood. Due to this lack of firm genotype-phenotype correlations, children with pathogenic or likely pathogenic PTEN variants are advised to follow PHTS cancer surveillance guidelines.

Identification of PTEN mutations is important for accurate genetic counselling, patient follow up, management and treatment with targeted therapies on the horizon.
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