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The development of a broad class of stochastic systems can be described in terms of stochastic processes whose behavior spontaneously changes (switches) at certain time moments. These switching points are random functionals of the previous path. Such processes arise in the theory of queueing systems and networks, in branching and migration phenomena, in the analysis of stochastic dynamical systems with random errors, and in other applications.

The description of these models relies on a special class of discrete-event stochastic processes introduced in [1-3], where they are called switching processes.

A switching process is a two-component process \((x(t), \xi(t))\), \(t \geq 0\), with values in the space \((X, \mathcal{R})\) for which there is a sequence of time moments \(t_1 < t_2 < \ldots\) such that \(x(t) = x(t_k)\) on each time interval \([t_k, t_{k+1})\) and the behavior of the process \(\xi(t)\) on this time interval depends only on the values \((x(t_k), \xi(t_k))\).

The moments \(t_k\) are called switching points; \(x(t)\) is the discrete switching component. Such processes are describable in terms of constructive characteristics [1-3]. They are useful for the analysis of the asymptotic behavior of stochastic systems with "fast" and "rare" switching events [2-7].

Switching processes are a natural generalization of classes of Markov processes homogeneous in the second component [8], processes with independent increments and semi-Markov switchings [2, 3, 9], Markov aggregates [8], Markov processes with semi-Markovian random interventions [10], Markovian and semi-Markovian evolutions [11-14].

Limit theorems on the convergence of one switching process to another (in the class of switching processes) have been proved in [2, 3]. These results have led to a theory of asymptotic state aggregation of nonhomogeneous Markov and semi-Markov processes [3].

In this article we consider limit theorems on the convergence of the path of a switching process to the solution of some ordinary differential equation (the averaging principle) and the convergence of the normalized deviation to some diffusion process (the diffusion approximation) for an important subclass of switching processes — the so-called semi-Markov recurrent processes (SMRP) with additional dependence on the current switching point.

The approach to the study of recursive algorithms with random response time is based on representation of the original process as a superposition of a recurrent embedded process and an accumulated-time counter process followed by application of limit theorems for discrete-time recursive stochastic algorithms and superpositions of random functions.

We first prove some generalizations of theorems that constitute the averaging principle and the diffusion approximation for SMRP [6].

Consider the process \(S_h(t)\) of the form \(S_h(0) = s_0, S_h(t) = S_{k,h}^h\) for \(t_k,h \leq t < t_{k+1,h}, t \geq 0\).
Note that by construction the sequence $S_{k,h}, k \geq 0$, is a Markov chain in $\mathbb{R}^m$, and $S_h(t)$ is an SMRP [6, 9].

Let us investigate the convergence of the path of $S_h(t)$ to the solution of a differential equation whose coefficients are determined by the parameters of the scheme (the averaging principle) and the convergence of the normalized deviation to the diffusion process (diffusion approximation). For simplicity, we consider step-homogeneous processes (the distribution of the variables $\xi_k(\cdot)$, $\tau_k(\cdot)$ is independent of the index $k$). Assume that for any $h > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}^m, t > 0$,

$$E(t_k(s,t))^2 + \xi_k(s,t)^2 < C.$$  

Let

$$E(t_k(s,t)) = m(s,t), \quad E(t_k(s,t)) = b(s,t),$$  

$$\text{Var}(t_k(s,t)) = \sigma_1^2(s,t), \quad \text{Var}(\xi_k(s,t)) = \sigma_2^2(s,t).$$  

The symbol $|z|$ is the vector (or matrix) norm if $z$ is a vector (or a matrix). The mean, the variance, and the integral are evaluated componentwise. Here and in what follows all vectors are column vectors.

**THEOREM 1.** Given is notation (1), (2). Moreover, $m(s, t) > 0$, for all $s, t$ and for some fixed $C$

$$m(s, t) + |b(s, t)| \leq C(1 + |s, t|),$$

for every $L > 0$ there exists $C_L$ such that for $1(s_1, t_1) \vee 1(s_2, t_2) < L$

$$|m(s_1, t_1) - m(s_2, t_2)| + |b(s_1, t_1) - b(s_2, t_2)| \leq C_L(1 - 1 + \tau_1 - \tau_2).$$

Then $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |S_h(t) - s(t)| \overset{P}{\to} 0$, where $s(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$ds(t) = m(s(t), t)^{-1}b(s(t), t)dt, \quad s(0) = s_0,$$

the symbol $\overset{P}{\to}$ stands for convergence in probability, and $T > 0$ is any number such that

$$y(+) \geq T,$$

where $y(t) = \int_0^t m(\eta(u), u)du$, and $\eta(u)$ satisfies the relationship $d\eta(u) = b(\eta(u), u)du, \eta(0) = s_0$.

**Proof.** Denote the pair $(s, t)$ by the variable $a$. Let $n = [1 / h], \bar{t}_{nk} = n\cdot S_{k,h}, \bar{S}_n(t) = n\cdot S_h(t)$. In the notation of [2], let $V_n = 1/h, A_n = 1$. In this case we arrive at a scheme described in [6] for the vector sequence $(\bar{S}_{nk}, \bar{t}_{nk})$, $k \geq 0$. Q.E.D.

**Remark.** If the functions $m(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ depend on the parameter $h$, i.e., can be written respectively as $m_h(\cdot)$ and $b_h(\cdot)$, we must additionally stipulate in the assumptions of the theorem that there exist continuous functions $m(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ such that $m_h(\cdot) \to m(\cdot)$, $b_h(\cdot) \to b(\cdot)$ uniformly in $(s, t)$ in every bounded region and that condition (3) holds.

Let us now investigate the behavior of the centered process $\gamma_h(t) = (1/\sqrt{h})(S_h - s(t)), t \geq 0$. Retaining the previous notation, we take

$$\overline{b}(s, t) = b(s, t) m(s, t)^{-1},$$

$$D^2(s, t) = E(\xi_1(s, t) - \overline{b}(s, t)(\overline{b}(s, t) - m(s, t))^2).$$

Here and in what follows $\varepsilon^2(\cdot)$ stands for $z(\cdot) \cdot z(\cdot)$, where $z(\cdot)$ is a vector and $^*$ denotes the conjugate.
THEOREM 2. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, continuous vector- and matrix-valued partial derivatives \( \partial \hat{y}(s,t)/\partial t \) and \( \partial \hat{z}(s,t)/\partial s \) exist, the function \( D(s,t) \) is continuous in \( s, t \), the squares \( |\xi_k(s,t)|^2 \) and \( \tau_k(s,t)^2 \) are uniformly integrable over the pair \( s, t \) in any bounded region.

Then the sequence of measures induced by the sequence of processes \( \xi_k(t) \) weakly converges in the Skorokhod space \( D_T \) for any \( T > 0 \) that satisfies conditions (4) to the measure induced by the process \( \xi(t) \) that satisfies the differential equation

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} &= K(s(t), t) \xi(t) dt + D(s(t), t) m(s(t), t)^{-1/2} dw(t), \\
\xi(0) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( w(t) \) is the standard Wiener process in \( R^m \).

The proof follows directly from Theorem 2 \([6]\) if its assumptions are rewritten in vector form.

Let us apply these results to investigate the convergence of computational algorithms for the real-time Runge–Kutta scheme.

Let a Cauchy problem

\[
y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), \quad y(t_0) = y_0,
\]

be given on the interval \( [t_0, T] \). Assume that instead of the function \( f(t, y) \) we compute in real time the function \( r(t, y) = f(t, y) + \epsilon(t, y) \), and the computation takes \( \tau(t, y) \) time units. Here \( \epsilon(t, y) \) is a random error that depends only on the observation point \( t, y \), and \( \tau(t, y) \) also may be a random variable,

\[
\begin{align*}
E\epsilon(t, y) &= 0, \quad Var\epsilon(t, y) = \sigma^2(t, y), \\
E\tau(t, y) &= m(t, y), \quad Var\tau(t, y) = \sigma^2(t, y).
\end{align*}
\]

We assume that the errors and the computation times at different observation points are independent.

To construct a computational procedure that solves this problem, we introduce the set of jointly independent (for different \( k \)) nonnegative random variables \( \{r_k(t, y), t \geq 0, y \in R^n \}, k \geq 0 \), identically distributed with \( \tau(t, y) \).

We construct a recursive computational procedure of the form

\[
\hat{y}_{k+1,h} = \hat{y}_{k,h} + h \cdot m(t_k, h, \hat{y}_{k,h}) \\
\tau_{k+1,h} = \tau_{k,h} + h \cdot m(t_k, h, \hat{y}_{k,h}), \quad t_0 = t_0
\]

where \( \hat{y}_{k,h} \) is an approximate value of the function \( y(t) \) (\( y(t) \) is the exact solution of the Cauchy problem (5)) at the point \( t_k, h \), and the moments \( t_k, h \) are defined by the formula

\[
t_{k+1,h} = t_k, h + h \cdot m(t_k, h, \hat{y}_{k,h}), \quad t_0 = t_0.
\]

Finally, let \( \{\epsilon_k(t, y), t \geq 0, y \in R^n \}, k \geq 0 \) be a set of random variables that are independent for different \( k \) and are identically distributed with \( \epsilon(t, y) \).

THEOREM 3. Assume that the following conditions hold:

1) \( E(r(t, y)^2 + \epsilon(t, y)^2) < C \);
2) \( Var(r(t, y)^2 + \epsilon(t, y)^2) < C \);
3) for every \( L > 0 \) there exists \( C_L \) such that for \( |y_1| \leq L, |m(t, y_1) - m(t, y_2)| + |f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2)| \leq C_L |y_1 - y_2| \).

Then \( \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} |\hat{y}_k(t) - y(t)| \to 0 \) for \( T \) that satisfy condition (4).

Proof. We introduce some notation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_k(t, y) &= r(t, y) m(t, y), \\
\nu_h(u) &= [t_k, h \cdot \hat{y}(u) = \hat{y}_{k,h}, \quad k h \leq u \leq (k + 1) h, \\
\nu_h(t) &= \min \{k : t_k, h > t\}, \quad \mu_h(t) = \inf \{u : u > 0, \nu_h(u) > t\}.
\end{align*}
\]
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Then by definition \( v_h(n, t) \leq t < v_h(n, t + 1) \) and \( \mu_h(t) = h(v_h(t) + 1) \). Noting that \( \tilde{y}_h(t) = \tilde{y}_{n, h}(t, h) \), we write

\[
\tilde{y}_h(t) = z_h(hv_h(t)) = z_h(\mu_h(t) - h).
\]

Thus, the process \( \tilde{y}_h(t) \) is constructed as a superposition of the processes \( z_h(t) \) and \( \mu_h(t) \).

Investigating further the processes \( z_h(u) \), \( v_h(u) \), we obtain from Theorem 1 uniform (in probability) convergence to the corresponding processes for any \( T \)

\[
\sup_{u \leq T} \left| z_h(u) - z(u) \right| \xrightarrow{p} 0, \quad \sup_{u \leq T} \left| v_h(t) - v(t) \right| \xrightarrow{p} 0,
\]

where the processes \( z(u) \) and \( v(t) \) solve the system of equations

\[
dz(t, u) = f(v(t), z(t))du, \quad v(t) = \int_0^t f(v(u), z(u))du.
\]

Let us now return to the process \( \mu_h(t) \). Using the results on the level crossing time (see [3]), we obtain that uniformly in probability \( \mu_h(t) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(t) \) as \( h \rightarrow 0 \), where \( \mu^{-1}(t) \) is the inverse of the function \( v(t) \) defined by Eq. (8). Thus, by the theorem of convergence of superpositions of random functions (see [3]), we have uniformly in probability

\[
\tilde{y}_h(t) = z_h(hv_h(t)) \rightarrow z(\mu^{-1}(t)).
\]

Denote \( \bar{y} = z(v^{-1}(t)) \). Taking the derivative of this function, we obtain that \( d\bar{y}(t) = f(t, \bar{y}(t))dt \). Thus, the function \( \bar{y}(t) \) solves Eq. (5). Q.E.D.

Let us now investigate the behavior of the centered process \( \xi_h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} (\tilde{y}_h(t) - y(t)) \). Denote \( D^2(t, y) = m^2(t, y) + f^2(t, y) \lambda^2(t, y) \).

**THEOREM 4.** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied and moreover the following conditions hold:

1) a continuous derivative \( g(t, y) = f'(t, y) \) exists;
2) the function \( D^2(t, y) \) is continuous in \( t, y \);
3) the squares \( |z_{1, h}(t, y)|^2 \) and \( |z_{1, h}(t, y)|^2 \) are uniformly integrable.

Then the sequence of measures induced by the sequence of processes \( \xi_h(t) \) weakly converges in the Skorokhod space \( D_T \) for any \( T \) satisfying condition (4) to the measure induced by the process \( \xi(t) \) that satisfies the stochastic differential equation

\[
d\xi(t) = g(t, y(t))\xi(t)dt + D(t, y(t))m(t, y(t))\xi(t)^{-1/2}dw(t), \xi(0) = 0,
\]

where \( w(t) \) is the standard Wiener process and \( y(t) \) satisfies differential equation (5).

The proof of the theorem fully relies on Theorem 2.

Thus, the rate of convergence of the Runge–Kutta scheme allowing for the time to compute the next iteration (in general, this time may be a random variable) suggests that we need to design an algorithm that instead of the function \( f(t, y) \) entering, the differential equation evaluates the function \( \tilde{f}(t, y) = m(t, y)\tilde{y}(t, y) \), where \( m(t, y) = E\tau_{h, h}(t, y) \). The rate of convergence of this algorithm is \( \sqrt{h} \), contrary to the classical methods. Otherwise, the algorithm converges to the solution of the Cauchy problem (5) at a biased point.

Retaining the previous assumptions about the computational error \( e_h(t, y) \), we now assume that the errors at different points are mutually independent and

\[
Ee_h(t, y) = h^{-a}(t, y), \quad Var e_h(t, y) = h^{-b}b^2(t, y),
\]

where the functions \( a(\cdot), b(\cdot) \) are continuous in the pair of variables \( t, y \) and
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\[ a^2(t, y) + b^2(t, y) \leq C(1 + y^2), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \]  

(10)

We also assume that there exists a function \( \psi(t, y) \) such that

\[ y(t + \vartheta) - y(t) = uf(t, y) + \psi(t, y(t)) + O(\vartheta^2 + \delta), \]

where \( \delta > 0 \) and for every \( L > 0 \)

\[
\lim_\vartheta \sup_{|y| < L, t < L} \vartheta^2 + \delta O(\vartheta^2 + \delta) < C_L < \infty.
\]  

(12)

In our case, \( \psi(t, y(t)) = (1/2)y''(t) \).

Under these assumptions we further assume that the time to compute each successive value \( \hat{y}_{k, h} \) may depend on the current path value \( \tau_{k, h}(t_{k, h}, \hat{y}_{k, h}) = h\tau_{k, h}(t_{k, h}, \hat{y}_{k, h}) \).

We again consider a recursive procedure of the form

\[
\hat{y}_{k+1, h} = \hat{y}_{k, h} + h^2m(t_{k, h}, \hat{y}_{k, h}), \quad \hat{y}_{0, h} = y_0.
\]  

(13)

where \( r_h(t, y) = f(t, y) + e_h(t, y) \) is the value of the function at the point \( (t, y) \) computed with an error, and the points \( t_{k, h} \) are defined by the recurrence

\[
t_{k+1, h} = t_{k, h} + r_h(t_{k, h}, \hat{y}_{k, h}), \quad t_0, h = t_0.
\]

We moreover assume that \( e_h(t, y) \) are independent of \( \tau_{k, h}(t, y) \).

We again introduce the process \( \tilde{Y}(t) = \hat{y}_{k, h} \) for \( t \in [t_{k, h}, t_{k+1, h}], k \geq 0 \).

Denote

\[
E_h(t, y) = \frac{e_h(t, y) - Ee_h(t, y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var} e_h(t, y)}},
\]

\[
F_h(z, t, y) = P(\hat{Y}_h(t, y) < z), \quad G(z, t, y) = P(\tau_h(t, y) < z).
\]

**THEOREM 5.** Assume that conditions (6), (9), (10) are satisfied and moreover

1) \( f^2(x, y) \leq C(1 + y^2), 0 \leq t \leq T, -\infty < y < \infty; \)

2) the derivative \( f'_y(t, y) \) exists and is continuous in the pair of variables \( t, y; \)

3) \( E\tau_h(t, y)^2 + \vartheta < \infty \) in any region bounded in both variables, where \( \delta > 0 \) satisfies condition (12);

4) for every \( L > 0 \) there exists \( C_L \) such that for \( |y_1|, |y_2| < L, |m(t, y_1) - m(t, y_2)| + |\sigma(t, y_1) - \sigma(t, y_2)| \leq C_L|y_1 - y_2|; \)

5) for every \( L > 0 \)

\[
\lim_R \lim_{\vartheta \to 0} \sup_{|y| < L, t < L, |z| > R} \int z^2dF_h(z, t, y) = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_R \lim_{\vartheta \to 0} \sup_{|y| < L, t < L, |z| > R} \int z^2dG(z, t, y) = 0.
\]

Then for procedure (13) with \( \gamma = \min \{1/2, \alpha, (b + 1)/2\} \) the sequence of measures induced by the sequence of processes \( \tilde{Y}_h(t) = h^{-\gamma}(\hat{y}_h(t) - y(t)) \) weakly converges in the space \( D_T \) to the measure induced by the process \( \tilde{Y}(t) \) that satisfies the stochastic differential equation

\[
d\tilde{Y}(t) = f'_y(t, y(t)) \tilde{Y}(t)dt + \tilde{a}(t, y(t))dt + \sqrt{\frac{\delta_2^2m^2(t, y(t))\sigma^2(t, y(t)) + \delta_4\sigma^2(t, y(t))y^2(t, y(t))}{m(t, y(t))}}dw(t),
\]

\[ \tilde{Y}(0) = 0, \]
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where \( w(t) \) is the standard Wiener process and

\[
\delta_1 = \lim_{h \to 0} h^{-\gamma}, \quad \delta_2 = \lim_{h \to 0} h^{b+1-2\gamma}, \quad \delta_3 = \lim_{h \to 0} h^{2-2\gamma}.
\]

**Remark 1.** If \( \sigma^2(t, y) = 0 \), the assertion of the theorem is slightly modified: the sequence of processes \( \tilde{y}_h(t) = h^{-\gamma}(y_h(t) - y(t)) \), where \( \gamma = \min\{1, a, (b + 1)/2\} \) weakly converges to the process \( \tilde{y}(t) \) that satisfies the stochastic differential equation

\[
d\tilde{y}(t) = f'(t, y(t))\tilde{y}(t)dt + \delta_1 a(t, y(t))dt - \delta_2 m(t, y(t))\psi(t, y(t))dt + \\
+ \delta_3 b(t, y(t))\sqrt{m(t, y(t))}dw(t), \quad \tilde{y}(0) = 0,
\]

where \( \psi(t, y(t)) = 1/2 y''(t), \delta_1, \delta_3 \) are as specified in Theorem 5 and \( \delta_4 = \lim_{h \to 0} h^4 - \gamma \).

**Remark 2.** If \( \text{Var} \tau_1(t, y) = h^c \sigma^2(t, y) \neq 0, c > 0 \), then the limit process \( \tilde{y}(t) \) satisfies the equation

\[
d\tilde{y}(t) = f'(t, y(t))\tilde{y}(t)dt + \delta_1 a(t, y(t))dt - \delta_2 m(t, y(t))\psi(t, y(t))dt + \\
+ \left[ \delta_3 b(t, y(t))\sqrt{m(t, y(t))}b(t, y(t)) + \delta_4 \sigma^2(t, y(t))b^2(t, y(t)) \right]^{1/2}dw(t), \quad \tilde{y}(0) = 0,
\]

where \( \delta_5 = \lim_{h \to 0} h^{(c+1)/2} - \gamma \), and \( \gamma = \min\{1/2, a, (b + 1)/2, (c + 1)/2\} \).

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.

We thus see that in the nonclassical Runge-Kutta scheme allowing for the response times and random errors with mean of order \( h^a \) and variance of order \( h^b \), the error does not affect the rate of convergence \( \sqrt{h} \) if \( a \) and \( b + 1/2 \) are greater than \( 1/2 \). Otherwise, the rate of convergence of the scheme equals \( \gamma = \min\{1/2, a, (b + 1)/2\} \). If the variance of the response time is identically zero, i.e., the response time is not a random variable in practice, the order of the scheme equals \( \gamma = \min\{1/2, a, (b + 1)/2\} \). Specifically, if \( a \) and \( b + 1/2 \) are greater than \( 1 \), then the random errors do not affect the order of the scheme, which takes the classical value \( 1 \).

Note that the convergence of computational procedures in the presence of random errors but without allowing for the response time has been considered in [1]. Stochastic optimization procedures allowing for the response time have been considered in [15].

The case when the variance of the response time is of order \( h^c \) has been studied separately. In this case, \( \gamma = \min\{1/2, a, (b + 1)/2, (c + 1)/2\} \).
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