



Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations

An International Journal

ISSN: 1747-6933 (Print) 1747-6941 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcov20

Quaternionic Hilbert spaces and a von Neumann inequality

Daniel Alpay & H. Turgay Kaptanoğlu

To cite this article: Daniel Alpay & H. Turgay Kaptanoğlu (2012) Quaternionic Hilbert spaces and a von Neumann inequality, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 57:6, 667-675, DOI: 10.1080/17476933.2010.534141

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2010.534141</u>

4	1	(1

Published online: 16 Mar 2011.



🖉 Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 100



View related articles 🗹



Citing articles: 2 View citing articles 🕑

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=gcov20



Quaternionic Hilbert spaces and a von Neumann inequality

Daniel Alpay^{a*} and H. Turgay Kaptanoğlu^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O. Box 653, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel; ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Bilkent University, Ankara 06800, Turkey

Communicated by M. Lanza de Cristoforis

(Received 12 July 2008; final version received 9 August 2010)

We show that Drury's proof of the generalisation of the von Neumann inequality to the case of contractive rows of *N*-tuples of commuting operators still holds in the quaternionic case. The arguments require a seemingly new result on tensor products of quaternionic Hilbert spaces.

Keywords: von Neumann inequality; Drury–Arveson space; quaternionic Hilbert spaces; reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces; tensor products

AMS Subject Classifications: Primary 47A60; Secondary 46A32; 47B32; 47S10

1. Introduction

In 1978, Drury extended von Neumann inequality to the case of contractive rows of *N*-tuples of commuting operators [1]. Such an extension was done by Arveson as well in [2, Theorem 8.1]; see also [3]. To state their result, we first consider the reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathcal{A} with reproducing kernel $(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-1}$, where *z* and *w* belong to the unit ball \mathbb{B}_N of \mathbb{C}^N and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product of \mathbb{C}^N . This space is often called the Drury–Arveson space. Letting $\omega_{\alpha} = |\alpha|!/\alpha!$, it can also be described as

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N} z^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} : \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{|f_{\alpha}|^2}{\omega_{\alpha}} < \infty \right\}.$$

We have used above the usual multi-index notation in which $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_N!$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$. Further, for two multi-indices α and β , we write $\alpha \ge \beta$ if $\alpha_\ell \ge \beta_\ell$ for all $\ell = 1, \ldots, N$.

Next let e^{ℓ} denote the *N*-row vector with all entries 0 with the exception of the ℓ -th which is 1, and let the backward shift operators R_{ℓ} be defined by

$$R_{\ell}f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{N}} z^{\alpha - e^{\ell}} \frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{|\alpha|} f_{\alpha}$$
(1)

ISSN 1747-6933 print/ISSN 1747-6941 online © 2012 Taylor & Francis http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2010.534141 http://www.tandfonline.com

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: dany@math.bgu.ac.il

for $\ell = 1, ..., N$; if $\alpha_{\ell} = 0$, we set $z^{\alpha - e^{\ell}} \frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{|\alpha|} = 0$ in the above sum. These operators are bounded on \mathcal{A} and mutually commute. Moreover,

$$(R_{\ell}^*f)(z) = z_{\ell}f(z) =: (M_{\ell}f)(z),$$

which define the forward shift operators M_{ℓ} , and

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} R_{\ell}^* R_{\ell} = I_{\mathcal{A}} - CC^* \le I_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \text{where} \quad Cf = f(0),$$

I is the identity operator, and for operators *A*, *B* on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the equivalent expressions $A \leq B$ and $B - A \geq 0$ denote that B - A is positive in the sense that $\langle (B - A)h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ (see [2] for a proof of these facts). They can also be found in a number of later publications (see, e.g. [4]). We can now state the Drury-Arveson result.

THEOREM 1.1 Let A_1, \ldots, A_N be bounded mutually commuting operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} A_{\ell}^* A_{\ell} \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then for every polynomial Q(z) with complex coefficients, we have

$$||Q(A_1,\ldots,A_N)||_{\mathcal{H}} \le ||Q(M_1,\ldots,M_N)||_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

The counterparts of the space A and of the operators R_{ℓ} have been recently introduced in the quaternionic setting [5,6], and the purpose of this article is to prove a von Neumann inequality in that setting. The lack of commutativity of the quaternions forces the choice of polynomials to be with real coefficients (Theorem 2.1).

2. The quaternionic Drury-Arveson space and the statement of the main theorem

We first briefly review the setting of hyperanalytic functions and the results of [5,6]. We denote by \mathbb{H} the skew-field of real quaternions

$$\mathbb{H} = \{ x = x_0 + x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + x_3 \mathbf{e}_3 : (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \},\$$

where the units \mathbf{e}_j satisfy the Cayley multiplication table [6, Section 2.1]. We also let $\overline{x} = x_0 - x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 - x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 - x_3 \mathbf{e}_3$ and $|x|^2 = x\overline{x} = \overline{x}x$. A function *f* defined on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is called left-hyperanalytic (we will simply say hyperanalytic) if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}f + \mathbf{e}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}f + \mathbf{e}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}f + \mathbf{e}_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}f = 0$$

holds in Ω . We use the terms hyperanalytic and hyperholomophic interchangeably.

The quaternionic variable x is not hyperanalytic, nor is, in general, the product of two hyperanalytic functions. The functions $\zeta_{\ell}(x) = x_{\ell} - \mathbf{e}_{\ell}x_0$, $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, are hyperanalytic and they form the building blocks of the hyperanalytic polynomials; note that they do not commute and that they are not independent variables in the sense that they all depend on x_0 . For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, let

$$\zeta^{\alpha}(x) = \zeta_1(x)^{\times \alpha_1} \times \zeta_2(x)^{\times \alpha_2} \times \zeta_3(x)^{\times \alpha_3},$$

where the symmetrized product of $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{H}$ is defined by

$$a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}, \tag{2}$$

in which S_n is the set of all permutations of the set $\{1, ..., n\}$ and where $\zeta_1(x)^{\times \alpha_1}$ means that the term $\zeta_1(x)$ appears α_1 times among the a_i in (2).

The Cauchy–Kovalevskaya product \odot is an associative (but not commutative) product defined originally by Sommen in [7], which associates to two hyperanalytic functions another hyperanalytic function. It is different from the pointwise product which, in general, does not yield a hyperanalytic function, and is defined using the Cauchy–Kovalevskaya extension theorem. We will not review this aspect here, but mention that

$$(\zeta^{\alpha}p) \odot (\zeta^{\beta}q) = \zeta^{\alpha+\beta}pq, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^3, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{H}.$$

The quaternionic Drury-Arveson space is defined as the set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}} = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} \zeta^{\alpha}(x) f_{\alpha} : f_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}, \ \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}}^2 := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} \frac{|f_{\alpha}|^2}{\omega_{\alpha}} < \infty \right\}$$

[5, Definition 1.1]. It is a right quaternionic Hilbert space (definitions are recalled in Section 3) with the inner product

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} \frac{1}{\omega_{\alpha}} \overline{g_{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} \quad \text{with} \quad g(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} \zeta^{\alpha}(x) g_{\alpha}.$$

Its elements are hyperanalytic in the ellipsoid

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : 3x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

It is the reproducing kernel (right quaternionic) Hilbert space with reproducing kernel

$$k(x, y) = (1 - \zeta_1(x)\overline{\zeta_1(y)} - \zeta_2(x)\overline{\zeta_2(y)} - \zeta_3(x)\overline{\zeta_3(y)})^{-\odot},$$

where $-\odot$ denotes the inverse with respect to the Cauchy–Kovalevskaya product; see [6, Proposition 2.10]. This means that

$$\langle f, k(\cdot, y) p \rangle_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}} = \overline{p}f(y), \quad p \in \mathbb{H}, \quad y \in \mathcal{E}.$$

We need to introduce the backward shift operators on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}$. We set

$$R_{\ell}f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} \zeta^{\alpha - e^{\ell}}(x) \frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{|\alpha|} f_{\alpha},$$

where $e^1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $e^2 = (0, 1, 0)$, and $e^3 = (0, 0, 1)$. The operators R_ℓ are right linear and bounded on $A_{\mathbb{H}}$ and satisfy

$$R_1^*R_1 + R_2^*R_2 + R_3^*R_3 = I_{\mathcal{A}_{H}} - C^*C$$
, where $Cf = f(0)$.

Moreover, their adjoints are the operators of the Cauchy–Kovalevskaya product by the ζ_{ℓ} , that is,

$$R_{\ell}^*f = M_{\ell}f = \zeta_{\ell} \odot f = f \odot \zeta_{\ell}.$$

The adjoint of a right linear operator A on a right quaternionic Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is the right linear operator A^* satisfying

$$\langle Ah_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle h_1, A^*h_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If $A = A^*$, A is called self-adjoint.

We now quote our main theorem.

THEOREM 2.1 Let \mathcal{H} be a right quaternionic Hilbert space and let A_1, A_2, A_3 be pairwise commuting right linear bounded operators on \mathcal{H} such that

$$A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2 + A_3^*A_3 \le I_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Then for every hyperholomorphic polynomial Q(x) with real coefficients, it holds that

$$||Q(A_1, A_2, A_3)|| \le ||Q(M_1, M_2, M_3)||.$$

Let us make a number of comments on this result. The polynomials in the theorem have real coefficients because the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a right Hilbert space. For $q \in \mathbb{H}$ and T a right linear operator, the operator qT makes sense only if q is real, and the operator Tq is right linear only if q is real.

In the proof of Theorem 2.1, we need the following result on square roots, which is well known and has a number of proofs in the case of complex Hilbert spaces. As in this latter case, the proof for the quaternionic case is based on the power series expansion of $\sqrt{1-z}$ in the open unit disk, and we omit it.

LEMMA 2.2 Let T be a strictly contractive self-adjoint right linear operator on a right quaternionic Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then the operator

$$D = I - \frac{1}{2}T + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - 1)}{2!}T^2 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - 1)(\frac{1}{2} - 2)}{3!}T^3 + \cdots$$

is a self-adjoint contractive right linear operator on \mathcal{H} satisfying $D^2 = I - T$.

3. Tensor products of quaternionic Hilbert spaces

Tensor products of quaternionic Hilbert spaces do not seem to have been much studied (see [8,9] for some results). The difficulty is the noncommutativity of the quaternions. To make our point, let us go back to the basic definitions. Let R be a ring. Recall that if \mathcal{G} is a right module over R and \mathcal{H} is a left module over R, the tensor product $\mathcal{G} \otimes_R \mathcal{H}$ is merely a group [10, p. 208]. To get more structure, we need, for example, \mathcal{H} to be a two-sided R-module. Then the following result holds ([10, Theorem 5.5(iii)] or [11, Section 3]). If \mathcal{H} is a two-sided R-module and \mathcal{G} is a right R-module, then the tensor product $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is a right R-module. Moreover,

$$(g \otimes h)r = (g \otimes hr)$$
 and $(gp \otimes h) = (g \otimes ph), h \in \mathcal{H}, g \in \mathcal{G}, r \in R$

We refer to [12] for information and references on quaternionic Hilbert spaces. We recall the following definition (see, e.g. [12, Definition 5.5] and the references therein). A right quaternionic pre-Hilbert space \mathcal{G} is a right vector space on \mathbb{H} endowed with an \mathbb{H} -valued form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ that has the following properties:

- (1) it is Hermitian: $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \overline{g}, f \rangle, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{G};$
- (2) it is positive: $\langle f, f \rangle \ge 0$, $f \in \mathcal{G}$;
- (3) it is nondegenerate: $\langle f, f \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0;$
- (4) it is linear in the sense that $\langle fp, gq \rangle = \overline{q} \langle f, g \rangle p$, $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$, $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$.

The space \mathcal{G} is a right quaternionic Hilbert space if it is complete with respect to the topology defined by the norm $||f|| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$.

Throughout this article, the notation $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{H}$ is used for the topological tensor product of the quaternionic Hilbert spaces \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} .

THEOREM 3.1 Let \mathcal{H} be a separable two-sided quaternionic Hilbert space and let \mathcal{G} be a separable right quaternionic Hilbert space. Then the tensor product $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{H}$ endowed with the inner product

$$\langle g_1 \otimes h_1, g_2 \otimes h_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{H}} = \langle (\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}) h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$
(3)

is a right quaternionic Hilbert space.

Proof Let (h_i) (resp. (g_i)) be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} (resp. \mathcal{G}). Then (3) gives

$$\langle g_{i_1} \otimes h_{j_1} p, g_{i_2} \otimes h_{j_2} q \rangle_{\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{H}} = \langle \langle g_{j_1}, g_{j_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} h_{i_1} p, h_{i_2} q \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{cases} \overline{q} \ p, & \text{if } (i_1, j_1) = (i_2, j_2); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that the right span of the elements of the form $g_i \otimes h_j$ endowed with the inner product (3) is a right quaternionic pre-Hilbert space. Then the set of elements of the form $\sum_{i,j} g_i \otimes f_j c_{ij}$, where the $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{H}$ are such that

$$\sum_{i,j} |c_{ij}|^2 < \infty$$

is a right quaternionic Hilbert space.

4. Proof of the main theorem

We follow Drury's argument appropriately adapted to the quaternionic case. As in [1], it is convenient to work with the sequence space

$$\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H}) = \left\{ (f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} : f_\alpha \in \mathbb{H}, \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} \omega_\alpha |f_\alpha|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

rather than $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}$, where ω denotes the sequence (ω_{α}) . We endow $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})$ with the inner product

$$\langle (f_{\alpha}), (g_{\alpha}) \rangle_{\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} \omega_{\alpha} \overline{g_{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}.$$

The operators

$$(S_{\ell}f)_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha+e^{\ell}}, \quad \ell = 1, 2, 3,$$

are right linear and bounded on $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})$, they commute pairwise, and their adjoints are given by

$$(S_{\ell}^*f)_{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{|\alpha|} f_{\alpha-e^{\ell}}, \quad \ell = 1, 2, 3.$$

Moreover,

$$S_1^*S_1 + S_2^*S_2 + S_3^*S_3 \le I_{\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3,\omega,\mathbb{H})}$$

These facts are proved as in the case of complex sequences and we omit their proofs here.

We first prove the theorem with S_1, S_2, S_3 in place of M_1, M_2, M_3 . Let A_1, A_2, A_3 be as in the theorem. The operator

$$I_{\mathcal{H}} - A_1^* A_1 - A_2^* A_2 - A_3^* A_3 \tag{4}$$

is positive. We first assume that

$$r^2 I_{\mathcal{H}} - A_1^* A_1 - A_2^* A_2 - A_3^* A_3 \ge 0$$

for some $r \in (0, 1)$. The operator (4) is then strictly positive and we can apply Lemma 2.2 to define a positive operator D such that

$$D^2 = I_{\mathcal{H}} - A_1^* A_1 - A_2^* A_2 - A_3^* A_3.$$

We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} endowed with the norm

$$\|h\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} = \|Dh\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Furthermore, we set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \widehat{\mathcal{H}});$$

this is similar to $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})$, but the terms of the sequences are members of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$, and the norm of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ replaces the $|\cdot|$ of \mathbb{H} . Theorem 3.1 is used to prove the next auxiliary result, which of course is well-known in the complex case.

PROPOSITION 4.1 The map

$$\tau(h\otimes\xi)=(h\xi)$$

is well defined and extends to a right linear unitary map between the right quaternionic Hilbert spaces $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proof Let $h \otimes \xi$ be an elementary tensor and let $q \in \mathbb{H}$. Then

$$\tau((h\otimes\xi)q) = \tau(h\otimes(\xi q)) = h\xi q = (h\xi)q = (\tau(h\otimes\xi))q,$$

and the right linearity of τ follows.

For k = 1, 2, let $h_k \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\xi^k = (\xi^k_{\alpha})$, where the sequences have only a finite number of nonzero entries. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau(h_1 \otimes \xi^1), \tau(h_2 \otimes \xi^2) \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})} &= \langle h_1 \xi^1, h_2 \xi^2 \rangle_{\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \widehat{\mathcal{H}})} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \omega(\alpha) \langle h_1 \xi^1_{\alpha}, h_2 \xi^2_{\alpha} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \omega(\alpha) \overline{\xi^2_{\alpha}} \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \xi^1_{\alpha} \\ &= \langle \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \xi^1, \xi^2 \rangle_{\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})} \\ &= \langle h_1 \otimes \xi^1, h_2 \otimes \xi^2 \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})}. \end{aligned}$$

and hence τ is an isometry. We now compute the adjoint of τ . We denote by (\widehat{h}_i) an orthonormal basis of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $H = (H_{\alpha}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. We prove that

$$\tau^*(H) = \sum_i \widehat{h_i} \otimes (\langle H_\alpha, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}})_{\alpha}.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \langle \tau^*(H), h \otimes \xi \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \, \omega, \, \mathbb{H})} &= \langle H, h \xi \rangle_{\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \widehat{\mathcal{H}})} = \sum_{\alpha} \omega(\alpha) \langle H_{\alpha}, h \xi_{\alpha} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \Big\langle (\langle H_{\alpha}, h \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}})_{\alpha}, \xi \Big\rangle_{\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})} \end{split}$$

on the one hand, and

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \sum_{i} \widehat{h_{i}} \otimes \left(\langle H_{\alpha}, \widehat{h_{i}} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \right)_{\alpha}, h \otimes \xi \right\rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \ell_{2}(\mathbb{N}^{3}, \omega, \mathbb{H})} &= \sum_{i} \left\langle \left(\langle H_{\alpha}, \widehat{h_{i}} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \langle \widehat{h_{i}}, h \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \right)_{\alpha}, \xi \right\rangle_{\ell_{2}(\mathbb{N}^{3}, \omega, \mathbb{H})} \\ &= \left\langle \left(\langle H_{\alpha}, h \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \right)_{\alpha}, \xi \right\rangle_{\ell_{2}(\mathbb{N}^{3}, \omega, \mathbb{H})} \end{split}$$

on the other hand, and the claim on the adjoint follows.

We can now prove that τ is unitary.

$$\tau\tau^*(H) = \tau \left(\sum_i \widehat{h_i} \otimes (\langle H_\alpha, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}})_\alpha\right) = \sum_i \widehat{h_i} (\langle H_\alpha, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}})_\alpha = (H_\alpha)$$

and

$$\tau^* \tau(h \otimes \xi) = \tau^*(h\xi) = \sum_i \widehat{h_i} \otimes \left(\langle (h\xi)_\alpha, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \right)$$
$$= \sum_i \widehat{h_i} \otimes \langle h, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \xi = \left(\sum_i \widehat{h_i} \langle h, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \right) \otimes \xi = h \otimes \xi,$$

since $(h \otimes p\xi) = (hp) \otimes \xi$.

We now conclude the proof of the theorem in a number of steps. The proof of Step 1 is the same as in the complex case and will be omitted.

Step 1: *The map* Θ *defined by*

$$(\Theta(h))_{\alpha} = A^{\alpha}h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

is an isometry from \mathcal{H} into $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \widehat{\mathcal{H}})$.

We define two other kinds of shifts. The map S^{μ} carries a sequence $(h_{\alpha}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ to $(h_{\alpha+\mu})$. The map \widetilde{S}^{μ} has the same action on a sequence $(H_{\alpha}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Step 2: For $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^3$, it holds that $\widetilde{S}^{\mu}\tau = \tau(I_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes S^{\mu})$.

Indeed, let $h \otimes (h_{\alpha})$ be an elementary tensor in $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})$. Then

$$S^{\mu}\tau(h\otimes(h_{\alpha})) = S^{\mu}(hh_{\alpha}) = (hh_{\alpha+\mu}) = \tau((h\otimes h_{\alpha+\mu})) = \tau(h\otimes S^{\mu}(h_{\alpha}))$$
$$= (\tau(I_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}}\otimes S^{\mu}))(h\otimes(h_{\alpha})).$$

Step 3: For $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^3$, it holds that $\widetilde{S}^{\mu} \Theta = \Theta A^{\mu}$, and hence

$$(I_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes S^{\mu})(\tau^* \Theta) = (\tau^* \Theta) A^{\mu} \quad and \quad (I_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes Q(S))(\tau^* \Theta) = (\tau^* \Theta) Q(A), \tag{5}$$

where $Q(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{\mu} x^{\mu} q_{\mu}$ is a polynomial with real coefficients.

Indeed, for $h \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \tau \Big(I_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes S^{\mu} \Big) \tau^* \Theta h &= \tau \Big(I_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes S^{\mu} \Big) \tau^* (A^{\alpha} h) \\ &= \tau \Big(I_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes S^{\mu} \Big) \Big(\sum_i \widehat{h_i} \otimes \Big(\langle A^{\alpha} h, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \Big)_{\alpha} \Big) \\ &= \tau \Big(\sum_i \widehat{h_i} \otimes \Big(\langle A^{\alpha+\mu} h, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \Big)_{\alpha} \Big) \\ &= \sum_i \widehat{h_i} \Big(\langle A^{\alpha+\mu} h, \widehat{h_i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \Big)_{\alpha} = (A^{\alpha+\mu} h). \end{split}$$

Then the result also holds for any finite linear combination $\sum_{\mu} x^{\mu} q_{\mu}$ with real q_{μ} . The proof of the next step is a direct consequence of (5).

Step 4: von Neumann inequality holds with the operators S_1, S_2, S_3 .

Step 5: von Neumann inequality holds with the operators M_1, M_2, M_3 .

Indeed the map T defined by

$$T(f_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3}} \zeta^{\alpha}(x) f_{\alpha} \omega(\alpha)$$

is an isomorphism of right quaternionic Hilbert spaces from $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}^3, \omega, \mathbb{H})$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}$, and its adjoint is given by

$$T^*f = \left(\frac{f_{\alpha}}{\omega_{\alpha}}\right)_{\alpha}$$
 with $f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$.

Further,

$$(TS_{\ell}T^{*})(f) = TS_{\ell}\left(\frac{f_{\alpha}}{\omega_{\alpha}}\right) = T\left(\left(\frac{f_{\alpha+e^{\ell}}}{w_{\alpha+e^{\ell}}}\right)\right) = \sum_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha} \frac{f_{\alpha+e^{\ell}}}{\omega_{\alpha+e^{\ell}}} \omega_{\alpha}$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha} f_{\alpha+e^{\ell}} \frac{\alpha_{\ell}+1}{|\alpha|+1} = \sum_{\alpha \ge e^{\ell}} \zeta^{\alpha-e^{\ell}}(x) f_{\alpha} \frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{|\alpha|}$$

and

$$(TS_{\ell}^{*}T^{*})(f) = TS_{\ell}^{*}\left(\frac{f_{\alpha}}{\omega_{\alpha}}\right) = T\left(\frac{f_{\alpha-\ell^{\ell}}}{\omega_{\alpha-\ell^{\ell}}}\frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{|\alpha|}\right) = \sum_{\alpha \ge \ell^{\ell}} \zeta^{\alpha}(x)\frac{f_{\alpha-\ell^{\ell}}}{\omega_{\alpha-\ell^{\ell}}}\frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{|\alpha|}$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha \ge \ell^{\ell}} \zeta^{\alpha}(x)f_{\alpha-\ell^{\ell}}\frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{|\alpha|}\frac{(\alpha-\ell^{\ell})!}{(|\alpha|-1)!} = \zeta_{\ell} \odot f = M_{\ell}f.$$
(6)

Thus

$$\|Q(S_1, S_2, S_3)\| = \|Q(T(S_1, S_2, S_3)T^*)\| = \|Q(T(S_1, S_2, S_3)T^*)^*\|$$

= $\|Q(T(S_1^*, S_2^*, S_3^*)T^*)\| = \|Q(M_1, M_2, M_3)\|,$

where the next to last equality uses the fact that Q has real coefficients, and the last equality uses (6).

It remains to let $r \rightarrow 1$ to conclude the proof.

References

- S.W. Drury, A generalization of von Neumann's inequality to the complex ball, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1978), pp. 300–304.
- [2] W. Arveson, Subalgebras of C*-algebras III: Multivariable operator theory, Acta Math. 181 (1998), pp. 159–228.
- [3] G. Popescu, von Neumann Inequality for $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$, Math. Scand. 68 (1991), pp. 292–304.
- [4] D. Alpay and H.T. Kaptanoğlu, Some finite-dimensional backward-shift-invariant subspaces in the ball and a related interpolation problem, Integral Eqns. Oper. Theory 42 (2002), pp. 1–21.
- [5] D. Alpay, M. Shapiro, and D. Volok, *Espaces de de Branges Rovnyak et fonctions de Schur: Le cas hyper-analytique*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338 (2004), pp. 437–442.
- [6] D. Alpay, M. Shapiro, and D. Volok, *Rational hyperholomorphic functions in R⁴*, J. Funct. Anal. 221 (2005), pp. 122–149.
- [7] F. Sommen, A product and an exponential function in hypercomplex function theory, Appl. Anal. 12 (1981), pp. 13–26.
- [8] L.P. Horwitz and A. Razon, *Tensor product of quaternion Hilbert modules*, in *Classical and Quantum Systems*, H.-D. Doebner, W. Scherer, and F. Schroeck, eds., World Scientific, River Edge, 1993, pp. 266–268.
- [9] A. Razon and L.P. Horwitz, Uniqueness of the scalar product in the tensor product of quaternion Hilbert modules, J. Math. Phys. 33 (1992), pp. 3098–3104.
- [10] T.W. Hungerford, Algebra, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1974.
- [11] N. Bourbaki, Éléments de Mathématique: Algèbre, Chapitres 1 à 3, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [12] D. Alpay and M. Shapiro, *Reproducing kernel quaternionic Pontryagin spaces*, Integral Eqns. Oper. Theory 50 (2004), pp. 431–476.