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# ABSTRACT <br> PAINLEVÉ TEST AND THE PAINLEVÉ EQUATIONS HIERARCHIES 

Fahd Jrad<br>Ph. D. in Mathematics<br>Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Uĝ̀urhan Muĝan<br>January, 2001

Recently there has been a considerable interest in obtaining higher order ordinary differential equations having the Painleve property. In this thesis, starting from the first, the second and the third Painleve transcendents polynomial and non-polynomial type higher order ordinary differential equations having the Painlevé property have been obtained by using the singular point analysis.
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## ÖZET

# PAINLEVÉ TESTİ VE PAINLEVÉ DENKLEMLERİNİN HİYERARŞILERI 

Fahd Jrad<br>Matematik Bölümü Doktora<br>Tez Yöneticisi: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Uĝurhan Muĝan<br>Ocak, 2001

Son zamanlarda Painlevé özelliĝine sahip, yüksek dereceli adi diferansiyel denklemleri bulmaya ilgi oluşmuştur. Bu tezde, birinci, ikinci ve üçüncü Painlevé denklemlerinden başlayarak, Painlevé özelliĝine sahip yüksek dereceli polinom ve polinom olmayan adi diferansiyel denklemler tekil nokta analizi kullanılarak bulunmuştur.

Anahter Kelimeler: Painlevé özelliĝi, Hareketli tekil nokta, Rezonans, Uyumluluk şartları.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

An ordinary differential equation (ODE) is said to be of Painleve type, or have the Painlevé property, if the only movable singularities of its solutions are poles. Movable singularity means that its location depends on the constant of integration of the differential equation.

The Ricatti equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=a(z) y^{2}+b(z) y+c(z) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are locally analytic functions in $z$ is the only example of the first-order first-degree differential equation which has the Painlevé property. Fuchs $[3,4]$ considered the equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is polynomial in $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ and locally analytic in $z$, such that the movable branch points are absent, that is, the generalization of Riccati equation. The irreducible form of the first order algebraic differential equation of the second-degree is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}(z)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{2} b_{i}(z) y^{i} y^{\prime}+\sum_{j=0}^{4} c_{j}(z) y^{j}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i}, c_{j}$ are analytic functions of $z$ and $a_{0}(z) \neq 0$. Briot and Bouquet [3] considered the subcase of (1.2). That is, first order binomial equations of degree $m$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{m}+F(z, y)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(z, y)$ is a polynomiai of degree at most $2 m$ in $y$ and $m$ is a positive integer. It was found that there are six types of equation of the form (1.4).

But, all these equations are either reducible to a linear equation or solvable by means of elliptic functions [3].

The most well known second-order first-degree Painlevé type equations are $\mathrm{P}_{I}, P_{I I}, \ldots, P_{V I}$ discovered by Painlevé and his school $[1,2,3]$ around the turn of the last century. They classified all equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is rational in $y^{\prime}$, algebraic in $y$ and locally analytic in $z$. They found fifty such equations, but six of them

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}_{I}: y^{\prime \prime}=6 y^{2}+z, \\
& \mathrm{P}_{I I}: y^{\prime \prime}= \\
& \mathrm{P}_{I I I}: y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-\frac{y^{\prime}}{z}+\gamma y^{3}+\frac{\alpha}{z} y^{2}+\frac{\beta}{z}+\frac{\delta}{y}, \\
& \mathrm{P}_{I V}: y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2 y}+\frac{3}{2} y^{3}+4 z y^{2}+2\left(z^{2}-\alpha\right) y+\frac{\beta}{y},  \tag{1.6}\\
& \mathrm{P}_{V}: y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3 y-1}{2 y(y-1)}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{z} y^{\prime} \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{\alpha}{z^{2} y(y-1)^{2}+\frac{\beta(y-1)^{2}}{z^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{z} y+\frac{\delta y(y+1)}{y-1},} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{V I}: y^{\prime \prime}= \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{y-1}+\frac{1}{y-z}\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{z-1}+\frac{1}{y-z}\right) y^{\prime} \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{y(y-1)(y-z)}{\left.z^{2}(z-1)^{2}\right)}\left(\alpha+\frac{\beta z}{y^{2}}+\frac{\gamma(z-1)}{(y-1)^{2}}+\frac{\delta z(z-1)}{(y-z)^{2}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

are the only irreducible ones and define new transcendents. Any of the other forty four equations either can be integrated in terms of the known functions or can be reduced to one of the six equations by using the Möbius transformation. Although the Painlevé equations were discovered from strictly mathematical considerations, they have appeared in many physical problems, and possess rich internal structure.

Second-order second-degree Painlevé type equations of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=E\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ and $F$ are assumed to be rational in $y, y^{\prime}$ and locally analytic in $z$ were subject of the articles $[8,13,18]$. In $[8,13]$, the special form, $E=0$, and hence $F$ is polynomial in $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ of (1.7) was considered. Also, in this case no new Painlevé type equation was discovered, since all of them can be solved either in terms of the known functions or one of the six Painlevé transcendents. In [18], it was shown that all the second-degree equations obtained in $[8,13], E=0$ case, and second-degree equations such that $E \neq 0$ can be obtained from $\mathrm{P}_{I}, \ldots, P_{V I}$ by using the following transformations which preserve the Painlevé property

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z, \hat{\alpha})=\frac{y^{\prime}+\sum_{i=0}^{2} a_{i}(z) y^{i}}{\sum_{i=0}^{2} b_{i}(z) y^{i}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z, \hat{\alpha})=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{2} a_{i}(z) y^{i} y^{\prime}+\sum_{j=0}^{4} b_{j}(z) y^{j}}{\sum_{i=0}^{2} c_{i}(z) y^{i} y^{\prime}+\sum_{j=0}^{4} d_{j}(z) y^{j}}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{i}, d_{j}$ are analytic functions of $z$. That is, if $y$ solves one of the Painlevé equation with parameter set $\alpha$ then $u$ solves a second-order seconddegree Painlevé type equation of the form (1.7) with the parameter set $\hat{\alpha}$.

The special form, polynomial-type, of the third order Painleve type equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is polynomial in $y, y^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime \prime}$ and locally analytic in $z$ was considered in $[5,7]$. The most well known third order equation is Chazy's "natural-barrier" equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime 2}+\frac{4}{36-n^{2}}\left(6 y^{\prime}-y^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $n=\infty$ appears in several physical problems. The equation (1.11) is integrable for all real and complex $n$ and $n=\infty$. Its solutions are rational for $2 \leq n \leq 5$, and have a circular natural barrier for $n \geq 7$ and $n=\infty$. Bureau [7] considered the third order equation of Painleve type of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=P_{1}(y) y^{\prime \prime}+P_{2}(y) y^{\prime 2}+P_{3}(y) y^{\prime}+P_{4}(y) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}(y)$ is a polynomial in $y$ of degree $n$ with analytic coefficients in $z$. In [12] Martynov investigated Painlevé type equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(1-\frac{1}{\nu}\right) \frac{\left(y^{\prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y^{\prime}-y^{2}}+a y y^{\prime \prime}+b\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+c y^{2} y^{\prime}+d y^{4}+a_{1} \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+b_{1} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, a_{1}, b_{1}$ are constants and $d \neq 0$. In [10], Exton attempted to classify equations of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+c \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+\left(e_{2} y^{2}+e_{1} y+e_{0}\right) \frac{y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+\left(f_{1} y^{2}+f_{2} y+f_{0}\right) \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y^{2}} \\
& +\left(g_{4} y^{4}+g_{3} y^{3}+g_{2} y^{2}+g_{1} y+g_{0}\right) \frac{y^{\prime}}{y^{2}}  \tag{1.14}\\
& +\left(h_{6} y^{6}+h_{5} y^{5}+h_{4} y^{4}+h_{3} y^{3}+h_{2} y^{2}+h_{1} y+h_{0}\right) \frac{1}{y^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $b, c$ are constant and the other coefficients are locally analytic in $z$.
In $[7,14]$ fourth order polynomial-type equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=a y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+b y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+c y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+d y y^{\prime 2}+e y^{3} y^{\prime}+f y^{5}+F(z, y) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F(z, y)= & a_{0} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(c_{1} y+c_{0}\right) y^{\prime}+d_{0} y^{\prime 2}+\left(e_{2} y^{2}+e_{1} y+e_{0}\right) y^{\prime} \\
& +f_{4} y^{4}+f_{3} y^{3}+f_{2} y^{2}+f_{1} y+f_{0} \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and all the coefficients $a, b, c, d, e, f$ with or without subscripts are assumed to be analytic functions of $z$ were investigated.

Besides their mathematically rich internal structure and appearance in many physical problems, Painlevé equations play an important role for the completely integrable partial differential equations (PDE). Ablowitz, Ramani and Segur [20] demonstrated a close connection between completely integrable PDE solvable by inverse scattering transform and the Painlevé equations. They conjectured that every non-linear ODE obtained by an exact reduction of a nonlinear PDE solvable by inverse scattering transform has the Painlevé property. They gave an algorithmic method to test the given equation. The test provides the necessary conditions a given PDE is completely integrable. Weiss, Tabor and Carnavale [23] introduced the Painlevé property for PDE's or Painlevé PDE test as a method of applying the Painlevé ODE test directly to a given PDE without having to reduce it to an ODE.

Recently, Kudryashov [16], Clarkson, Joshi and Pickering [17] obtained the higher order Painlevé type equations, the first and second Painlevé hierarchy, by similarity reduction from the Korteweg-de-Vries (KdV) and the modified Korteweg-de Viries (mKdV) hierarchies respectively.

In this work hierarchies of the first, second and third Painlevé equations are investigated by using the Painlevé ODE test, singular point analysis. It is possible to obtain the Painlevé type equation of any order, as well as the known ones, starting from a Painlevé equation. Singular point analysis, an algorithm introduced by Ablowitz, Ramani, Segur [20] to test whether a given ODE satisfies the necessary conditions to be of Painlevé type. It consists of seeking a Laurent series expansion solution of the given ODE in the neighborhood of a movable singularity and requires this series solution to be single-valued and self-consistent.

The singular point analysis can be summarized as follows : Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}=F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}, \ldots, y^{(n-1)}\right), \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an $n$th order ODE where $F$ is analytic in $z$ and rational in the other arguments. Then $y(z)$ is expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_{j}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{j+\alpha} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{0}$ is an arbitrary singularity and $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)<0$. The singular point analysis consists of three basic steps:

1- The leading order analysis: substitute $y=y_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{\alpha}$ in equation (1.17). For certain values of integer $\alpha$, two or more terms balance. These balancing terms are called leading or dominant terms. After finding $\alpha$, one can determine yo.
2- The resonances: For each choice $\left(\alpha, y_{0}\right)$ from step 1 , substitute

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{\alpha}+\delta\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{r+\alpha} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is an arbitrary constant, in the part of (1.17) that contains the dominant or the leading terms only. This equation reduces to $Q(r) \delta\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{r+n+\alpha}=$ 0 . The roots of the polynomial $Q(r)$ are called the resonances. It should noted that -1 must be a resonance that corresponds to the arbitrariness of $z_{0}$ and the other $n-1$ resonances must be distinct integers $\neq-1$.
3- The compatibility conditions: For each choice ( $\alpha, y_{0}$ ) substitute the series (1.18) in (1.17) to get the relation relation for the coefficients $y_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j+1)\left(j-r_{1}\right) \ldots\left(j-r_{n-1}\right) y_{j}=F_{j}\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, are the roots of $Q(r)$. If at each nonnegative $r_{i}$, the compatibility condition $F_{r_{i}}=0$ is satisfied, then equation (1.17) meets the necessary conditions to have the Painlevé property.

Painlevé test was improved in such a way that negative resonances can be treated [24]. In this work, we will consider only the "principal branch" that is, all the resonances $r_{i}$ (except $r_{0}=-1$ ) are positive real distinct integers and the number of resonances is equal to order of the differential equation for a possible choice of $\left(\alpha, y_{0}\right)$. Then, the compatibility conditions give full set of arbitrary integration constants. The other possible choices of ( $\alpha, y_{0}$ ) may give "secondary branch" which possess several distinct negative integer resonances. Negative but distinct integer resonances give no conditions which contradict integrability [21].

The procedure to obtain higher order Painlevé type equations starting any Painlevé equation may be summarized as follows:
I. Take an $n$th order Painleve type differential equation of the form (1.17). If $y \sim y_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{\alpha}$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$, then $\alpha$ is a negative integer for certain values of $y_{0}$. Moreover, the highest derivative term is one of the dominant terms. Then the dominant terms are of order $\alpha-n$. There are $n$ resonances $r_{0}=-1, r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots r_{n-1}$, with all $r_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots,(n-1)$ being nonnegative distinct integers such that $Q\left(r_{j}\right)=0, j=0,1,2, \ldots,(n-1)$. The compatibility conditions, for the simplified equation that retains only dominant terms of (1.17) are identically satisfied. Differentiating the simplified equation with respect to
$z$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n+1)}=G\left(z, y, y^{\prime}, \ldots, y^{(n)}\right) . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ contains the terms of order $\alpha-n-1$, and the resonances of (1.21) are the roots of $Q\left(r_{j}\right)(\alpha+r-n)=0$. Hence, equation (1.21) has a resonance $r_{n}=n-\alpha$ additional to the resonances of (1.17). Equation (1.21) passes the Painlevé test provided that $r_{n} \neq r_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots,(n-1)$ and positive integer. Moreover the compatibility conditions are identically satisfied, that is $z_{0}, y_{r_{1}}, \ldots, y_{r_{n}}$ are arbitrary.
II. Add the dominant terms which are not contained in $G$. Then the resonances of the new equation are the zeros of a polynomial $\tilde{Q}(r)$ of order $n+1$. Find the coefficients of $\tilde{Q}(r)$ such that there is at least one principal Painlevé branch. That is, all $n+1$ resonances (except $r_{0}=-1$ ) are positive distinct integers for at least one possible choice of $\left(\alpha, y_{0}\right)$. The other possible choices of $\left(\alpha, y_{0}\right)$ may give the secondary Painleve branch, that is all the resonances are distinct integers.
III. Add the non-dominant terms which are the terms of weight less than $\alpha-n-1$, with (locally) analytic coefficients of $z$. Find the coefficients of the non-dominant terms by using the compatibility conditions.

In this work we apply the procedure to the first, the second and the third Painlevé equations. In Chapter 2, we start with the first Painlevé equation $\mathrm{P}_{I}$ and obtain the third, fourth, fifth and sixth order equations of Painlevé type. In Chapter 3, we start with the second Painlevé equation $\mathrm{P}_{I I}$ and obtain the third, fourth and some of the fifth and sixth order equations with the Painlevé property. In Chapter 4, we start with the third Painleve equation $\mathrm{P}_{I I I}$ and obtain third order equations of Painlevé type.

## Chapter 2

## The first Painlevé hierarchy

In this chapter, we apply the procedure to the first Painlevé equations and give Painlevé type equations, of order three, four, five and six.

### 2.1 Third order equations: $\mathrm{P}_{I}^{(3)}$

The first Painlevé equation, $P_{I}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=6 y^{2}+z \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Painlevé test gives that there is only one branch and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha, y_{0}\right)=(-2,1) \quad Q(r)=r^{2}-5 r-6 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant terms are $y^{\prime \prime}$ and $y^{2}$ which are of order -4 as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$. Taking the derivative of the simplified equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a y y^{\prime} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a constant which can be introduced by replacing $y$ with $\lambda y$, such that $12 \lambda=a$. For the equation (2.3), $\left(\alpha, y_{0}\right)=(-2,12 / a)$. No more polynomial type term of weight -5 with constant coefficients can be added to (2.3). The resonances of (2.3) are the zeros of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}(r)=Q(r)(r-4) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the resonances are $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(-1,4,6)$. Next step is to add the terms of weight greater than -5 of $z$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a y y^{\prime}+A_{1}(z) y^{\prime \prime}+A_{2}(z) y^{2}+A_{3}(z) y^{\prime}+A_{4}(z) y+A_{5}(z) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i} i=1, \ldots, 5$ are (locally) analytic functions in $z$. The linear transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(z)=\mu(z) u(t)+\nu(z), \quad t=\rho(z) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu, \nu$ and $\rho$ are analytic functions of $z$ preserves the Painlevé property. By using the transformation (2.6), one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 A_{1}+A_{2}=0, \quad A_{3}=0, \quad a=12 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-2}+\sum_{j=1}^{6} y_{j}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{j-2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

into equation (2.5) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=1, \quad y_{1}=0, \quad y_{2}=0, \quad y_{3}=A_{4}\left(z_{0}\right) / 12 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The recursion relation for $j=4$ implies that, if $y_{4}=$ arbitrary, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{4}^{\prime}-A_{1} A_{4}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $j=5$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{5}=-\frac{1}{72}\left[12 A_{5}^{(0)}+20 A_{2}^{(0)} y_{4}+12 A_{4}^{(2)}+2 A_{2}^{(1)} A_{4}^{(0)}\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}^{(k)}, k=0,1,2, \ldots$ denote the coefficient of the $k^{\text {th }}$ order term of Taylor series expansion of the function $A_{i}(z)$ about $z=z_{0}$. The compatibility condition at the resonance $r_{2}=6$ implies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{2}=0  \tag{2.12}\\
-6\left(A_{1} A_{5}+A_{5}^{\prime}\right)-A_{4}\left(A_{4}-A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}\right)+3 A_{4} A_{1}^{\prime \prime}-3 A_{1} A_{4}^{\prime \prime}-A_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

if $y_{6}$ is arbitrary. According to (2.12.a), there are two cases should be considered separately:
I. $A_{1}(z)=0$ : Equations (2.7),(2.10) and (2.12.b) imply that $A_{2}=0, A_{4}=$ $c_{1}=$ constant,
$A_{5}(z)=-\left(c_{1}^{2} / 6\right) z+c_{2}, \quad c_{2}=$ constant. Then the canonical form of the third order Painlevé type equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=12 y y^{\prime}+c_{1} y-\frac{1}{6} c_{1}^{2} z+c_{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$, then (2.13) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=6 y^{2}+k, \quad k=\text { constant }, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the solution in terms of the elliptic functions. If $c_{1} \neq 0$, then replacing $z+c_{2} / k^{2}$ by $z$ where $k=-c_{1} / 6$, and then replacing $y$ by $\beta y$ and $z$ by $\gamma z$ such that $\gamma^{2} \beta=1$ and $k \gamma^{3}=-1$ in (2.13). Then it takes the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=12 y y^{\prime}+6 y-6 z \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one lets $y=u^{\prime}$, integrates with respect to $z$ once and replaces $u$ by $u-c / 6$ to eliminate the integration constant $c$, then (2.15) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=6 u^{\prime 2}+6 u-3 z^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.16) was also given by Chazy and Bureau [5, 7].
II. $A_{1}(z)=1 /\left(z-c_{1}\right)$ : Equations (2.7), (2.10) and (2.12.b) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=-\frac{6}{z-c_{1}}, \quad A_{4}=c_{2}\left(z-c_{1}\right), \quad A_{5}=-\frac{1}{24} c_{2}^{2}\left(z-c_{1}\right)^{3}+\frac{c_{3}}{z-c_{1}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}, i=1,2,3$, are constants. Then the canonical form after replacing $z-c_{1}$ by $z$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=12 y y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{z}\left(y^{\prime \prime}-6 y^{2}\right)+c_{2} z y+\frac{c_{3}}{z}-\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{24} z^{3} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.18) was also considered in [7]. Replacing $z$ by $\gamma z$ and $y$ by $\beta y$, such that $\gamma^{2} \beta=1$ and $c_{2} \gamma^{4}=12$ reduces the equation (2.18) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=12 y y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{z}\left(y^{\prime \prime}-6 y^{2}-k\right)+12 z y-6 z^{3} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an arbitrary constant. Integrating (2.19) once yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u^{\prime \prime}-6 u^{2}-\frac{k_{1}}{4}\right)^{2}=z^{2}\left(u^{\prime 2}-4 u^{3}-\frac{k_{1}}{2} u\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}=-(k+72) / 3$ and $u=y-z^{2} / 12$. There exists one-to-one correspondence between $u(z)$ and solution of the fourth Painlevé equation [18].

### 2.2 Fourth order equations: $\mathrm{P}_{I}^{(4)}$

Differentiating (2.3) with respect to $z$ gives the terms $y^{(4)}, y^{\prime 2}, y y^{\prime \prime}$, all of which are of order -6 for $\alpha=-2$ and as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$. Adding the term $y^{3}$ which is also of order -6 , gives the following simplified equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=a_{1} y^{\prime 2}+a_{2} y y^{\prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{3} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are constants. Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-2}+\delta\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{r-2} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

into above equation gives the following equations for resonance $r$ and for $y_{0}$ respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(r)=(r+1)\left[r^{3}-15 r^{2}+\left(86-a_{2} y_{0}\right) r+2\left(2 a_{1} y_{0}+3 a_{2} y_{0}-120\right)\right]=0, \\
a_{3} y_{0}^{2}+2\left(2 a_{1}+3 a_{2}\right) y_{0}-120=0 . \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (2.23.b) implies that in general, there are two branches of Painlevé expansion, if $a_{3} \neq 0$. Now, one should determine $y_{0 j}, j=1,2$ and $a_{i}$ such that at least one of the branches is the principal branch. That is, all the resonances (except $r_{0}=-1$ which is common for both branches) are distinct positive integers for one of $\left(-2, y_{0 j}\right), j=1,2$. Negative but distinct resonances for the secondary branch may be allowed, since they give no conditions which contradict the Painlevé property. If $y_{01}, y_{02}$ are the roots of (2.23.b), by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=-2\left[\left(2 a_{1}+3 a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}-120\right], \quad j=1,2 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right),\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)$ are the resonances corresponding to the branches $\left(-2, y_{01}\right)$ and $\left(-2, y_{02}\right)$ respectively, then one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{1 i}=P\left(y_{01}\right)=p_{1}, \quad \prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{2 i}=P\left(y_{02}\right)=p_{2} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are integers and such that, at least one of them is positive. Equation (2.23.b) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{01}+y_{02}=-\frac{2}{a_{3}}\left(2 a_{1}+3 a_{2}\right), \quad y_{01} y_{02}=-\frac{120}{a_{3}} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (2.24) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{01}\right)=120\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right), \quad P\left(y_{02}\right)=120\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{01}}\right) . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $p_{1} p_{2} \neq 0, p, p_{2}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{120} . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, one should determine all integer solutions of Diophantine equation under certain conditions. Equation (2.23.a) implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_{1 i}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_{2 i}=$ 15. Let $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)$ be the distinct positive integers, then $r_{11}+r_{12}+r_{13}=15$ implies that there are 12 possible choices of $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$. Then (2.28) has negative integer solutions $p_{2}$ for each of the possible values of $p_{1}$ except $p_{1}=120$. $p_{1}=120$ case which corresponds to $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=(4,5,6)$ will be considered later. The equations (2.26), (2.27.a) and $\sum_{i \neq j} r_{1 i} r_{1 j}=86-a_{2} y_{01}$ determine $y_{01}, y_{02}, a_{1}, a_{3}$ in terms of $a_{2}$. Hence, all the coefficients of (2.23.a) are
determined such that its roots $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)$ corresponding to $y_{01}$ are positive distinct integers, and $\prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{2 i}=p_{2}<0$ and integer for $y_{02}$. Then, it should be checked that whether the resonances $\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)$ are distinct integers (i.e the existence of the secondary branch). There are 4 cases out of 11 cases such that ( $r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}$ ) corresponding to $y_{01}$ being positive distinct integers and ( $r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}$ ) corresponding to $y_{02}$ being distinct integers. These cases are as follows:

Case 1:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{30}{a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(2,3,10) \\
y_{02}=\frac{60}{a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{21} ; r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-2,5,12) \\
a_{1}=0, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{1}{15} a_{2}^{2} \\
y^{(4)}=a_{2}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{15} a_{2} y^{3}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 2:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{20}{a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(2,5,8) \\
y_{02}=\frac{60}{a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-3,8,10) \\
a_{1}=\frac{1}{2} a_{2}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{1}{10} a_{2}^{2} \\
y^{(4)}=a_{2}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} y^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{10} a_{2} y^{3}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 3:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{18}{a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(3,4,8) \\
y_{02}=\frac{90}{a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-5,8,12) \\
a_{1}=\frac{1}{2} a_{2}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{2}{27} a_{2}^{2} \\
y^{(4)}=a_{2}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} y^{\prime 2}-\frac{2}{27} a_{2} y^{3}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 4:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{15}{a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(3,5,7) \\
y_{02}=\frac{120}{a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-7,10,12) \\
a_{1}=\frac{3}{4} a_{2}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{1}{15} a_{2}^{2} \\
y^{(4)}=a_{2}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{4} y^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{15} a_{2} y^{3}\right) \tag{2.32}
\end{gather*}
$$

For each case the compatibility conditions are identically satisfied. To find the canonical form of the fourth order equations of Painleve type, one should
add non-dominant terms with the coefficients which are analytic functions of $z$. That is, one should consider the following equation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(4)}=a_{1} y^{\prime 2}+a_{2} y y^{\prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{3}+A_{1}(z) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{2}(z) y y^{\prime}+ \\
A_{3}(z) y^{\prime \prime}+A_{4}(z) y^{2}+A_{5}(z) y^{\prime}+A_{6}(z) y+A_{7}(z) . \tag{2.33}
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 7$ are (locally) analytic functions in $z$ and can be determined by using the compatibility conditions.
Case 1. By using the transformation (2.6), one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 A_{1}+A_{2}=0, \quad A_{3}=0, \quad a_{2}=30 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{01}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-2}+\sum_{j=1}^{r_{3}} y_{j}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{j-2} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

into equation(2.33) gives the recursion relation for $y_{j}$. The recursion relation yields $y_{1}=0$ for $j=1$ and for $j=r_{11}=2, A_{4}=0$ if $y_{2}$ is arbitrary. If $y_{3}$ is arbitrary, then $A_{2}=A_{5}=0$ and then (2.34.a) implies that $A_{1}=0$. Recursion relation for $j=r_{13}=10$ implies that $A_{6}=c_{1}=$ constant and $A_{7}=c_{2}=$ constant if $y_{10}$ is arbitrary. Therefore, the canonical form is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=30 y y^{\prime \prime}-60 y^{3}+c_{1} y+c_{2} . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.36) was also obtained by Cosgrove [15]. For $c_{1}=0$, replacing $y$ by $-y$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=-30 y y^{\prime \prime}-60 y^{3}+c_{2}, \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y(z)$ is the stationary solution of Caudrey-Dodd-Gibbon equation [25].
Case 2: Linear transformation (2.6) allows one to set

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 A_{1}+A_{2}=0, \quad A_{3}=0, \quad a_{2}=20 \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the compatibility conditions imply that $A_{4}=0$ for $j=2, A_{2}=A_{5}=$ $0, A_{6}(z)=c_{1}=$ constant for $j=5$ and $A_{7}=c_{2} z+c_{3}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ are constant, for $j=8$. Then the canonical form for this case is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=10\left(2 y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}-4 y^{3}\right)+c_{1} y+c_{2} z+c_{3} . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can always choose $c_{3}=0$ by replacing $z+c_{3} / c_{2}$ by $z$. Replacing $y$ by $-y / 4$ in (2.39) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}+5 y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{5}{2} y^{\prime 2}+\frac{5}{2} y^{3}+k_{1} y+k_{2} z=0 . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i}=$ constant. Equation (2.40) was also introduced by Kudryashov and Cosgrove [16], [15].
Case 3: By using the linear transformation (2.6), one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 A_{1}+A_{2}=0, \quad 6 A_{3}+A_{4}=0, \quad a_{2}=18 \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the compatibility conditions imply that $A_{2}=A_{5}=A_{6}=0$ and $A_{3}=$ $c_{1}, A_{4}=-6 c_{1}, A_{7}=c_{2} z+c_{3}$, where $c_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are constants. Therefore, the canonical form of the fourth order Painleve type equation for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=18 y y^{\prime \prime}+9 y^{\prime 2}-24 y^{3}+c_{1} y^{\prime \prime}-6 c_{1} y^{2}+c_{2} z+c_{3} . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.42) was also obtained in [15]. For $c_{2} \neq 0$, replacing $z+c_{3} / c_{2}$ by $z$ and then replacing $z$ by $\gamma z$ and $y$ by $\beta y$ such that
$\beta \gamma^{2}=1, c_{2} \gamma^{7}=1$ reduces the (2.42) into the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=18 y y^{\prime \prime}+9 y^{\prime 2}-24 y^{3}+k_{1} y^{\prime \prime}-6 k_{1} y^{2}+z \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}=c_{1} \gamma^{2}$.
Case 4: Linear transformation (2.6) allows one to set

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 A_{1}+A_{2}=0, \quad A_{4}=0, \quad a_{2}=15 \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the compatibility conditions at the resonances $j=3,5,7$ imply that, if $y_{3}, y_{5}, y_{7}$ are arbitrary then $A_{2}=A_{3}=A_{5}=0$ and $A_{6}=c_{1}=$ constant, $A_{7}=$ $c_{2}=$ constant. Therefore the canonical form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=15 y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{45}{4} y^{\prime 2}-15 y^{3}+c_{1} y+c_{2} . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one sets $y=-2 u$ then (2.45) takes the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}+30 u u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{45}{2} u^{\prime 2}+60 u^{3}+k_{1} u+k_{2}=0 \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}=-c_{1}, k_{2}=c_{2} / 2 . u(z)$ is the stationary solution of Kuperschmidt equation [25] for $k_{1}=0$ and it was also given in [15].

If $a_{3}=0$, equation (2.23) reduces to

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(r)=(r+1)\left[r^{3}-15 r^{2}+\left(86-a_{2} y_{0}\right) r-120\right]=0 \\
\left(2 a_{1}+3 a_{2}\right) y_{0}-60=0 \tag{2.47}
\end{gather*}
$$

and hence, there is only one Painlevé branch which has to be the principal branch. (2.47.a) implies that $r_{0}=-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_{i}=15$ which gives 12 possible positive distinct integers $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$. But, $\prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{i}=120$ implies that $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=(4,5,6)$ is the only possible choice of the resonances. Equation
(2.47.b) and $\sum_{i \neq j} r_{i} r_{j}=86-a_{2} y_{0}$ imply that $a_{1}=a_{2}$. Then, the simplified equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}\right) . \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding the non-dominant terms with the analytic coefficients of $z$ gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(4)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}\right)+A_{1}(z) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{2}(z) y y^{\prime}+A_{3}(z) y^{\prime \prime}+ \\
A_{4}(z) y^{2}+A_{5}(z) y^{\prime}+A_{6}(z) y+A_{7}(z) \tag{2.49}
\end{array}
$$

One can always set

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 A_{1}+A_{2}=0, \quad A_{3}=0, \quad a_{2}=12, \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the linear transformation (2.6). The compatibility conditions at the resonances $r=4,5,6$ imply that $y_{4}, y_{5}, y_{6}$ are arbitrary and $A_{2}=A_{4}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}=\frac{c_{1}}{2} z+c_{2}, \quad A_{6}=c_{1}, \quad A_{7}=-\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{c_{1}}{2} z+c_{2}\right)^{2} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. Hence, the canonical form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=12\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}\right)+\left(\frac{c_{1}}{2} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+c_{1} y-\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{c_{1}}{2} z+c_{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{1}=0$, then integrating (2.52) once gives the equation(2.15). If $c_{1} \neq 0$, letting $c_{1}=-12 k_{1}, c_{2}=-6 k_{2}$ first, and replacing $z+k_{2} / k_{1}$ by $z$, and then replacing $z$ by $\gamma z, y$ by $\beta y$, such that $\beta \gamma^{2}=1, k_{1} \gamma^{4}=1$ then the equation (2.52) takes the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=12\left(y y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-6 z y^{\prime}-12 y-6 z^{2} . \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one lets $y=-u^{\prime}$ and integrates the resulting equation once then (2.53) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}+12 u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}=6 z u^{\prime}+6 u+2 z^{3}-k, \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

after replacing $u$ by $\beta u, z$ by $\gamma z$ such that $\beta \gamma=-1, \gamma^{4}=-1$. Equation (2.54) was also obtained by Bureau [7] and which belongs to hierarchy of the second Painlevé equation.

### 2.3 Fifth order equations: $\mathrm{P}_{I}^{(5)}$

Differentiating (2.21) with respect to $z$ gives the terms $y^{(5)}, y y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}, y^{2} y^{\prime}$ which are all the dominant terms for $\alpha=-2$ and $z \rightarrow z_{0}$. Therefore, the simplified equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}=a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are constants. Substituting (2.22) into (2.55) gives the following equations for the resonance $r$ and $y_{0}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(r+1)\left\{r^{4}-21 r^{3}+\left(176-a_{1} y_{0}\right) r^{2}+\left[2\left(5 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-378\right] r\right. \\
\left.+\left[1800-18\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-a_{3} y_{0}^{2}\right]\right\}=0 \\
a_{3} y_{0}^{2}+6\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-360=0 \tag{2.56}
\end{array}
$$

Equation (2.56.a) implies that one of the resonance $r_{0}=-1$ which corresponds to arbitrariness of $z_{0}$. (2.56.b) implies the existence of two Painlevé branches corresponding to $\left(-2, y_{0 i}\right), i=1,2$. Let $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)$ and $\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)$ be the resonances corresponding to $y_{01}$ and $y_{02}$ respectively. Setting,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=1800-18\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}-a_{3} y_{0 j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, (2.56.a) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{4} r_{1 i}=P\left(y_{01}\right)=p_{1}, \quad \prod_{i=1}^{4} r_{2 i}=P\left(y_{02}\right)=p_{2} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are integers such that at least one of them is positive, to have the principal branch. From equation (2.56.b), one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=-\frac{360}{y_{01} y_{02}}, \quad 2 a_{1}+a_{2}=\frac{60}{y_{01} y_{02}}\left(y_{01}+y_{02}\right) \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the above equation, (2.57) yields the following Diophantine equation, if $p_{1} p_{2} \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{720}, \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, one should determine all possible integer solutions ( $p_{1}, p_{2}$ ) of (2.60). (2.56.a) implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{4} r_{j i}=21 j=1,2$. Then, there are 27 possible cases for $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)$ (i.e. 27 possible values of $p_{1}$ ) such that $r_{1 i}$ 's are positive distinct integers. Diophantine equation implies that there are 12 cases out of 27 cases such that both $p_{1}>0, p_{2}<0$ are integers. By using the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq j} r_{1 i} r_{1 j}=176-a_{1} y_{01}, \quad \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} r_{1 i} r_{1 j} r_{1 k}=-2\left[\left(5 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{01}-378\right] \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2.59), $y_{01}, y_{02}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ can be obtained in terms of $a_{1}$ for each 12 possible integer values of $\left(p_{1}, n_{2}\right)$. But, there are only 4 cases out of 12 cases such that the resonances $\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)$ corresponding to $y_{02}$ are distinct integers. These cases and the corresponding simplified equations are as follows:
Case 1:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{30}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(2,3,6,10) \\
y_{02}=\frac{60}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(-2,5,6,12) \\
a_{2}=a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{1}{5} a_{1}^{2} \\
y^{(5)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{5} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.62}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 2:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{15}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(3,5,6,7) \\
y_{02}=\frac{120}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(-7,6,10,12) \\
a_{2}=\frac{5}{2} a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{1}{5} a_{1}^{2} \\
y^{(5)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{5}{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{5} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.63}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 3 :

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{18}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(3,4,6,8) \\
y_{02}=\frac{90}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(-5,6,8,12) \\
a_{2}=2 a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{2}{9} a_{1}^{2} \\
y^{(5)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{9} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.64}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 4:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{20}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(2,5,6,8) \\
y_{02}=\frac{60}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(-3,6,8,10)  \tag{2.65}\\
a_{2}=2 a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{3}{10} a_{1}^{2} \\
y^{(5)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{10} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.66}
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility conditions for all 4 cases are identically satisfied. To obtain the canonical form of the fifth order equation of Painlevé type, one should add the non-dominant terms of weight $<7$ for $\alpha=-2$ with analytic coefficients of $z$. Therefore, the general form is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(5)}=a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime}+A_{1}(z) y^{(4)}+ \\
A_{2}(z) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{3}(z) y y^{\prime \prime}+A_{4}(z) y^{\prime \prime}+A_{5}(z) y^{\prime 2}+A_{6}(z) y y^{\prime}+ \\
A_{7}(z) y^{\prime}+A_{8}(z) y^{3}+A_{9}(z) y^{2}+A_{10}(z) y+A_{11}(z) . \tag{2.67}
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $A_{1}(z), \ldots, A_{11}(z)$ can be determined by using the compatibility conditions. Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{01}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-2}+\sum_{j=1}^{r_{4}} y_{j}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{j-2} \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

into (2.67) gives the recursion relation for $y_{j}$. The recursion relations for $j=r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}$ give the compatibility conditions if $y_{r_{11}}, y_{r_{12}}, y_{r_{13}}, y_{r_{14}}$ are arbitrary.
Case 1: By using the linear transformation (2.6), one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
120 . A_{1}+6 . A_{3}+4 A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad A_{6}=0, \quad a_{1}=30 \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $y_{01}=1$ and $y_{1}=0$. The compatibility conditions at $j=2,3,6,10$ imply that all the coefficients are zero except

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{7}=c_{1} z+c_{2}, \quad A_{10}=2 c_{1} \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. Then the canonical form for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}=30\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-6 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+2 c_{1} y . \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.71) was also obtained in [15]. If $c_{1} \neq 0$, replacing $z+c_{2} / c_{1}$ by $z$ and then replacing $z$ by $\gamma z$ and $y$ by $\beta y$ such that $\gamma^{2} \beta=1, c_{1} \gamma^{5}=1$ in (2.71) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}=30\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-6 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)+z y^{\prime}+2 y . \tag{2.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: One can always choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
120 A_{1}+6 A_{3}+4 A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad 12 A_{2}+A_{6}=0, \quad a_{1}=15, \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the linear transformation (2.6). Then $y_{01}=1, y_{1}=y_{2}=0$. The compatibility conditions at $j=3,5,6,7$ imply that all the coefficients are zero except

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{7}=c_{1} z+c_{2}, \quad A_{10}=2 c_{1}, \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. Then the canonical form for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}=15\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{5}{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+2 c_{1} y . \tag{2.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.75) was also given in [15]. If $c_{1} \neq 0$, replacing $z+c_{2} / c_{1}$ by $z$ and then replacing $z$ by $\gamma z$ and $y$ by $\beta y$ such that $\gamma^{2} \beta=1, c_{1} \gamma^{5}=1$ in (2.75) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}=15\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{5}{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)+z y^{\prime}+2 y . \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 3: By using the transformation (2.6) one can set $y_{01}=1, y_{1}=y_{2}=0$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
120 A_{1}+6 . A_{3}+4 . A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad 12 . A_{2}+9 . A_{6}=0, \quad a_{1}=18 \tag{2.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at $j=3,4,6,8$ give

$$
\begin{align*}
& 6 A_{4}+A_{7}=0  \tag{2.78}\\
& -6 A_{3}+4 A_{5}-3 A_{8}=0, \quad A_{7}=0  \tag{2.79}\\
& 24 A_{6}^{\prime}-48 A_{9}-A_{6} A_{8}=0, \quad-24 A_{10}^{\prime}+A_{8} A_{10}=0 \tag{2.80}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 A_{5}+3 A_{8}=0, \quad 24 A_{9}^{\prime}+A_{8}^{2}=0, \quad 24 A_{9}^{\prime}+A_{8} A_{9}=0 \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The equation (2.81.b) implies that there are two cases that should be considered separately.
a. $A_{8}(z)=0$ : The equations (2.77)-(2.81) and the compatibility condition at $j=8$ implies that all the coefficients are zero except

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{6}=c_{1}, \quad A_{2}=-\frac{1}{6} c_{1}, \quad A_{11}=c_{2} \tag{2.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. Then, the canonical form of the equation for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}=18\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-4 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{6} c_{1} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+c_{1} y y^{\prime}+c_{2} . \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.83) was given in [15].
b. $A_{8}(z)=24 /(z-c)$ : For simplicity, let the constant $c=0$. Then the equations (2.77)-(2.81) and the compatibility condition at $j=8$ implies that there are two following distinct cases:
i.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A_{1}=\frac{1}{z}, \quad A_{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{6}, \quad A_{3}=-\frac{18}{z}, \quad A_{4}=-\frac{c_{2}}{6 z}, \quad A_{5}=-\frac{9}{z} \\
A_{6}=-2 c_{2}, \quad A_{7}=\frac{c_{2}}{z}, \quad A_{10}=0, \quad A_{11}=\frac{c_{1}}{z}, \tag{2.84}
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. Then, the canonical form is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(5)}= & 18\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-4 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{z} y^{(4)}+\frac{c_{2}}{6} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{18}{z} y y^{\prime \prime}  \tag{2.85}\\
& -\frac{c_{2}}{6 z} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{9}{z} y^{\prime 2}-2 c_{2} y y^{\prime}+\frac{24}{z} y^{3}+\frac{c_{2}}{z} y^{2}+\frac{c_{1}}{z} .
\end{align*}
$$

Equaton (2.85) was also given in [15]. When $c_{2}=0$; if one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y^{(4)}-3\left(6 y y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime 2}-8 y^{3}\right), \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (2.85) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{z} u+\frac{c_{1}}{z} . \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (2.85) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=3\left(6 y y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime 2}-8 y^{3}\right)+k z-c_{1}, \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an arbitrary constant. Equation (2.88) is nothing but the equation (2.43) with $k_{1}=0$.
ii. $A_{4}=A_{7}=A_{9}=0$ and,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\frac{1}{z}, \quad A_{2}=-\frac{c_{3}}{2} z, \quad A_{3}=-\frac{18}{z}, \quad A_{5}=-\frac{9}{z} \\
& \quad A_{6}=6 c_{3} z, \quad A_{10}=\frac{c_{3}^{2}}{2} z, \quad A_{11}=-\frac{c_{3}^{3}}{36} z^{2}+\frac{c_{4}}{z}, \tag{2.89}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{3}, c_{4}$ are constants. Then, the canonical form is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(5)}= & 18\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-4 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{z} y^{(4)}-\frac{c_{3}}{2} z y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{18}{z} y y^{\prime \prime}  \tag{2.90}\\
& -\frac{9}{z} y^{\prime 2}+6 c_{3} z y y^{\prime}+\frac{24}{z} y^{3}+\frac{c_{3}^{2}}{2} z y-\frac{c_{3}^{3}}{36} z^{2}+\frac{c_{4}}{z} .
\end{align*}
$$

When $c_{3}=0,(2.90)$ has the first integral same as (2.85).
Case 4: By using the transformation one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
120 A_{1}+6 A_{3}+4 A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad A_{6}=0, \quad a_{1}=20 \tag{2.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at $j=2$ and $j=5$ implies that $A_{2}=0$ and $A_{4}=0$ respectively. The compatibility conditions at $j=6,8$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 A_{5}+A_{8}=0 \tag{2.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$A_{7}=0, \quad-7 A_{3}+6 A_{5}-2 A_{8}=0, \quad 40 A_{8}^{\prime}+A_{8}^{2}=0, \quad 40 A_{10}^{\prime}+A_{8} A_{10}=0$,
respectively. Therefore there are two cases should be considered separately: a) $A_{8}(z)=0$ and b) $A_{8}(z)=40 / z$ (for simplicity the integration constant is set to zero).
a) $A_{8}(z)=0$ : The equations (2.91)-(2.93) implies that all the coefficients are zero except $A_{7}=c_{1} z+c_{2}, A_{10}=2 c_{1}$ and $A_{11}=c_{3}$ where $c_{i}$ are constants. Then, the canonical form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}=20\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-6 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+2 c_{1} y+c_{3} . \tag{2.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) $A_{8}(z)=40 / z$ : The equations (2.91)-(2.93) and the compatibility conditions at $j=5,8$ imply that

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
A_{1}=\frac{1}{z}, & A_{2}=0, & A_{3}=-\frac{20}{z}, & A_{4}=0, & A_{5}=-\frac{10}{z},  \tag{2.95}\\
A_{6}=0, & A_{7}=-k_{1}, & A_{9}=0, & A_{10}=\frac{k_{1}}{z}, & A_{11}=\frac{k_{2}}{z},
\end{array}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are constants. Then, the canonical form is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(5)}=20\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-6 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{z} y^{(4)}-\frac{20}{z} y y^{\prime \prime} \\
-\frac{10}{z} y^{\prime 2}-k_{1} y^{\prime}+\frac{40}{z} y^{3}+\frac{k_{1}}{z} y+\frac{k_{2}}{z} . \tag{2.96}
\end{array}
$$

When $k_{1}=0$ : if one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y^{(4)}-10\left(2 y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}-4 y^{3}\right) \tag{2.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (2.96) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{z} u+\frac{k_{2}}{z} . \tag{2.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the first integral of (2.96) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=10\left(2 y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}-4 y^{3}\right)+k_{3} z-k_{2} \tag{2.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{3}$ is an arbitrary constant. Replacing $y$ by $-y / 4$ in (2.99) gives (2.40) with $k_{1}=0$.

### 2.4 Sixth order equations: $\mathrm{P}_{I}^{(6)}$

Differentiating (2.55) with respect to $z$ gives the terms $y^{(6)}, y y^{(4)}, y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime 2}$, $y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}$ and $y y^{\prime 2}$ all of which are of order -8 for $\alpha=-2$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$. Adding the term $y^{4}$ which is also of order -8 gives the following simplified equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(6)}=a_{1} y y^{(4)}+a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{\prime \prime 2}+a_{4} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{5} y y^{\prime 2}+a_{6} y^{4} \tag{2.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 6$ are constants. Substituting (2.22) into (2.100) gives the following equations for the resonance $r$ and $y_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
(r+1)\left\{r^{5}-28 r^{4}+\left(323-a_{1} y_{0}\right) r^{3}+\left[\left(15 a_{1}+2 a_{2}\right) y_{0}-1988\right] r^{2}\right. \\
-\left[a_{4} y_{0}^{2}+2\left(43 a_{1}+10 a_{2}+6 a_{3}\right) y_{0}-7092\right] r \\
\left.+2\left[\left(2 a_{5}+3 a_{4}\right) y_{0}^{2}+12\left(10 a_{1}+4 a_{2}+3 a_{3}\right) y_{0}-7560\right]\right\}=0, \\
a_{6} y_{0}^{3}+2\left(3 a_{4}+2 a_{5}\right) y_{0}^{2}+12\left(10 a_{1}+4 a_{2}+3 a_{3}\right) y_{0}-5040=0 \tag{2.101}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (2.101.a) implies that one of the resonance $r_{0}=-1$ which corresponds to arbitrariness of $z_{0}$. Two cases should be considered separately a) $a_{6}=0$ and b) $a_{6} \neq 0$.
a) $a_{6}=0$ : There are two Painlevé branches corresponding to $\left(-2, y_{0 j}\right), j=$ 1,2 , where $y_{0 j}$ 's are the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(3 a_{4}+2 a_{5}\right) y_{0}^{2}+6\left(10 a_{1}+4 a_{2}+3 a_{3}\right) y_{0}-2520=0 \tag{2.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{01}+y_{02}=-\frac{6\left(10 a_{1}+4 a_{2}+3 a_{3}\right)}{3 a_{4}+2 a_{5}}, \quad y_{01} y_{02}=-\frac{2520}{3 a_{4}+2 a_{5}} . \tag{2.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r_{11}, r_{12}, \ldots, r_{15}$ and $r_{21}, r_{22}, \ldots, r_{25}$ be the roots (additional to $r_{0}=-1$ ) of (2.101.a) corresponding to $y_{01}$ and $y_{02}$ respectively. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=-2\left[\left(2 a_{5}+3 a_{4}\right) y_{0 j}^{2}+12\left(10 a_{1}+4 a_{2}+3 a_{3}\right) y_{0 j}-7560\right], \quad j=1,2 . \tag{2.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, (2.101.a) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{5} r_{1 i}=P\left(y_{01}\right)=p_{1}, \quad \prod_{i=1}^{5} r_{2 i}=P\left(y_{02}\right)=p_{2} \tag{2.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{5} r_{1 i}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} r_{2 i}=28 \tag{2.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are integers, and at least one of them is positive. Now, one should determine $y_{0 j}, j=1,2$, and $a_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 5$ such that there is at least one principal branch. Let the branch corresponding to $y_{01}$ be the principal branch, then $p_{1}>0$. Equation (2.104) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{01}\right)=5040\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right)=p_{1}, \quad \dot{P}\left(y_{02}\right)=5040\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{01}}\right)=p_{2} \tag{2.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the (2.103). Therefore, $p_{1}, p_{2}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation, if $p_{1} p_{2} \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{5040} . \tag{2.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation(2.106) implies that there are 57 possible cases of $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, \ldots, r_{15}\right)$ such that $r_{1 i}$ 's are positive distinct integers. Diophantine equation has 27 integer solutions ( $p_{1}, p_{2}$ ) such that $p_{2}<0$. For each 27 cases of $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right), y_{0 j}, j=1,2$, and $a_{i}, i=2, \ldots, 5$ can be obtained from (2.103), (2.107) and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i \neq j} r_{1 i} r_{1 j}=323-a_{1} y_{01}, \quad \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} r_{1 i} r_{1 j} r_{1 k}=-\left[\left(15 a_{1}+2 a_{2}\right) y_{01}+1988\right] \\
\sum_{i \neq j \neq k \dot{F} l} r_{1 i} r_{1 j} r_{k} r_{1 l}=-a_{4} y_{01}^{2}-2\left(43 a_{1}+10 a_{2}+6 a_{3}\right) y_{01}+7092 \tag{2.109}
\end{gather*}
$$

in terms of $a_{1}$. But, there are only 3 cases out of 27 cases such that the resonances ( $r_{21}, r_{22}, \ldots, r_{25}$ ) corresponding to $y_{02}$ are distinct integers. These cases and the corresponding simplified equations are as follows:
Case 1:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{20}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(2,5,6,7,8) \\
y_{02}=\frac{60}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(-3,6,7,8,10) \\
a_{2}=3 a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=2 a_{1}, \quad a_{4}=-\frac{3}{10} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{5}=-\frac{3}{5} a_{1}^{2}, \\
y^{(6)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{(4)}+3 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime \prime 2}-\frac{3}{10} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{5} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{2.110}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 2:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{18}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(3,4,6,7,8), \\
y_{02}=\frac{90}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(-5,6,7,8,12) \\
a_{2}=3 a_{1}: \quad a_{3}=2 a_{1}, \quad a_{4}=-\frac{2}{9} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{5}=-\frac{4}{9} a_{1}^{2}, \\
y^{(6)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{(4)}+3 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime \prime 2}-\frac{2}{9} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{4}{9} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{2.111}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 3:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
y_{01}=\frac{30}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(2,3,6,7,10), \\
y_{02}=\frac{60}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(-2,5,6,7,12) \\
a_{2}=2 a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=a_{1}, \quad a_{4}=-\frac{1}{5} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{5}=-\frac{2}{5} a_{1}^{2}, \\
y^{(6)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{(4)}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y^{\prime \prime 2}-\frac{1}{5} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{5} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{2.112}
\end{array}
$$

The compatibility conditions are identically satisfied for the first two cases but not for the third case. Therefore, the third case will not be considered.

To obtain the canonical form of the sixth order Painleve type equation when $a_{6}=0$, one should add the non-dominant terms with analytic coefficients of $z$. That is,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(6)}=a_{1} y y^{(4)}+a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{\prime \prime 2}+a_{4} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{5} y y^{\prime 2} \\
+A_{1}(z) y^{(5)}+A_{2}(z) y^{(4)}+A_{3}(z) y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{4}(z) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{5}(z) y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \\
+A_{6}(z) y y^{\prime \prime}+A_{7}(z) y^{\prime \prime}+A_{8}(z) y^{2} y^{\prime}+A_{9}(z) y y^{\prime}+A_{10}(z) y^{\prime 2} \\
+A_{11}(z) y^{\prime}+A_{12}(z) y^{3}+A_{13}(z) y^{2}+A_{14}(z) y+A_{15}(z) \tag{2.113}
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $A_{1}(z), \ldots, A_{15}(z)$ can be determined by using the compatibility conditions at the resonances. Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{01}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-2}+\sum_{j=1}^{r_{5}} y_{j}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{j-2} \tag{2.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

into (2.113) gives the recursion relation for $y_{j}$. Then, one can find $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{15}$ such that the recursion relations for $j=r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}$ are identically satisfied, and hence $y_{r_{11}}, y_{r_{12}}, y_{r_{13}}, y_{r_{14}}, y_{r_{15}}$ are arbitrary.
Case 1: By using the linear transformation (2.6), one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
360 A_{1}+12 A_{3}+6 A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad A_{6}=0, \quad a_{1}=20 \tag{2.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $y_{01}=1$ and $y_{1}=0$. The compatibility conditions at $j=2,5,6,7,8$ imply that all the coefficients are zero except

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{7}=c_{1} z+c_{2}, \quad A_{11}=3 c_{1} \tag{2.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. Then the canonical form for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(6)}=20\left(y y^{(4)}+3 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime \prime 2}-6 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-12 y y^{\prime 2}\right)+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+3 c_{1} y^{\prime} \tag{2.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{1} \neq 0$, replacing $z+c_{2} / c_{1}$ by $z$ and then replacing $z$ by $\gamma z$ and $y$ by $\beta y$ such that $\gamma^{2} \beta=1, c_{1} \gamma^{5}=1$ in (2.117) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(6)}=20\left(y y^{(4)}+3 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime \prime 2}-6 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-12 y y^{\prime 2}\right)+z y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime} \tag{2.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: One can always choose $y_{01}=1$, and $y_{1}=y_{2}=0$ by choosing

$$
360 A_{1}+12 A_{3}+6 A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad 120 A_{2}+6 A_{6}+4 A_{10}+A_{12}=0, \quad a_{1}=18, \quad(2.119)
$$

Then, the recursion relation imply that if, $y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{6}, y_{7}$, and $y_{8}$ are arbitrary then $A_{1}=A_{3}=A_{5}=A_{7}=A_{8}=A_{12}=A_{13}=0$ and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A_{2}=-\frac{1}{12}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right), A_{4}=-\frac{1}{6} c_{1}, A_{6}=A_{10}=c_{1} z+c_{2}, \quad A_{9}=2 c_{1} \\
A_{11}=\frac{c_{1}}{72}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right), A_{14}=\frac{1}{36} c_{1}^{2}, A_{15}=-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2592}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) \tag{2.120}
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. Then the canonical form for this case is

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{(6)}=18\left(y y^{(4)}+3 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime \prime 2}-4 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-8 y y^{\prime 2}\right)-\frac{1}{12}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{(4)} \\
-\frac{c_{1}}{6} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y y^{\prime \prime}+2 c_{1} y y^{\prime}+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime 2} \\
+\frac{c_{1}}{72}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{36} y-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2592}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) \tag{2.121}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $c_{1} \neq 0$, replacing $z+c_{2} / c_{1}$ by $z$ and then replacing $z$ by $\gamma z$ and $y$ by $\beta y$ such that $\gamma^{2} \beta=1, c_{1} \gamma^{3}=36$ in (2.121) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(6)} & =18\left(y y^{(4)}+3 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime \prime 2}-4 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-8 y y^{\prime 2}\right)-3 z y^{(4)} \\
& -6 y^{\prime \prime \prime}+36 z\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}\right)+6\left(12 y y^{\prime}+3 z y^{\prime}+6 y-3 z\right) . \tag{2.122}
\end{align*}
$$

b) $a_{6} \neq 0$ : Equation (2.101.b) implies that there are three Painlevé branches corresponding to $\left(-2, y_{0 j}\right), j=1,2,3$ where $y_{0 j}$ are the roots of (2.101.b). (2.101.b) implies that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\prod_{j=1}^{3} y_{0 j}=\frac{5040}{a_{6}}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{0 j}=-\frac{2\left(3 a_{4}+2 a_{5}\right)}{a_{6}}, \\
\sum_{i \neq j} y_{0 i} y_{0 j}=\frac{12}{a_{6}}\left(10 a_{1}+4 a_{2}+3 a_{3}\right) . \tag{2.123}
\end{array}
$$

If the resonances (except $r_{0}=-1$ ) are $r_{1 i}, r_{2 i}, r_{3 i} i=1,2, \ldots, 5$ corresponding to $y_{01}, y_{02}, y_{03}$ respectively. If one sets,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=-2\left[\left(2 a_{5}+3 a_{4}\right) y_{0 j}^{2}+12\left(10 a_{1}+4 a_{2}+3 a_{3}\right) y_{0 j}-7560\right] \tag{2.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, (2.101.a) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{5} r_{1 i}=P\left(y_{01}\right), \quad \prod_{i=1}^{5} r_{2 i}=P\left(y_{02}\right), \quad \prod_{i=1}^{5} r_{3 i}=P\left(y_{03}\right) \tag{2.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{5} r_{1 i}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} r_{2 i}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} r_{3 i}=28 \tag{2.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition of $r_{1 i}, r_{2 i}, r_{3 i}$ being integers and (2.124), (2.125) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{01}\right)=p_{1}, \quad P\left(y_{02}\right)=p_{2}, \quad P\left(y_{03}\right)=p_{3} \tag{2.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ are integers, and at least one is positive. Then the equations (2.123) and (2.124) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}=5040\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{03}}\right) \\
& p_{2}=5040\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{01}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{03}}\right) \\
& p_{3}=5040\left(1-\frac{y_{03}}{y_{01}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{03}}{y_{02}}\right) . \tag{2.128}
\end{align*}
$$

By setting, $\kappa=y_{02}-y_{03}, \mu=y_{03}-y_{01}$, and $\nu=y_{01}-y_{02}$, then (2.128) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-5040 \frac{\mu \nu}{y_{02} y_{03}}, \quad p_{2}=-5040 \frac{\kappa \nu}{y_{01} y_{03}}, \quad p_{3}=-5040 \frac{\kappa \mu}{y_{01} y_{02}} . \tag{2.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq j} p_{i} p_{j}=(5040)^{2} \kappa \mu \nu\left(\frac{\kappa}{y_{01}}+\frac{\mu}{y_{02}}+\frac{\nu}{y_{03}}\right) . \tag{2.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

But,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa}{y_{01}}+\frac{\mu}{y_{02}}+\frac{\nu}{y_{03}}=-\frac{\kappa \mu \nu}{y_{01} y_{02} y_{03}} . \tag{2.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq j} p_{i} p_{j}=-(5040)^{2} \frac{\kappa^{2} \mu^{2} \nu^{2}}{y_{01}^{2} y_{02}^{2} y_{03}^{2}}=\frac{1}{5040} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} \tag{2.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that, $p_{i}, i=1,2,3$, satisfy the following Diophatine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{p_{i}}=\frac{1}{5040} \tag{2.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the principal branch corresponds to $\left(-2, y_{01}\right)$, then the resonances $r_{1 i}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, 5$ are positive distinct integers and thus $p_{1}$ is a positive integer. Equation (2.129) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}=-(5040)^{3} \frac{\kappa^{2} \mu^{2} \nu^{2}}{y_{01}^{2} y_{02}^{2} y_{03}^{2}} . \tag{2.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, either $p_{2}$ or $p_{3}$ is a negative integer. $\sum r_{1 i}=28$ and $r_{1 i}$ being distinct positive integers imply that there are 57 possible values of $p_{1}$. Then, one should find all integer solutions $\left(p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ of (2.133) for each possible values of $p_{1}$. There are 3740 possible integer values of $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ such that $p_{1}, p_{2}>0$ and $p_{3}<0$. Equations (2.123), (2.128) and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i \neq j} r_{1 i} r_{1 j}=323-a_{1} y_{01} \\
\sum_{i \neq j \neq k} r_{1 i} r_{1 j} r_{1 i}=-\left[\left(15 a_{1}+2 a_{2}\right) y_{01}-1988\right] \\
\sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq l} r_{1 i} r_{1 j} r_{1 k} r_{1 l}=-a_{4} y_{01}^{2}-2\left(43 a_{1}+10 a_{2}+6 a_{3}\right) y_{01}+7092(2.135)
\end{gathered}
$$

determine all the coefficients of (2.101.a) in terms of $a_{1}$ for all possible values of ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ ). Now one should find the roots $r_{2 i}, r_{3 i}$ of (2.101.a). There are only 3 cases such that $r_{2 i}, r_{3 i}$ are being distinct integers. The cases and the corresponding simplified equations are as follows:
Case 1:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
y_{01}=\frac{36}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(2,3,4,9,10) \\
y_{02}=\frac{252}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(-5,-7,10,12,18) \\
y_{03}=\frac{72}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}, r_{35}\right)=(-2,3,5,10,12) \\
a_{2}=\frac{5}{3} a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{5}{6} a_{1}, \quad a_{4}=a_{5}=-\frac{5}{18} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{6}=\frac{5}{648} a_{1}^{3} \\
y^{(6)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{(4)}+\frac{5}{3} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{5}{6} y^{\prime \prime 2}-\frac{5}{18} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{5}{18} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{5}{648} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right) \tag{2.136}
\end{array}
$$

Case 2:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
y_{01}=\frac{28}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(2,4,5,7,10) \\
y_{02}=\frac{168}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(-3,-5,10,12,14) \\
y_{03}=\frac{84}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}, r_{35}\right)=(-3,2,7,10,12) \\
a_{2}=2 a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{3}{2} a_{1}, \quad a_{4}=a_{5}=-\frac{5}{14} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{6}=\frac{5}{392} a_{1}^{3}, \\
y^{(6)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{(4)}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} y^{\prime \prime 2}-\frac{5}{14} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{5}{14} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{5}{392} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right) \tag{2.137}
\end{array}
$$

Case 3:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
y_{01}=\frac{21}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(3,4,5,7,9) \\
y_{02}=\frac{336}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(-5,-11,12,14,18) \\
y_{03}=\frac{105}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}, r_{35}\right)=(-5,3,7,11,12) \\
a_{2}=\frac{5}{2} a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{7}{4} a_{1}, \quad a_{4}=-\frac{2}{7} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{5}=-\frac{5}{14} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{6}=\frac{1}{147} a_{1}^{3}, \\
y^{(6)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{(4)}+\frac{5}{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{7}{4} y^{\prime \prime 2}-\frac{2}{7} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{5}{14} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{147} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right) \tag{2.138}
\end{array}
$$

For all three cases, the compatibility conditions are identically satisfied. To obtain the canonical form of the sixth order Painlevé type equation, one should add the non-dominant terms with analytic coefficients of $z$. That is,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(6)}=a_{1} y y^{(4)}+a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{\prime \prime 2}+a_{4} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{5} y y^{\prime 2}+a_{6} y^{4} \\
+A_{1}(z) y^{(5)}+A_{2}(z) y^{(4)}+A_{3}(z) y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{4}(z) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{5}(z) y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \\
+A_{6}(z) y y^{\prime \prime}+A_{7}(z) y^{\prime \prime}+A_{8}(z) y^{2} y^{\prime}+A_{9}(z) y y^{\prime}+A_{10}(z) y^{\prime 2} \\
+A_{11}(z) y^{\prime}+A_{12}(z) y^{3}+A_{13}(z) y^{2}+A_{14}(z) y+A_{15}(z) \tag{2.139}
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $A_{1}(z), \ldots, A_{15}(z)$ can be determined by using the compatibility conditions at the resonances. Substituting (2.114) into (2.139) gives the
recursion relation for $y_{j}$. Then, one can find $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{15}$ such that the recursion relations for $j=r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}$ are identically satisfied, and hence $y_{r_{11}}, y_{r_{12}}, y_{r_{13}}, y_{r_{14}}, y_{r_{15}}$ are arbitrary.
Case 1. By using the linear transformation (2.6), one can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
360 A_{1}+12 A_{3}+6 A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad A_{12}=0, \quad a_{1}=36 \tag{2.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $y_{01}=1$ and $y_{1}=0$. The compatibility conditions at $j=2,3,4,9,10$ imply that all the coefficients are zero except

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{7}=-\frac{c_{1}}{6}, \quad A_{13}=c_{1}, \quad A_{14}=c_{2}, \quad A_{15}=c_{3} \tag{2.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ 's are arbitrary constants. Therefore, the canonical form for this case is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(6)}=36\left(y y^{(4)}+\frac{5}{3} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{5}{6} y^{\prime \prime 2}-10 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-10 y y^{\prime 2}+10 y^{4}\right) \\
-\frac{c_{1}}{6} y^{\prime \prime}+c_{1} y^{2}+c_{2} y+c_{3} \tag{2.142}
\end{array}
$$

Case 2. One can always choose $y_{01}=1$, and $y_{1}=0$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
360 A_{1}+12 A_{3}+6 A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad A_{12}=0, \quad a_{1}=28, \tag{2.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the recursion relation imply that if, $y_{2}, y_{4}, y_{5}, y_{7}$, and $y_{10}$ are arbitrary then all the coefficients are zero except

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{7}=-\frac{c_{1}}{6}, \quad A_{13}=c_{1}, \quad A_{14}=c_{2}, \quad A_{15}=c_{3} z+c_{4} \tag{2.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ 's are arbitrary constants. Then the canonical form is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(6)}=28\left(y y^{(4)}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} y^{\prime \prime 2}-10 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-10 y y^{\prime 2}+10 y^{4}\right) \\
-\frac{c_{1}}{6} y^{\prime \prime}+c_{1} y^{2}+c_{2} y+c_{3} z+c_{4} \tag{2.145}
\end{array}
$$

(2.145) can also be obtained by the similarity reduction of the hierarchy of the (KdV) equation [16].
Case 3: One can always set $y_{01}=1$, and $y_{1}=y_{2}=0$ by choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
360 A_{1}+12 A_{3}+6 . A_{5}+A_{8}=0, \quad 120 A_{2}+6 A_{6}+4 A_{10}+A_{12}=0, \quad a_{1}=21 \tag{2.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the recursion relation imply that if, $y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}, y_{7}$, and $y_{9}$ are arbitrary then all the coefficients are zero except

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\frac{c_{1}}{15}, \quad A_{6}=-c_{1}, \quad A_{10}=-\frac{3}{4} c_{1}, \quad A_{14}=c_{2}, \quad A_{15}=c_{3} \tag{2.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ 's are arbitrary constants. Then the canonical form is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(6)}=21\left(y y^{(4)}+\frac{5}{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{7}{4} y^{\prime \prime 2}-6 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{15}{2} y y^{\prime 2}+3 y^{4}\right) \\
-\frac{c_{1}}{15} y^{(4)}-c_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{4} c_{1} y^{\prime 2}+c_{2} y+c_{3} . \tag{2.148}
\end{array}
$$

## Chapter 3

## The second Painlevé hierarchy

In this chapter we apply the procedure to the second Painlevé equation and present Painlevé type equations of order three, four, five and six.

### 3.1 Third order equations: $\mathrm{P}_{I I}^{(3)}$

The second Painlevé equation, $\mathrm{P}_{I I}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}+z y+\nu \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Painlevé test gives that there are two branches with common resonances are $(-1,4)$. The dominant terms of (3.1) are $y^{\prime \prime}$ and $2 y^{3}$ which are of order -3 as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$. Taking the derivative of the simplified equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a y^{2} y^{\prime} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a constant which can be introduced by replacing $y$ with $\lambda y$, such that $6 \lambda^{2}=a$. Adding the polynomial type terms of order -4 gives the following simplified equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}+a_{2} y^{\prime 2}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime}+a_{4} y^{4} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$ are constants. Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-1}+\delta\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{r-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the simplified equation, to leading order in $\delta$, gives the equation $Q(r)=0$ for the resonance $r$, and for $y_{0}$ respectively

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(r)=(r+1)\left\{r^{2}-\left(a_{1} y_{0}+7\right) r-\left[a_{3} y_{0}^{2}-2\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-18\right]\right\}=0,  \tag{3.5}\\
a_{4} y_{0}^{3}-a_{3} y_{0}^{2}+\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}+6=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (3.5.b) implies that, in general, there are three branches of Painlevé expansion if $a_{4} \neq 0$. Now, one should determine $y_{0 j}, j=1,2,3$ and $a_{i}$ such that at least one of the branch is the principal branch. There are three cases which should be considered separately.

Case I: $a_{3}=a_{4}=0$ : In this case there is only one branch. The resonance equation (3.5.a) implies that $r_{1} r_{2}=6$. Therefore, there are following four cases:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}: y_{01}=-\frac{6}{a_{2}}: & \left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(1,6), a_{1}=0, \\
\mathrm{~b}: y_{01}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(2,3), a_{1}=a_{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
\mathrm{c}: y_{01}=-\frac{12}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(-2,-3), a_{1}=-\frac{2}{3} a_{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d}: y_{01}=-\frac{14}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(-1,-6) .
\end{array}
$$

The case d will not be considered since $r=-1$ is a double resonance. The compatibility conditions are identically satisfied for the first two cases. To find the canonical form of the third-order equations of Painlevé type, one should add non-dominant terms with the coefficients which are analytic functions of $z$. That is, one should consider the following equation for each case

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}+a_{2} y^{\prime 2}+A_{1} y^{\prime \prime}+A_{2} y y^{\prime}+A_{3} y^{3}+A_{4} y^{\prime}+A_{5} y^{2}+A_{6} y+A_{7} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}(z), k=1, \ldots, 7$ are analytic functions of $z$. Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{6} y_{j}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{j-1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

into equation (3.7) gives the recursion relation for $y_{j}$. Then one can find $A_{k}$ such that the recursion relation, i.e. the compatibility conditions for $j=r_{1}, r_{2}$ are identically satisfied, and hence $y_{r_{1}}, y_{r_{2}}$ are arbitrary.
I.a: By using the transformation(2.6), one can set $A_{4}-A_{5}=0, A_{1}=0$, and $a_{2}=-6$. The compatibility condition at the resonance $r_{1}=1$ gives $A_{2}=A_{3}$. The arbitrariness of $y_{1}$ in the recursion relation for $j=6$ and the recursion relation yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}^{\prime \prime}-A_{5}^{2}=0, \quad A_{6}^{\prime \prime}-A_{5} A_{6}=0, \quad A_{7}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{3} A_{5} A_{7}=\frac{1}{6} A_{6}^{2}, \quad A_{3}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

According the equation (3.9.a), there are three cases should be considered separately.
I.a.i: $\quad A_{5}=0$ : From the equation (3.9), all the coefficients $A_{k}$ can be determined uniquely. The canonical form of the third order equation for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y+\frac{1}{72} c_{1}^{2} z^{4}+\frac{1}{18} c_{1} c_{2} z^{3}+\frac{1}{12} c_{2}^{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z+c_{4} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$ are constants. If $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$, then (3.10) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=6 u^{2}-c_{3} z-c_{4} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=-y^{\prime}$. If $c_{3}=0$ then the solution of (3.11) can be written in terms of the elliptic function. If $c_{3} \neq 0$, (3.11) can be transformed into the first Painlevé equation. If $c_{1}=0, c_{2} \neq 0,(3.10)$ takes the following form by replacing $y$ by $\gamma y$ and $z$ by $\delta z$ such that $\gamma \delta=1, c_{2} \delta^{3}=6$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+6 y+3 z^{2}+\tilde{c}_{3} z+\tilde{c}_{4} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c_{3}}=c_{3} \delta^{5}, \tilde{c}_{4}=c_{4} \delta^{4}$. Equation (3.12) was also given in [5] and [7]. If $c_{1} \neq 0, c_{2}=0$, replacing $y$ by $\gamma y$ and $z$ by $\delta z$ in (3.10) such that $\gamma \delta=1, c_{1} \delta^{4}=$ 12 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+12 z y+2 z^{4}+\tilde{c_{3}} z+\tilde{c_{4}}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{3}=c_{3} \delta^{5}, \tilde{c}_{4}=c_{4} \delta^{4}$. Equation (3.13) was also given by Chazy [5] and Bureau [7]. It should be noted that (3.10) can be reduced to (3.13) by replacing $z$ by $z-\left(c_{2} / c_{1}\right)$ and then replacing $y$ by $\gamma y$ and $z$ by $\delta z$ such that $\gamma \delta=1, c_{1} \delta^{4}=12$.
I.a.ii: $\quad A_{5}=\frac{6}{(z+c)^{2}}$ : Without loss of generality the integration constant $c$ can be set to zero. From (3.9), the coefficients $A_{k}$ can be determined and the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+6 z^{-2}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+\left(c_{1} z^{3}+c_{2} z^{-2}\right) y+c_{3} z^{2}+c_{4} z^{-1} \\
+\frac{1}{18}\left(\frac{1}{18} c_{1}^{2} z^{8}+\frac{3}{2} c_{1} c_{2} z^{3}+\frac{3}{4} c_{2}^{2} z^{-2}\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$ are constants. If $c_{1}=c_{2}=0,(3.14)$ is a special case of the equation given by Chazy [5]. If $c_{1}=0, c_{2} \neq 0,(3.14)$ takes the following form by replacing $y$ by $\gamma y$ and $z$ by $\delta z$ such that $\gamma \delta=1, c_{2} \delta=24$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+6 z^{-2}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}+4 y\right)+\tilde{c_{3}} z^{2}+\tilde{c_{4}} z^{-1}+24 z^{-2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c_{3}}=c_{3} \delta^{6}$ and $\tilde{c}_{4}=c_{4} \delta^{3}$. The equation (3.15) is given in [7]. If $c_{1} \neq$ $0, c_{2}=0$, then equation (3.14) takes the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+\frac{6}{z^{2}}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+18 z^{3} y+z^{8}+\tilde{c_{3}} z^{2}+\tilde{c_{4}} \frac{1}{z} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c_{3}}, \tilde{c_{4}}$ are constants and equation (3.16) was also given in [7].
I.a.iii: If one replaces $A_{5}$ with $6 \hat{A}_{5}, A_{6}$ with $6 \hat{A}_{6}$ and $A_{7}$ with $6 \hat{A}_{7}$, then the equations (3.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{5}^{\prime \prime}-6 \hat{A}_{5}^{2}=0, \quad \hat{A}_{6}^{\prime \prime}-6 \hat{A}_{5} \hat{A}_{6}=0, \quad \hat{A}_{7}^{\prime \prime}-2 \hat{A}_{5} \hat{A}_{7}=\hat{A}_{6}^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.17.a) once gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{5}^{\prime 2}=4 \hat{A}_{5}^{3}-\alpha_{1} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ is an integration constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{5}=\mathcal{P}\left(z, 0, \alpha_{1}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is Weierstrass elliptic function. If $\hat{A}_{6}=0$, (3.17.c) implies that $\hat{A}_{7}$ satisfies the Lamé's equation. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{7}=c_{1} e^{-z \zeta(a)} \frac{\sigma(z+a)}{\sigma(z)}+c_{2} e^{z \zeta(a)} \frac{\sigma(z-a)}{\sigma(z)} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is $\zeta$-Weierstrass function such that $\zeta^{\prime}=-\mathcal{P}(z), \sigma$ is $\sigma$-Weierstrass function such that $\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(z)}{\sigma(z)}=\zeta(z)$ and $a$ is a parameter such that $\mathcal{P}\left(a, 0, \alpha_{1}\right)=0$. Then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+6 \mathcal{P}\left(z, 0, \alpha_{1}\right)\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+\tilde{c_{1}} e^{-z \zeta(a)} \frac{\sigma(z+a)}{\sigma(z)}+\tilde{c}_{2} e^{z \zeta(a)} \frac{\sigma(z-a)}{\sigma(z)} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c_{1}}=6 c_{1}$ and $\tilde{c_{2}}=6 c_{2}$. Equation (3.21) was also considered in [5].
I.b: The coefficients $A_{k}(z), k=1, \ldots, 7$ of the non-dominant terms can be found by using the linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions. The linear transformation (2.6) allows one to set $a_{2}=-2, A_{1}(z)=0, A_{2}(z)-$ $A_{3}(z)=0$ and the compatibility conditions give that $A_{2}(z)=A_{6}(z)=0$ and $A_{4}(z)=A_{5}(z)$. So, the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-2\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}\right)+A_{4}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+A_{7} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{4}$ and $A_{7}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. If one lets $u=y^{\prime}+y^{2}$, then (3.22) can be reduced to a linear equation for $u$. Equation (3.22) was also given in [7].
I.c: Without loss of generality one can choose $a_{1}=2$, then the simplified equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime 2} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was also considered in $[5,7]$. Since all the resonances are negative distinct integers then there are no compatibility conditions and hence no non-dominant terms can be introduced.

Case II. $a_{4}=0$ : In this case $y_{0}$ satisfies the following quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3} y_{0}^{2}-\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-6=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, there are two branches corresponding to $\left(-1, y_{0 j}\right), j=1,2$. The resonances satisfy the equation (3.5.a). Now, one should determine $y_{0 j}$ and $a_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3$ such that one of the branches is the principal branch. If $y_{0 j}$ are the roots of (3.24), by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=-\left[a_{3} y_{0 j}^{2}-2\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}-18\right], \quad j=1,2 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)$ are the resonances corresponding to $y_{0 j}$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j 1} r_{j 2}=P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=p_{j}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ are integers and such that at least one is positive. Equation (3.24) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=-\frac{6}{y_{01} y_{02}}, \quad 2 a_{1}+a_{2}=a_{3}\left(y_{01}+y_{02}\right) . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.25) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{01}\right)=6\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right), \quad P\left(y_{02}\right)=6\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{01}}\right) . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p_{1} p_{2} \neq 0, p_{j}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{6} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, one should determine all finite integer solutions of Diophantine equation. One solution of $(3.29)$ is $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(12,12)$. The following cases should be considered: i) If $p_{1}>0, p_{2}>0$ and $p_{1}<p_{2}$, then $p_{1}>6$ and $p_{2}>12$. ii) If $p_{1}>0, p_{2}<0$, then $p_{1}<6$. Based on these observations there are following nine integer solutions of Diophantine equation.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)= & (12,12),(7,42),(8,24),(9,18),(10,15), \\
& (2,-3),(3,-6),(4,-12),(5,-30) . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

For each $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$, one should write $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)$ such that $r_{j i}$ are distinct integers and $r_{j 1} r_{j 2}=p_{j}, j=1,2$. Then $y_{0 j}$ and $a_{i}$ can be obtained from (3.27), (3.28) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j 1}+r_{j 2}=a_{1} y_{0 j}+7, \quad j=1,2 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms one of the $a_{i}$. There are following five cases such that all the resonances are distinct integers for both branches. The resonances and the simplified equations for these cases are
II.a: $\quad y_{01}^{2}=\frac{6}{a_{3}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(3,4), \quad y_{02}=-y_{01}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(3,4)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

II.b: $\quad y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(2,4), \quad y_{02}=\frac{3}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(4,6)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime 2}+2 a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

II.c: $\quad y_{01}=-\frac{3}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,3), \quad y_{02}=-\frac{6}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-2,3)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{3} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

II.d: $\quad y_{01}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,4), \quad y_{02}=-\frac{6}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-3,4)$, $y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { II.e: } \quad y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,5) ; \quad y_{02}=-\frac{6}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-5,6),  \tag{3.35}\\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+5 y^{\prime 2}-a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

For each case the compatibility conditions for the simplified equations are identically satisfied. To find the canonical form of the third order equations of Painlevé type, one should add non-dominant terms with the coefficients which are analytic functions of $z$.
II.a: Using the linear transformation (2.6), one can set $2 A_{1}+A_{3}=0, A_{2}=$ 0 and $a_{3}=6$. The compatibility conditions at $j=3,4$ for the both branches allows one to determine the coefficients $A_{k}$. The canonical form of the equation for this case is
$y^{\prime \prime \prime}=6 y^{2} y^{\prime}-\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{2} z^{2}-c_{2} z-c_{3}\right) y^{\prime}+c_{1} y^{2}-\left(c_{1}^{2} z-c_{2}\right) y-\frac{1}{4} c_{1}^{3} z^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1} c_{2} z+\frac{1}{2} c_{1} c_{3}$.
where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are constants. If one replaces $z-\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}^{1}}$ by $z$, then $y$ by $\gamma y$ and $z$ by $\delta z$ such that $\gamma \delta=1, c_{1} \delta^{2}=-2$, then (3.37) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=6 u^{2} u^{\prime}+12 z u u^{\prime}+4\left(z^{2}+k\right) u^{\prime}+4 z u+4 u^{2} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=y-z$ and $k$ is a constant. Equation (3.38) was also considered in $[5,7]$, and its first integral is the forth Painlevé equation. If $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$, (3.37) can be solved in terms of the elliptic functions. If $c_{1}=0, c_{2} \neq 0,(3.37)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=6 y^{2} y^{\prime}+c_{2}\left(z+\frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}}\right) y^{\prime}+c_{2} y \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one introduces $t=z+\frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}}$ then the first integral of (3.39) is the second Painlevé equation.
II.b: On using the linear transformation (2.6) one can always choose $2 A_{1}+$ $A_{3}=0, A_{2}=0$, and $a_{1}=-1$. Then the compatibility conditions for the both branches, that is the arbitrariness of $y_{21}$ and $y_{41}$ for the first branch and $y_{42}$ and
$y_{62}$ for the second branch imply that all the coefficients $A_{k}$ of non-dominant terms, are zero. So the canonical form for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-y y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{\prime 2}+2 y^{2} y^{\prime} . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.40) was also given in $[5,7]$.
II.c: By using the linear transformation (2.6), one can always set $A_{3}=$ $A_{5}=0$, and $a_{1}=-3$. Then, the compatibility conditions at $j=1,3$ give that $A_{1}=c_{1} / 2, A_{2}=c_{1}, c_{1}=$ constant, and $A_{4}^{\prime}=A_{6}$. Then the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-3 y y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime 2}-3 y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1} y^{\prime \prime}+c_{1} y y^{\prime}+A_{4} y^{\prime}+A_{4}^{\prime} y+A_{7} . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first integral of (3.41) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=-3 u u^{\prime}-u^{3}+B_{1} u+B_{2} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=y-\left(c_{1} / 6\right)$, and $B_{1}, B_{2}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. Equation (3.41) was also considered in [5].
II.d: One can always choose $A_{3}=A_{5}=0$, and $a_{1}=-2$ by using linear transformation (2.6). The arbitrariness of $y_{11}$ and $y_{41}$ for the first branch and $y_{42}$ for the second branch imply that $A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{7}=0$, and $A_{4}^{\prime}=2 A_{6}$. Then the canonical form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-4 y^{\prime 2}-2 y^{2} y^{\prime}+A_{4} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} A_{4}^{\prime} y \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first integral of (3.43) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime 2}}{2 y}-2 y y^{\prime}-\frac{y^{3}}{2}+A_{4} y+\frac{c}{y}, \quad c=\text { constant } \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (3.43) was also considered in [5, 7].
II.e: By the linear transformation (2.6), one can choose $A_{1}=A_{3}=0$, and $a_{1}=-1$. Then the compatibility conditions give that $A_{2}=A_{5}=0, A_{6}=$ $A_{4}^{\prime} / 3, A_{7}=-A_{4}^{\prime \prime} / 3$. After replacing $y$ by $-y$ and $A_{4}$ by $3 A_{4}$ the canonical form of the equation for this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y y^{\prime \prime}+5 y^{\prime 2}-y^{2} y^{\prime}+3 A_{4} y^{\prime}+A_{4}^{\prime} y+A_{4}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.45) has the first integral

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(y^{\prime \prime}-y y^{\prime}-y^{3}+A_{4} y+A_{4}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\frac{8}{3}\left(y^{\prime}-y^{2}\right)\left(y^{\prime}+\frac{y^{2}}{2}+\frac{3}{2} A_{4}\right) \\
+4\left(y^{\prime}-y^{2}\right)\left(2 A_{4} y^{3}+A_{4}^{\prime} y+A_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)+4 A^{2}{ }_{4} y^{2}+4 A_{4} \cdot A^{\prime}{ }_{4} y+4 A_{4}^{\prime 2}+c, \tag{3.46}
\end{array}
$$

where $A_{4}$ is an arbitrary function of $z$ and $c$ is an arbitrary integration constant. Equation (3.45) was also considered in [5, 7].

Case III: $a_{4} \neq 0$ : In this case there are three branches corresponding to $\left(-1, y_{0 j}\right), \quad j=1,2,3$ where $y_{0 j}$ are the roots of (3.5.b). (3.5.b) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{0 j}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{4}}, \quad \sum_{i \neq j} y_{0 i} y_{0 j}=\frac{1}{a_{4}}\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right), \quad \prod_{j=1}^{3} y_{0 j}=-\frac{6}{a_{4}} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the resonances (except $r_{0}=-1$, which is common for all branches) are $r_{j i}, i=1,2$ corresponding to $y_{0 j}$, and if one sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=-\left[a_{3} y_{0 j}^{2}-2\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}-18\right], \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.5.a) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{2} r_{j i}=P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=p_{j} \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ are integers and in order to have a principal branch, at least one of them is positive. The equations (3.47) and (3.48) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}=6\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{03}}\right), \\
& p_{2}=6\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{01}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{03}}\right), \\
& p_{3}=6\left(1-\frac{y_{03}}{y_{01}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{03}}{y_{02}}\right) \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence, $p_{j}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{p_{j}}=\frac{1}{6} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover the equation (3.50) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=-\frac{6^{3}}{\left(y_{01} y_{02} y_{03}\right)^{2}}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)^{2}\left(y_{01}-y_{03}\right)^{2}\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)^{2} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a_{1} \neq 0$. That is, if $p_{1}>0$ then either $p_{2}$ or $p_{3}$ is a negative integer. One should consider the case $a_{1}=0$ separately.
III.a: $a_{1}=0$ : In this case the sum of the resonances for all three branches are fixed and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2} r_{j i}=7, \quad j=1,2,3 . \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this condition, the solutions of the Diophantine equation (3.51) are $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(10,10,-30),(10,12,-60)$.
III.a.i: $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(10,10,-30)$ : The equation (3.50) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)=k y_{01}, \quad p_{2}\left(y_{03}-y_{01}\right)=k y_{02}, \quad p_{3}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)=k y_{03}, \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{6}{y_{01} y_{02} y_{03}}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)\left(y_{01}-y_{03}\right) \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k= \pm 10 \sqrt{5}$, the system (3.54) has nontrivial solutions $y_{0 j}, j=1,2,3$. For these values of $y_{0 j}$ the resonances and the coefficients $a_{i}, i=2,3,4$ are as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\nu(1-\sqrt{5}):\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(2,5), y_{02}=\nu(1+\sqrt{5}):\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(2,5), \\
y_{03}=6 \nu: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-3,10) \\
a_{2}=\frac{2}{\nu}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{2}{\nu^{2}}, \quad a_{4}=\frac{1}{4 \nu^{3}}, \quad \nu=\text { constant. } \tag{3.56}
\end{gather*}
$$

for both values of $k$. For these values of $y_{0 j}$ and $a_{i}$ the simplified equation passes the Painlevé test for all branches. The linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions at the resonances of the first and second branches are enough to determine all the coefficients $A_{k}(z)$ of the non-dominant terms. The canonical form of the equation for this case is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=12 y^{\prime 2}+72 y^{2} y^{\prime}+54 y^{4}+c_{1} \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is an arbitrary constant. (3.57) can be obtained with the choice of $\nu=1 /(1-\sqrt{5})$ and replacing $y$ with $6 y /(1-\sqrt{5})$. (3.57) was also given in [5, 14].
III.a.ii: $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(10,12,-60)$ : For this case the equation (3.54) has non-trivial solution $y_{0 j}$ for $k= \pm 20 \sqrt{3}$. Then $y_{0 j}, a_{i}$ and the corresponding resonances are as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=-\frac{1}{\delta}(-1 \pm \sqrt{3}): \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(2,5), \quad y_{02}= \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\delta}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(3,4), \\
y_{03}=-\frac{1}{\delta}(-6 \pm \sqrt{3}): \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-5,12), \\
a_{2}=3 \frac{7 \pm 3 \sqrt{3}}{11} \delta, \quad a_{3}=\frac{40 \pm 14 \sqrt{3}}{11} \delta^{2}, \quad a_{4}=\frac{7 \pm 3 \sqrt{3}}{11} \delta^{3}, \quad \delta=\text { constant } . \tag{3.58}
\end{gather*}
$$

By using the linear transformation (2.6), one can choose $\delta= \pm \sqrt{3}$ and $A_{1}=A_{2}=0$. All the other coefficients $A_{k}$ of the non-dominant terms can be determined from the compatibility conditions at the resonances of the first and second branches. The canonical form for this case is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & \frac{27 \pm 21 \sqrt{3}}{11}\left(y^{\prime 2}+y^{4}\right)+\frac{120 \pm 42 \sqrt{3}}{11} y^{2} y^{\prime}+c\left( \pm \frac{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{3}} y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)  \tag{3.59}\\
& -\frac{231 \pm 143 \sqrt{3}}{1.32} c^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 6 y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{3}{11}(9 \pm 7 \sqrt{3})\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{22}(4 \mp 3 \sqrt{3}) c_{1} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{44}(3 \mp 5 \sqrt{3}) c_{1} y^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{352}(9 \pm 7 \sqrt{3}) c_{1}^{2} . \tag{3.60}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}=44 c /(3 \mp 5 \sqrt{3})$. Equation (3.60) was also considered in [14].
III.b: $a_{1} \neq 0$ : Since $p_{1}, p_{2}>0, p_{3}<0$, equation (3.52) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} p_{2} \hat{p}_{3}=6 n^{2} \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is a constant and $\hat{p}_{3}=-p_{3}$. Then the Diophantine equation (3.51) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} p_{2}=\hat{p_{3}}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)-n^{2} \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)^{2} \geq 0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-4 \hat{p}_{3}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)+4 n^{2} \geq 0 \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $-n \leq \hat{p}_{3} \leq n$ so $0<\hat{p}_{3} \leq n$. Hence, one may assume that $n$ is a positive integer. When $\hat{p}_{3}=n$ the equations (3.61) and (3.62) give that $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(6, n,-n)$ as the solution of the Diophantine equation. For the case of $\hat{p}_{3}<n$, if one assumes that $p_{1}<p_{2}$ (if $p_{1}=p_{2}$, (3.62) implies that $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are complex numbers) then the Diophantine equation (3.51) implies that $p_{1}<12$. The equations (3.51) and (3.62) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1} \hat{p}_{3}\right)^{2}=n^{2}\left[6 p_{1}-\left(6-p_{1}\right) \hat{p}_{3}\right], \quad\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)^{2}=n^{2}\left[6 p_{1}+\left(6-p_{1}\right) p_{2}\right] \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p_{1}<6$ and for $6<p_{1}<12$ respectively. Equation (3.64) imply that $\left[6 p_{1}-\left(6-p_{1}\right) \hat{p}_{3}\right]$ and $\left[6 p_{1}+\left(6-p_{1}\right) p_{2}\right]$ must be square of integers. By using these results, $p_{j}$, the multiplication of the resonances for the branches corresponding the $y_{0 j}, j=1,2,3$, are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(4,6,-10),(5,870,-26),(5,195,-21),(7,41,-1722) \\
(7,38,-399),(7,33,-154),(8,22,-264),(8,16,-48), \\
(9,15,-90),(10,14,-210),(11,13,-858) \tag{3.65}
\end{array}
$$

For each values of $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ given in (3.65) one should follow the given steps below for $(4,6,-10)$. When $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(4,6,-10): p_{1}=4$ implies that integer possible values of $r_{1 i}, i=1,2$ are $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,4),(-1,-4)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j 1}+r_{j 2}=a_{1} y_{0 j}+7, \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $y_{01}=-2 / a_{1}$ and $y_{01}=-12 / a_{1}$ for $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,4),(-1,-4)$ respectively. On the other hand $y_{0 j}$ satisfies the equation (3.54) for $k= \pm 20$. For $k=20, y_{02}=-9 y_{01} / 14$. But the resonance equation for the second branch

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2 i}^{2}-\left(7+a_{1} y_{02}\right) r_{2 i}+p_{2}=0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $7+a_{1} y_{02}$ has to be an integer. So, in order to have integer resonances ( $r_{21}, r_{22}$ ) for the second branch, $a_{1} y_{02}$ has to be integer. Similar argument holds for the third branch. But for $k=20$ both $y_{01}$ and $y_{02}$ are not integers. Also, for $k=-20$ the resonances for the second and third branches are not integers. Following the same steps one can not find the integer resonances for the second and third branches for all the other cases of ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ ) given in (3.65). When $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(6, n,-n)$, the equation (3.54) has nontrivial solution $y_{0 j}$ for $k= \pm n . \quad y_{01}=0, y_{02}=y_{03}$ for $k=n$ and $y_{01}=12 \nu$, $y_{02}=\nu(6-n), y_{03}=\nu(6+n)$ for $k=-n$ where $\nu$ is an arbitrary constant. Since $y_{01}=0$ for $k=n$, this case will not be considered. For $k=-n, 2 a_{1}+a_{2}$, $a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ can be determined from the equation (3.47) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a_{1}+a_{2}=-\frac{180-n^{2}}{2 \nu\left(36-n^{2}\right)}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{12}{\nu^{2}\left(36-n^{2}\right)}, \quad a_{4}=-\frac{1}{2 \nu^{3}\left(36-n^{2}\right)} . \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $p_{1}=6$, then all possible distinct integer resonances for the first branch are $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(-1,-6),(-2,-3),(1,6),(2,3)$. The case $(-1,-6)$, because of the double resonance at $r_{0}=r_{11}=-1$, will not be considered. For $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=$ $(1,6),(3.66)$ implies that $a_{1}=0$. This case was considered in case III.a. For the other possible resonances, one can obtain the $a_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, and $y_{0 j}, j=1,2,3$. Once the coefficients of the resonance equation (3.5) are known one should look at the distinct integer resonances for the second and third branches. We have only two cases, such that all the resonances are distinct integers for all branches. The resonances and the corresponding simplified equations are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { III.b.i: } y_{01}=-\frac{12}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(-2,-3), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}(6-n): \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(1, n),  \tag{3.69}\\
& y_{03}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}(6+n): \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(1,-n), \\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3\left(12+n^{2}\right)}{2\left(36-n^{2}\right)} y^{\prime 2}-\frac{12}{36-n^{2}} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2\left(36-n^{2}\right)} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right], \quad n \neq 1,6 .
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the simplified equation reduces to (3.23).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { III.b.i: } y_{01}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(2,3), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(1-\frac{n}{6}\right): \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(6, n / 6),  \tag{3.70}\\
& y_{03}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(1+\frac{n}{6}\right): \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(6,-n / 6), \\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{488-n^{2}}{36-n^{2}} y^{\prime 2}-\frac{432}{36-n^{2}} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{108}{36-n^{2}} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right], \quad n \neq 6,36 .
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical form of the equations corresponding to the above cases can be obtained by adding the non-dominant terms with the analytic coefficients $A_{k}, k=1, \ldots 7$.
III.b.i: By using the transformation (2.6), one can set $A_{3}=A_{4}=0$ and $a_{1}=2$. The compatibility conditions at the resonances imply that all the
coefficients are zero except $A_{6}$ and $A_{7}$ which remain arbitrary for $n=2$. For $n=3, A_{7}$ is arbitrary and all the other coefficients are zero. For $n=4,5,6$, all the coefficients $A_{k}$ are zero. However it was proved in [22], for $n \geq 4$ the equation does not admit the non-dominant terms. The canonical form of the equations for $n=2$ and $n=3$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} y^{\prime 2}-\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{8} y^{4}+A_{6} y+A_{7}  \tag{3.71}\\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{7}{3} y^{\prime 2}-\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{4}{27} y^{4}+A_{7} \tag{3.72}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. (3.71) and (3.72) were also given in [5], [14], and both can be linearized by letting $y=-2 u^{\prime} / u$ and $y=-3 u^{\prime} / 2 u$ respectively.
III.b.ii: The linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions at the resonances of the first and second branches give the following canonical form of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & -2 y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{26-2 m^{2}}{m^{2}-1} y^{\prime 2}+\frac{24}{m^{2}-1}\left(2 y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right) y^{2}+A_{5}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)  \tag{3.73}\\
& -\frac{m^{2}-1}{48}\left(A_{5}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} A_{5}^{2}\right)+c_{1} z+c_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=6 / n, m \neq 1,6$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants and $A_{5}$ is an arbitrary function of $z$. (3.73) was also given in [5], [14] and equivalent

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}+y^{2}=\frac{m^{2}-1}{48} A_{5}-\frac{m^{2}-1}{4} u, \quad u^{\prime \prime}=6 u^{2}+\frac{1}{4\left(m^{2}-1\right)}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) . \tag{3.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Fourth order equations: $\mathrm{P}_{I I}^{(4)}$

Differentiating (3.3) with respect to $z$ gives the terms $y^{(4)}, y y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}, y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}, y y^{\prime 2}$ and $y^{3} y^{\prime}$, all of which are of order -5 for $\alpha=-1$, as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$. Adding the term $y^{5}$ which is also of order -5 , gives the following simplified equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{4} y y^{\prime 2}+a_{5} y^{3} y^{\prime}+a_{6} y^{5} \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 6$ are constants. Substituting (3.4) into (3.75) gives the following equations for resonance $r$ and for $y_{0}$ respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q(r)=(r+1)\left\{r^{3}-\left(11+a_{1} y_{0}\right) r^{2}-\left[a_{3} y_{0}^{2}-\left(7 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-46\right] r\right. \\
&\left.-a_{5} y_{0}^{3}+2\left(2 a_{3}+a_{4}\right) y_{0}^{2}-6\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-96\right\}=0,  \tag{3.76}\\
& a_{6} y_{0}^{4}-a_{5} y_{0}^{3}+\left(2 a_{3}+a_{4}\right) y_{0}^{2}-2\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-24=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.76.b) implies that in general there are four branches of Painlevé expansion, if $a_{6} \neq 0$, corresponding to the roots $y_{0 j}, j=1,2,3,4$. Now,
one should determine $y_{0 j}$ and $a_{i}$ such that at least one of the branches is the principal branch. Depending on the number of branches there are four cases. Each case should be considered separately.

Case I: $a_{5}=a_{6}=0,2 a_{3}+a_{4}=0$ : In this case there is only one branch which should be the principal branch. There are following two sub cases which will be considered separately.
I.a: $a_{1}=0$ : In this case the equation (3.76.a) gives that the resonances $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ (additional to $r_{0}=-1$ ) satisfy that $\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_{i}=11, \prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{i}=24$. Under these conditions only possible distinct positive integer resonances are $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=(1,4,6)$. Then (3.76) implies that $a_{3}=0$ and $y_{0}=-12 / a_{2}$. Therefore, the simplified equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the canonical form of the equation, one should add the non-dominant terms, $y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime 2}, y^{2} y^{\prime}, y y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, y^{4}, y^{3}, y^{2}, y, 1$, that is the terms of order greater than -5 as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ with the coefficients $A_{k}(z), k=1, \ldots, 12$ which are analytic functions of $z$. The coefficients $A_{k}$ can be determined by using the linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions at the resonances. One can choose $a_{2}=-12, A_{2}=0$ and $2 A_{3}-A_{6}+A_{9}=0$ by using the linear transformation (2.6). The compatibility conditions, that is, the arbitrariness of $y_{1}, y_{4}, y_{6}$ give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{3}^{\prime \prime}-A_{3}^{2}=0 \\
& A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{2}=A_{3} / 3, \quad A_{4}=6 A_{1}, \quad A_{5}=A_{8}=A_{9}=0 \\
& A_{6}=2 . A_{3} . \quad A_{7}^{\prime \prime}-A_{3} A_{7}=2 A_{1} A_{3} A_{1}^{\prime}+2 A_{1}^{2} A_{3}^{\prime}  \tag{3.78}\\
& A_{10}=A_{3}^{\prime}-A_{1} A_{3}, \quad A_{11}=\left(A_{7}-A_{10}\right)^{\prime}-A_{1}\left(A_{7}-A_{10}\right), \\
& A_{12}^{\prime}+A_{1}-A_{12}=\frac{1}{6}\left(A_{7}-A_{10}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

According to the solution of (3.78.a), there are following three cases:
I.a.i: $A_{3}=0 . \quad A_{1}=0$ : Then the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=-12 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+c_{1} y+\frac{1}{18 c_{1}}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right)^{3}+c_{3} \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}, i=1,2.3$ are arbitrary constants. Integrating (3.79) once gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y+\frac{1}{72 c_{1}^{2}}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right)^{4}+c_{3} z+c_{4} \tag{3.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{4}$ is an integration constant. If $c_{1} \neq 0, c_{2}=0$, then the equation (3.80) takes the form of (3.13). For $c_{1}=0, c_{2} \neq 0$, (3.80) yields (3.12).
I.a.ii: $A_{3}=0, A_{1}=1 /(z-c)$ : Without loss of generality one can choose the integration constant $c$ as zero. Then the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)} & =-12 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{z} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{6}{z} y^{\prime 2}+\left(c_{1} z-c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+\frac{c_{2}}{z} y+\frac{1}{24} c_{1}^{2} z^{3}  \tag{3.81}\\
& -\frac{1}{9} c_{1} c_{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{12} c_{2}^{2} z+\frac{c_{3}}{z} .
\end{align*}
$$

If $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$ then (3.81) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{z}\left(u+c_{3}\right), \quad y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-6 y^{\prime 2}+u \tag{3.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{1}=0, c_{2} \neq 0$, after replacing $z$ by $\gamma z, y$ by $\beta y$, such that $\beta \gamma=1, c_{2} \gamma^{3}=6$ the equation (3.81) takes the form of .

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=-12 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{z} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{6}{z}\left(y^{\prime 2}+y\right)-6 y^{\prime}+3 z+\frac{\tilde{c}_{3}}{z} \tag{3.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{3}=c_{3} \gamma^{4}$. If $c_{1} \neq 0, c_{2}=0$, then the equation (3.81) takes the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=-12 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{z}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}+6 y^{\prime 2}\right)+12 z y^{\prime}+6 z^{3}+\frac{\tilde{c}_{3}}{z}, \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{3}$ is an arbitrary constant.
I.a.iii: $A_{3}=6 /(z-c)^{2}$ : For simplicity let $c=0$. Then the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=-12 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+A_{1}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}+6 y^{\prime 2}\right)+\frac{6}{z^{2}}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime}\right)+A_{7} y^{\prime}+A_{10} y^{2}+A_{11} y+A_{12} \tag{3.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1} & =\frac{2 c_{1} z^{3}-c_{3}}{z\left(c_{1} z^{3}+c_{2}\right)}, \\
A_{7} & =\frac{1}{c_{1} z^{3}+c_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{5} c_{1} c_{3} z^{6}+\frac{1}{5} c_{2} c_{3} z^{3}+c_{1} c_{4} z-24 c_{1}+c_{2} c_{4} z^{-2}-6 c_{2} z^{-3}\right) \\
A_{10} & =-\frac{12}{z^{3}}-\frac{6}{c_{1} z^{3}+c_{2}}\left(2 c_{1}-c_{2} z^{-3}\right), \\
A_{11} & =\frac{1}{c_{1} z^{3}+c_{2}}\left(\frac{c_{1} c_{3}^{2}}{1350} z^{10}+\frac{c_{2} c_{3}^{2}}{900} z^{7}+\frac{c_{1} c_{3} c_{4}}{60} z^{5}+\frac{c_{2} c_{3} c_{5}}{15} z^{2}+c_{5} z-\frac{c_{1} c_{4}^{2}}{6}-\frac{c_{2} c_{4}^{2}}{24} z^{-3}\right) \\
A_{12} & =\frac{-1}{\left(c_{1} z^{3}+c_{2} z\right)^{2}}\left[c_{1}^{2} c_{3} z^{10}-48 c_{1}^{2} z^{8}+\frac{4 c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}}{5} z^{7}+5 c_{1}^{2} c_{4} z^{5}-\frac{c_{2}^{2} c_{3}}{5} z^{4}+4 c_{1} c_{2} c_{4} z^{2}\right. \\
& -42 c_{1} c_{2} z-c_{2}^{2} c_{3} z^{-1}+6 c_{2}^{2} z^{-2} \\
& \left.-\left(c_{1} z^{4}+c_{2} z\right)\left(\frac{6 c_{1} c_{3}}{5} z^{6}+\frac{3 c_{2} c_{3}}{5} z^{3}-48 c_{1}+c_{1} c_{4}-2 c_{2} c_{4} z^{-2}+6 c_{2} z^{-3}\right)\right] . \tag{3.86}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 5$ are constants. The equations (3.79), (3.81), (3.83), (3.84) and (3.85) were also considered in [7,11, 15].
I.b: $a_{1} \neq 0$ : The equation (3.76.a) implies that $r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}=24$. Under this condition there are four possible cases of $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ such that $r_{i}>0$ and distinct integers. But, there is only the following case out of the four cases such that
the compatibility conditions at the resonances for the simplified equations are identically satisfied and $y_{0} \neq 0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=(2,3,4), \quad y_{0}=-2 / a_{1}, \quad a_{2}=3 a_{1}, \quad a_{3}=a_{4}=0 \tag{3.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adding the non-dominant terms to the simplified equation, using the linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions one finds the canonical form of the equation as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(4)}=-2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-6 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+A_{1}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime 2}\right)+A_{3}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime}\right) \\
+A_{7}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+A_{12}, \tag{3.88}
\end{array}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{3}, A_{7}, A_{12}$ are arbitrary functions of $z$. If one lets $u=y^{2}+y^{\prime}$ then the equation (3.88) can be linearized. (3.88) was also considered in $[7,15]$.

Case II: $a_{5}=a_{6}=0$ : In this case there are two branches corresponding to $\left(-1, y_{0 j}\right), j=1,2$ where $y_{0 j}$ are the roots of (3.76.b) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{01}+y_{02}=\frac{2\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}\right)}{2 a_{3}+a_{4}}, \quad y_{01} y_{02}=-\frac{24}{2 a_{3}+a_{4}} \tag{3.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}\right)$ be thie roots (additional to $r_{0}=-1$ ) of the resonance equation (3.76.a) corresponding to $y_{0 j}$. By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=-2\left(2 a_{3}+a_{4}\right) y_{0 j}^{2}+6\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}+96, \quad j=1,2 \tag{3.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.76.a) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{j i}=P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=p_{j}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{3.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ are integers and at least one of them is positive integer in order to have the principal branch. Let the branch corresponding to $y_{01}$ be the principal branch, that is $p_{1}>0$. The equations (3.89) and (3.90) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{01}\right)=24\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right)=p_{1}, \quad P\left(y_{02}\right)=24\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{01}}\right)=p_{2} \tag{3.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $p_{j}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation if $p_{1} p_{2} \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{24} \tag{3.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are 21 integer solutions $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ of (3.93) such that one of the $p_{j}$ is positive. Once $p_{1}$ is known, for each $p_{1}$ one can write possible distinct positive integer $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{1 i}=p_{1}$. Then for each set of $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)$, $a_{k}, k=2,3,4$ and $y_{0 j}$ can be determined in terms of $a_{1}$ by using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_{j i}=11+a_{1} y_{0 j}, \quad \sum_{i \neq k} r_{j i} r_{j k}=-a_{3} y_{0 j}^{2}+\left(7 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}+46 \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1$, and the equation (3.89). Then for these values of $a_{k}$ and $y_{0 j}$ one should check that whether the resonance equation (3.76.a) has the distinct integer roots $r_{2 i}$ corresponding to $y_{02}$. Only for the following cases a) $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=$ $(12,-24)$ and $\mathbf{b})\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(20,-120)$ all the resonances are distinct integers for both branches and one of which is the principal branch. The resonances and the simplified equations for these cases are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { II.a: } & \left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(12,-24): \\
& y_{01}=-\frac{3}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(1,3,4), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{6}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-2,3,4) \\
y^{(4)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{3} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{3} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}\right) \\
\text { II.b: } \quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(20,-120): \\
& y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(1,4,5),  \tag{3.96}\\
y_{02}=-\frac{6}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-5,4,6) \\
y^{(4)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+11 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-2 a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

For the case II.a the compatibility conditions at the resonances of the simplified equation are identically satisfied. For the case II.b the compatibility condition at the resonance $r_{13}=5$ implies that $y_{4}=0$ which contradicts with the arbitrariness of $y_{4}$. Moreover, in the case II.b, if one lets $y=\lambda u$ such that $\lambda a_{1}=1$ and integration of the simplified equation once yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=u u^{\prime \prime}+5 u^{\prime 2}-u^{2} u^{\prime}+c \tag{3.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is an arbitrary integration constant. Equation (3.97) is not a Painlevé type equation unless $c=0$ and was also studied in $[7,11]$. Hence, we will consider the case II.a. Adding the non-dominant terms to the simplified equation and by using the linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions of the first branch, the coefficients $A_{k}(z)$ of the non-dominant terms can be determined. The canonical form of the equation for the case II.a is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)}= & -3 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-9 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-6 y y^{\prime 2}+R y^{\prime \prime}+2 R^{\prime} y^{\prime}+R^{\prime \prime} y \\
& +A_{9}\left(y^{3}+3 y y^{\prime}+y^{\prime \prime}-\kappa y\right)+A_{12} \tag{3.98}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R(z)=A_{3}(z)-A_{9}(z)$ and $A_{3}, A_{9}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. If one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y^{\prime \prime}+3 y y^{\prime}+y^{3}-R y \tag{3.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the equation (3.98) can be reduced to a linear equation for $u$. (3.98) was also considered in $[7,15]$.

Case III: $a_{6}=0$ : There are three branches corresponding to $y_{0 j}, j=1,2,3$ which are the roots of the equation (3.76.b). If one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{j i}=p_{j}=P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=a_{5} y_{0 j}^{3}-2\left(2 a_{3}+a_{4}\right) y_{0 j}^{2}+6\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}+96, \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{3.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ are integers and at least one of them is positive. By using the same procedure which was carried in the previous case, $p_{j}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{p_{j}}=\frac{1}{24} \tag{3.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} \neq 0$, and if $a_{1} \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=-\frac{24^{3}}{\left(y_{01} y_{02} y_{03}\right)^{2}}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)^{2}\left(y_{01}-y_{03}\right)^{2}\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)^{2} \tag{3.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, let $p_{1}, p_{2}>0$ and $p_{3}<0$. If $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}\right)$ are the resonances corresponding to $y_{0 j}$ respectively, then they satisfy the equation (3.94) for $j=1,2,3$. There are following two cases which should be considered separately.
III.a: $a_{1}=0$ : Equation (3.94.a) for $j=1$ implies that there are five possible values of $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)$ and hence five possible values of $p_{1}$. For each value of $p_{1}$ one can solve (3.101) such that $p_{2}>0, p_{3}<0$ and integers. Then for each ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ ), the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}=24\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{03}}\right), \\
& p_{2}=24\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{01}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{02}}{y_{03}}\right), \\
& p_{3}=24\left(1-\frac{y_{03}}{y_{01}}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{03}}{y_{02}}\right), \tag{3.103}
\end{align*}
$$

give the equations (3.54) for $y_{0 j}$ for

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{24}{y_{01} y_{02} y_{03}}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)\left(y_{01}-y_{03}\right) \tag{3.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system (3.54) has non-trivial solution if $k^{2}=-\left(p_{1} p_{2}+p_{1} p_{3}+p_{2} p_{3}\right)$. For each value of $k$, one can find $y_{0 j}$ and $a_{i}, i=3,4,5$ in terms of $a_{2}$. Once the coefficients of the resonance equation (3.76.a) are known for all branches, one should look at the cases such that the roots of (3.76.a) are distinct integers for the second and third branches. There is only one case, $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(40,40,-120)$, and $k=40 \sqrt{5}$. $y_{0 j}$, the resonances and the simplified equation for this case are as follows:

$$
y_{01}=\frac{4}{a_{2}}(1-\sqrt{5}):\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(2,4,5),
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{02}=\frac{4}{a_{2}}(1+\sqrt{5}):\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(2,4,5), \\
y_{03}=\frac{24}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}\right)=(-3,4,10), \\
y^{(4)}=a_{2}\left(y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{8} a_{2} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4} a_{2} y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{64} a_{2}^{2} y^{3} y^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.105}
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility conditions are identically satisfied for the simplified equation. To obtain the canonical form of the equation one should add the nondominant terms with analytic coefficients $A_{k}(z), k=1, \ldots, 12$. The linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions at the resonances of the first and second branches give the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=24 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+72 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+144 y y^{\prime 2}+216 y^{3} y^{\prime 2} \tag{3.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.106) once gives (3.57).
III.b: $a_{1} \neq 0$ : In this case the resonances $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}\right)$ and $y_{0 j}$ satisfy (3.94) for $j=1,2,3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3} y_{0 j}=\frac{1}{a_{5}}\left(2 a_{3}+a_{4}\right), \quad \sum_{j \neq k} y_{0 j} y_{0 k}=-\frac{2}{a_{5}}\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}\right), \quad \prod_{i=1}^{3} y_{0 j}=-\frac{24}{a_{5}}, \tag{3.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. $p_{j}=\prod_{j=1}^{3} r_{j i}$ satisfy the Diophantine equation (3.101). If one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}=\frac{24^{2}}{\left(y_{01} y_{02} y_{03}\right)^{2}}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)^{2}\left(y_{01}-y_{03}\right)^{2}\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)^{2} \tag{3.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.102) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} p_{2} \hat{p}_{3}=24 n^{2} \tag{3.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{p}_{3}=-p_{3} . p_{1}<48$. If one follows the procedure given in the previous section, (3.101) and (3.109) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1} \hat{p}_{3}\right)^{2}=n^{2}\left[24 p_{1}-\left(24-p_{1}\right) \hat{p}_{3}\right], \quad\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)^{2}=n^{2}\left[24 p_{1}+\left(24-p_{1}\right) p_{2}\right] \tag{3.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p_{1}<24$ and for $24<p_{1}<48$ respectively. So, the right hand side of both equations in (3.110) must be complete square. Based on these conditions on $p_{i}, i=1,2,3$, there are 71 integer solutions ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ ) of the Diophantine equation (3.101). For each solution ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ ), one can find $y_{0 j}$ by solving the system of equations (3.54). Then one can write possible resonances $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)$ for each $p_{1}$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} y_{01}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_{1 i}-11 \tag{3.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

are all integers. There are following three cases such that all the resonances of all three branches are distinct integers.
III.b.i: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(15,60,-24)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(1,3,5), \\
y_{02}=-\frac{12}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-2,-5,6),  \tag{3.112}\\
y_{03}=-\frac{8}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}\right)=(-4,1,6), \\
a_{2}=\frac{11}{2} a_{1}, & a_{3}=-\frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{4}=-\frac{7}{4} a_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{5}=\frac{1}{8} a_{1}^{3} .
\end{array}
$$

III.b.ii: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(24, n,-n)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(2,3,4), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(1-\frac{n}{24}\right):\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=\left(4,6, \frac{n}{24}\right),  \tag{3.113}\\
& y_{03}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(1+\frac{n}{24}\right):\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}\right)=\left(4,6,-\frac{n}{24}\right), \\
& a_{2}=\frac{15552-3 n^{2}}{576-n^{2}} a_{1}, a_{3}=-\frac{6912}{576-n^{2}} a_{1}^{2}, a_{4}=-\frac{13824}{576-n^{2}} a_{1}^{2}, a_{5}=\frac{6912}{576-n^{2}} a_{1}^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

III.b.iii: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(24, n,-n), \quad n>0, \quad n \neq 4,24$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{12}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(-2,-3,4), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(6-\frac{n}{4}\right):\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=\left(1,4, \frac{n}{4}\right),  \tag{3.114}\\
& y_{03}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(6+\frac{n}{4}\right):\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}\right)=\left(1,4,-\frac{n}{4}\right), \\
& a_{2}=\frac{112+2 n^{2}}{576-n^{2}} a_{1}, a_{3}=-\frac{192}{576-n^{2}} a_{1}^{2}, a_{4}=-\frac{384}{576-n^{2}} a_{1}^{2}, a_{5}=\frac{32}{576-n^{2}} a_{1}^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

For all three cases, the simplified equations pass the Painlevé test. To obtain the canonical form of the equation one should add the non-dominant terms with the coefficients $A_{k}(z), k=1, \ldots, 12$. The linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions at the resonances give the following equations:

## III.b.i:

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)}= & -2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-11 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-7 y y^{\prime 2}-y^{3} y^{2}+A_{6}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+y y^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \cdot A_{6}^{\prime}\left(y^{2}+4 y^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{3} A_{6}^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{2}{9} A_{6} A_{6}^{\prime} \tag{3.115}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{6}$ is an arbitrary function of $z$. (3.115) was also given in [15].
III.b.ii: Since the compatibility condition at the resonance $r=6$ for the third branch gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{3.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following two cases should be considered separately.
III.b.ii.1: $A_{1}=0$ : The canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)}= & -2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{6}{m^{2}-1}\left[\left(m^{2}-9\right) y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-8 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-16\left(y y^{\prime 2}+y^{3} y^{\prime}\right)\right]  \tag{3.117}\\
& +A_{3}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime}\right)+\left(A_{3}^{\prime}+c_{1}\right)\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+A_{12},
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=n / 24, m \neq 1,4,6, A_{3}$ is an arbitrary function of $z$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{12}=\frac{m^{2}-1}{48}\left(A_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}-A_{3} A_{3}^{\prime}-c_{1} A_{3}+2 c_{1}^{2} z+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2}=\text { constant } .\right. \tag{3.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.117) was also given in [15].
III.b.ii.2: $A_{1}=1 /(z-c)$ : Without loss of generality, one can set $c=0$. The canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)}= & -2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1}{m^{2}-1}\left[\left(54-6 m^{2}\right) y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+48 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+96\left(y y^{\prime 2}+y^{3} y^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{z}\left[y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{m^{2}-1}\left[\left(26-2 m^{2}\right) y^{\prime 2}+48 y^{2} y^{\prime}+24 y^{4}\right]\right.  \tag{3.119}\\
& \left.+A_{3}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime}\right)+\left(A_{3}^{\prime}-A_{3} \frac{1}{z}+c_{1} z\right)\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+A_{12}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$A_{3}$ is an arbitrary function of $z$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{12}=-\frac{m^{2}-1}{48}\left(A_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{1}{z} A_{3}^{\prime \prime}-A_{3} A_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 z} A_{3}^{2}-c_{1} z A_{3}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{2} z^{3}\right)+\frac{c_{2}}{z},  \tag{3.120}\\
& c_{1}, c_{2}=\text { constant. }
\end{align*}
$$

(3.119) was also given in [15].
III.b.iii: If we let $m=n / 4, m \neq 1,4,6$ then the canonical form of the equation for $m=2$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{(4)}=2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+5 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-3 y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} y^{3} y^{\prime}  \tag{3.121}\\
& +A_{1}\left[y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2} y^{\prime 2}+\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{8} y^{4}-A_{7} y\right]+A_{7} y^{\prime}+A_{7}^{\prime} y+A_{12}
\end{align*}
$$

If one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2} y^{\prime 2}+\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{8} y^{4}-A_{7} y \tag{3.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.121) can be reduced to a linear equation for $u$. It should be noted that (3.122) belongs to $\mathrm{P}_{I I}^{(3)}$ and was given in (3.71). For $m=3$

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)}= & 2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{20}{3} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{32}{9} y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{16}{27} y^{3} y^{\prime}+A_{1}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{7}{3} y^{\prime 2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{4}{27} y^{4}\right)+A_{12}, \tag{3.123}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{12}$ are arbitrary functions of $z$. If one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{7}{3} y^{\prime 2}+\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{4}{27} y^{4} \tag{3.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.123) can be reduced to a linear equation. (3.124) belongs to $P_{I I}^{(3)}$ and was given in (3.72). (3.121) and (3.123) were also given in [15]. It should be noted that for $m \geq 4$, integration of the simplified equation once gives the simplified equation of the case given in (3.70) with an additional integration constant $c$. Thus, for $m>4$ the simplified equation is not of Painlevé type if $c \neq 0$.

Case IV: $a_{6} \neq 0$ : In this case there are four branches corresponding to $\left(-1, y_{0 j}\right), j=1,2,3,4$. If $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}\right)$ are the resonances corresponding to the branches, then $\prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{j i}=p_{j}$ such that $p_{j}$ are integers and at least one of them is positive. Then (3.76.a) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=a_{5} y_{0 j}^{3}-2\left(2 a_{3}+a_{4}\right) y_{0 j}^{2}+6\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}+96=p_{j}, \quad j=1,2,3,4 . \tag{3.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand (3.76.b) implies that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j=1}^{4} y_{0 j}=\frac{a_{5}}{a_{6}}, \quad \sum_{j \neq i} y_{0 j} y_{0 i}=\frac{2 a_{3}+a_{4}}{a_{6}}, \\
\sum_{j \neq i \neq k} y_{0 j} y_{0 i} y_{0 k}=\frac{2\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}\right)}{a_{6}}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{4} y_{0 j}=-\frac{24}{a_{6}} \tag{3.126}
\end{array}
$$

Then (3.125) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=24 \prod_{j \neq k}\left(1-\frac{y_{0 j}}{y_{0 k}}\right), \quad j=1,2,3,4 \tag{3.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $p_{j}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{1}{p_{j}}=\frac{1}{24} \tag{3.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the simplified equation, one should proceed the following steps: a) Find all integer solutions ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ ) of the Diophantine equation (3.128). b) For each pair $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ from the solution set of the Diophantine equation, write all possible ( $r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}$ ) such that $\prod_{i=1}^{3} r_{j i}=p_{j}, j=1,2$. c) Determine $y_{01}$ and $y_{02}$ in terms of $a_{1}$, if $a_{1} \neq 0$, by using the equation [3.94.a) for $j=1,2$. d) Use (3.127) to find $y_{03}$ and $y_{04}$ in terms of $a_{1}$. e) Eliminate the cases $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}\right)$ $j=1,2$ such that $a_{1} y_{0 k}, k=3,4$ are not integers (see the equation (3.94.a)). f) Find $a_{i}, i=2, \ldots, 6$ in terms of $a_{1}$ by using the (3.125) and (3.126). Once all the coefficients of the equation (3.76.a) are known, look at the cases such that the roots of (3.76.a) are distinct integers for $y_{03}$ and $y_{04}$. There are four cases such that all the resonances are distinct integers for all branches. These cases and the corresponding simplified equations are as follows:
IV.a: $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=(6,-4,6,-24)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=-\frac{5}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(1,2,3), \\
y_{02}=-\frac{10}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-2,1,2), \\
y_{03}=-\frac{15}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}\right)=(-3,-2,1),  \tag{3.129}\\
y_{04}=-\frac{20}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{41}, r_{42}, r_{43}\right)=(-4,-3,-2), \\
y^{(4)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{5} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{5} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{2}{25} a_{1}^{2} y^{3} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{625} a_{1}^{3} y^{5}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

IV.b: $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=(36,36,-84,-504):$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{5}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(2,3,6), \\
& y_{02}=\frac{10}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(2,3,6), \\
& y_{03}=\frac{15}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}\right)=(-2,6,7),  \tag{3.130}\\
& y_{04}=-\frac{20}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{41}, r_{42}, r_{43}\right)=(-7,6,12), \\
& y^{(4)}=a_{2}\left[y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{5} a_{2}\left(y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+y y^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{125} a_{2}^{2} y^{5}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

IV.c: $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=(36,36,-144,-144):$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}^{2}=\frac{10}{a_{3}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(2,3,6), \\
& y_{02}=-y_{01}:\left(r_{21} ; r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(2,3,6), \\
& y_{03}^{2}=\frac{40}{a_{3}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}\right)=(-3,6,8),  \tag{3.131}\\
& y_{04}=-y_{03}:\left(r_{41}, r_{42}, r_{43}\right)=(-3,6,8), \\
& y^{(4)}=a_{3}\left(y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+y y^{\prime 2}-\frac{3}{50} a_{3} y^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

IV.d: $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=(20,-120,-60,60):$

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{01} & =\frac{2}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\right)=(1,2,10), \\
y_{02} & =-\frac{8}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}\right)=(-10,1,12), \\
y_{03} & =\frac{4}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}\right)=(-2,2,15),  \tag{3.132}\\
y_{04} & =-\frac{6}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{41}, r_{42}, r_{43}\right)=(-3,-2,10), \\
y^{(4)}=a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{17}{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}\right. & \left.+\frac{11}{4} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{15}{4} a_{1} y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{2} y^{3} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{16} a_{1}^{3} y^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The simplified equation for the case IV.d does not pass the Painlevé test. So this case will not be considered. The canonical forms for the other cases can be obtained by adding the non-dominant terms with the coefficients $A_{k}(z), k=$ $1, \ldots, 12$ to the simplified equations. All the coefficients $A_{k}$ can be obtained by using the linear transformation (2.6) and the compatibility conditions at the resonances. The canonical forms are as follows:
IV.a:

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)}= & -5 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-10\left(y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+y^{3} y^{\prime}\right)-15 y y^{\prime 2}-y^{5} \\
& +A_{1}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}+4 y y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime 2}+6 y^{2} y^{\prime}+y^{4}\right)+A_{3}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+3 y y^{\prime}+y^{3}\right)  \tag{3.133}\\
& +A_{7}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+A_{11} y+A_{12} .
\end{align*}
$$

If one lets $y=u^{\prime} / u$ then (3.133) gives the fifth order linear equation for $u$. (3.133) was also given in [15].
IV.b:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=-5 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+5 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+5 y y^{\prime 2}-y^{5}+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y+c_{3} \tag{3.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ are constants and (3.134) was also given in [15].
IV.c:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=10 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+10 y y^{\prime 2}-6 y^{5}+c_{1}\left(y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{3}\right)+\left(c_{2} z+c_{3}\right) y+c_{4} \tag{3.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ are constants and (3.135) was also given in [15, 16].

### 3.3 Fifth order equations: $\mathbf{P}_{I I}^{(5)}$

Differentiating (3.75) and adding the term $y^{6}$ which is also of order -6 as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ gives the following simplified equation of order five

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(5)}= & a_{1} y y^{(4)}+a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{3} y^{\prime \prime 2}+a_{4} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{5} y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \\
& +a_{6} y^{\prime 3}+a_{7} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{8} y^{2} y^{\prime 2}+a_{9} y^{4} y^{\prime}+a_{10} y^{6} \tag{3.136}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 10$ are constants. Substituting (3.4) into (3.136) into above equation gives the following equations for resonance $r$ and for $y_{0}$ respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(r) & =(r+1)\left\{r^{4}-\left(16+a_{1} y_{0}\right) r^{3}-\left[a_{4} y_{0}^{2}-\left(11 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-101\right] r^{2}\right. \\
& -\left[a_{7} y_{0}^{3}-\left(\overline{7} a_{4}+a_{5}\right) y_{0}+\left(46 a_{1}+7 a_{2}+4 a_{3}\right) y_{0}+326\right] r \\
& -\left[a_{9} y_{0}^{4}-2\left(2 a_{7}+a_{8}\right) y_{0}^{3}+3\left(6 a_{4}+2 a_{5}+a_{6}\right) y_{0}^{2}\right.  \tag{3.137}\\
& \left.-8\left(12 a_{1}+3 a_{2}+2 a_{3}\right) y_{0}-600\right]=0, \\
a_{10} y_{0}^{5} & -a_{9} y_{0}^{4}+\left(2 a_{7}+a_{8}\right) y_{0}^{3}-\left(6 a_{4}+2 a_{5}+a_{6}\right) y_{0}^{2} \\
& +2\left(12 a_{1}+3 a_{2}+2 a_{3}\right) y_{0}+120=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

(3.137.b) implies that there are five branches, if $a_{6} \neq 0$. If $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}, r_{j 4}\right), j=$ $1, \ldots, 5$ are the distinct integer resonances corresponding to $\left(-1, y_{0 j}\right)$, and if $\prod_{i=1}^{4} r_{j i}=p_{j}$ where $p_{j}$ are integers and at least one of them is positive, then $p_{j}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{5} \frac{1}{p_{j}}=\frac{1}{120} \tag{3.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finding the solution of the Diophantine equation is quite difficult and has large number of solutions, including infinite families. So, for the sake of completeness, in this section we will present the cases when we have one, two, three and four branches. Since the procedure to obtain the canonical form of the differential equations is the same as described in the previous sections, we will only give the canonical form of the differential equations for each cases.

The canonical form of the equation can be obtained by adding the nondominant terms $y^{(t)}, y y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}, y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}, y y^{\prime 3}, y^{3} y^{\prime}, y^{5}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime 2}, y^{2} y^{\prime}, y^{4}, y^{\prime \prime}$,
$y y^{\prime}, y^{3}, y^{\prime}, y^{2}, y, 1$ with the coefficients $A_{k}(z), k=1, \ldots, 19$ which are analytic functions of $z$, respectively.

Case I: If $a_{l}=0, l=4, \ldots, 10$, then there is only one branch, and there are two cases such that the resonances are distinct positive integers.

## I.a:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-2 / a_{1}: \quad\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=(2,3,4,5) \\
& y^{(5)}=-2 y y^{(4)}-8 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-6 y^{\prime \prime 2}+A_{1}\left(y^{4}+2 y y^{\prime \prime}+6 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}\right)  \tag{3.139}\\
& +A_{8}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime 2}\right)+A_{13}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime}\right)+A_{16}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+A_{19},
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{8}, A_{13}, A_{16}, A_{19}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. (3.139) can be linearized by letting $u=y^{\prime}+y^{2}$.
I.b: $\quad y_{01}=-12 / a_{2}: \quad\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=(1,4,5,6)$.

In this case the linear transformation and the compatibility conditions give $A_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 7, A_{11}=A_{12}=A_{15}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{9}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} A_{9}^{2}=0 \tag{3.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Depending on the solution of (3.140) there are following two sub cases.
I.b.i: $A_{9}=0$. The canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}=-12 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-12 y^{\prime \prime 2}+\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+2 c_{1} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{6}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right)^{2}, \tag{3.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. If $c_{1} \neq 0$, (3.141) can be reduced to (3.13). If $c_{1}=0$ (3.141) can be reduced to a third order equation which belongs to the hierarchy of the first Painleve equation, $\mathrm{P}_{I}^{(3)}$ [19], by integrating once and letting $y=u^{\prime}$. I.b.ii: $A_{9}=12 / z^{2}$. The canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.y^{(5)}=-12 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-12 y^{\prime \prime 2}+\frac{12}{z^{2}} \frac{3}{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime 2}\right)+\left(c_{1} z^{3}+\frac{c_{2}}{z^{2}}-\frac{24}{z^{3}}\right) y^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& -\frac{48}{z^{3}} y y^{\prime}+\left(6 c_{1} z^{2}-\frac{4 c_{2}}{z^{3}}+\frac{24}{z^{4}}\right) y^{\prime}+\left(4 c_{1}+\frac{4 c_{2}}{z^{4}}\right) y+\frac{24}{z^{4}} y^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\left(c_{1} z^{3}+\frac{c_{2}}{z^{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.142}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants.
Case II: $a_{7}=\ldots=a_{10}=0$ : In this case there are two branches. The resonances and the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{3}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(1,3,4,5), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{6}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(-2,3,4,5) \\
& y^{(5)}=-3 y y^{(4)}-12 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-9 y^{\prime \prime 2}-18 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-6 y^{\prime 3}-3 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+(R y)^{\prime \prime \prime}+ \\
& \frac{1}{3} A_{10}\left[y^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 y y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{2} y^{\prime}+3 y^{\prime 2}-(R y)^{\prime}\right]+A_{15}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+3 y y^{\prime}+y^{3}-R y\right)+A_{19} \tag{3.143}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R=A_{8}-A_{9} / 3$ and $A_{8}, A_{9}, A_{10}, A_{15}$ and $A_{19}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. (3.143) can be linearized, if one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y^{\prime \prime}+3 y y^{\prime}+y^{3}-R y \tag{3.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that (3.144) is of Painleve type.
Case III: $a_{9}=a_{10}=0$ : In this case there are three branches. The resonances and the canonical form of the equations are as follows:

## III.a:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=\frac{-2}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(2,3,4,5), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{1-n}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(4,5,6, n), \\
& y_{03}=-\frac{1+n}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}\right)=(4,5,6,-n),  \tag{3.145}\\
& y^{(5)}=-2 y y^{(4)}+\frac{1}{n^{2}-1}\left[\left(56-8 n^{2}\right) y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(54-6 n^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime 2}+48 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& \left.+288 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+96\left(y^{\prime 3}+y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}\right)+288 y^{2} y^{\prime 2}\right]+A_{8}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& +\left(2 A_{8}^{\prime}+c_{1} z+c_{2}\right)\left(y^{\prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime}\right)+\left(A_{8}^{\prime \prime}+2 c_{1}\right)\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+A_{19},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{19}=-\frac{n^{2}-1}{48}\left[A_{8}^{\prime \prime \prime}-A_{8} A_{8}^{\prime \prime}-A_{8}^{\prime 2}-A_{8}^{\prime}\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right)-2 c_{1} A_{8}+2\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants, $n$ is a positive integer $\neq 1,4,5,6$. If $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$, twice integration of (3.145) yields (3.73).
III.b: The resonances are

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=-\frac{6-n}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(1,4,5, n), \\
y_{02}=-\frac{6+n}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(1,4,5,-n), \\
y_{03}=-\frac{12}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}\right)=(-3,-2,4,5) . \tag{3.147}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer $\neq 1,4,5$. It should be noted that, when $n \geq 6$ the twice integration of the simplified equation gives the third order equation (3.69) with the additional term $\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right)$. Therefore, the simplified equation is not of Painlevé type if $c_{1}, c_{2} \neq 0$. Hence, we will only consider the cases for $n=2,3$. The canonical form of the equation for $n=2$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{(5)}=2 y y^{(4)}+7 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+5 y^{\prime \prime 2}-\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-9 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime 3}+\frac{1}{2} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime 2} \\
& +A_{1}\left(y^{(4)}-2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-5 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}+3 y y^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{2} y^{3} y^{\prime}\right) \\
& +A_{8}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2} y^{\prime 2}+\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{8} y^{4}\right)+A_{13} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 A_{13}^{\prime}-A_{1} A_{13}\right) y^{\prime} \\
& +\left(A_{13}^{\prime \prime}-A_{1} A_{13}^{\prime}-A_{8} A_{13}\right) y+A_{19}, \tag{3.148}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{8}, A_{13}, A_{19}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. Twice integration of (3.148) yields (3.71).
For $n=3$

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(5)}= & 2 y y^{(4)}+\frac{1}{3}\left(26 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+20 y^{\prime \prime 2}-\frac{16}{3} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-32 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{32}{3} y^{\prime 3}+\frac{16}{9} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& +\frac{16}{3} y^{2} y^{\prime 2}+A_{1}\left[y^{(4)}-2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{2}{3}\left(10 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{8}{3} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{16}{3} y y^{\prime 2}+\frac{8}{9} y^{3} y^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& +A_{8}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{7}{3} y^{\prime 2}+\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{4}{27} y^{4}\right)+A_{19}, \tag{3.149}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $A_{1}, A_{8}, A_{19}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. If one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{7}{3} y^{\prime 2}+\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{4}{27} y^{4} \tag{3.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.149) can be reduced to a linear equation for $u$. It should be noted that (3.150) belongs to $\mathrm{P}_{I I}^{(3)}$ and given by the equation (3.72).

Case IV: $a_{10}=0$ : In this case there are four branches. The resonances and the canonical form of the equations are as follows:
IV.a:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{01}=\frac{-5}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(1,2,3,5), \\
y_{02}=\frac{-10}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(-2,1,2,5), \\
y_{03}=\frac{-15}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}\right)=(-3,-2,1,5), \\
y_{04}=\frac{-20}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{41}, r_{42}, r_{43}, r_{44}\right)=(-2,-3,-4,5), \\
y^{(5)}=-5\left(y y^{\prime(4)}+3 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime \prime 2}+2 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+10 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime 3}+2 y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}\right.  \tag{3.151}\\
\left.+6 y^{2} y^{\prime 2}+y^{4} y^{\prime}\right)+A_{1}\left(y^{(4)}+5 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+10 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+10 y^{2} y^{\prime}+15 y y^{\prime 2}\right. \\
\left.+10 y^{3} y^{\prime}+y^{5}\right)+A_{13}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime}\right)+A_{16} y^{\prime}+\left(A_{13}^{\prime}-A_{13} A_{1}\right) y^{2} \\
+\left(A_{16}^{\prime}-A_{13}^{\prime \prime}+A_{1}^{\prime} A_{13}+2 A_{1} A_{13}^{\prime}-A_{1} A_{16}-A_{1}^{2} A_{13}\right) y+A_{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{13}, A_{16}, A_{19}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. Integrating (3.151) once gives the special case of (3.133).
IV.b:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{5}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{11},,_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}\right)=(2,3,5,6), \\
& y_{02}=\frac{10}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}\right)=(2,3, \overline{5}, 6), \\
& y_{03}=\frac{15}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}\right)=(-2,5,6,7),  \tag{3.152}\\
& \\
& y_{04}=-\frac{20}{a_{2}}:\left(r_{41}, r_{42}, r_{43}, r_{44}=(-7,5,6,12),\right. \\
& y^{(5)}=-5 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-5\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+5 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+20 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+5\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-5 y^{4} y^{\prime} \\
& +\left(c_{1} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+c_{1} y
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.152) once gives (3.134)
IV.c:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}^{2}=\frac{10}{a_{4}}: \quad y_{02}=-y_{01} ; \quad\left(r_{i 1}, r_{i 2}, r_{i 3}, r_{i 4}\right)=(2,3,5,6) ; \quad i=1,2 \\
& y_{03}^{2}=\frac{40}{a_{4}}: \quad y_{04}=-y_{03} ; \quad\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}, r_{j 4}\right)=(-3,5,6,8) ; \quad j=3,4 \\
& y^{(5)}=10 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+40 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+10\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-30 y^{4} y^{\prime}+c_{1}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}-6 y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(c_{2} z+c_{2}\right) y^{\prime}+c_{2} y \tag{3.153}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.4 Sixth order equations: $\mathrm{P}_{I I}^{(6)}$

Differentiating equation (3.136) and adding the term $y^{7}$ which is of order -7 as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ give the following simplified equation of order six

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(6)} & =a_{1} y y^{(5)}+a_{2} y^{\prime} y^{(4)}+a_{3} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{4} y^{2} y^{(4)}+a_{5} y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& +a_{6} y\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+a_{7}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{8} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{9} y^{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{10} y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}  \tag{3.154}\\
& +a_{11} y^{4} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{12} y^{3}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+a_{13} y^{5} y^{\prime}+a_{14} y^{7},
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 14$ are constants. Substituting (3.4) into (3.154) gives the following equations for the resonances $r$ and $y_{0}$ respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(r) & =(r+1)\left[r^{5}-\left(22+a_{1} y_{0}\right)+\left[197+\left(16 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-d y_{0}^{2}\right] r^{3}+[-932\right. \\
& \left.-\left(101 a_{1}-11 a_{2}-2 a_{3}\right) y_{0}+\left(11 a_{4}+a_{5}\right) y_{0}^{2}-a_{8} y_{0}^{3}\right] r^{2}+[2556 \\
& +\left(326 a_{1}+46 a_{2}+20 a_{3}\right) y_{0}-\left(46 a_{4}+7 a_{5}+4 a_{6}\right) y_{0}^{2}+\left(7 a_{8}+a_{9}\right) y_{0}^{3} \\
& \left.-a_{11} y_{0}^{4}\right] r-4320-\left(600 a_{1}+120 a_{2}+60 a_{3}\right) y_{0}+\left(96 a_{4}+24 a_{5}+16 a_{6}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+8 a_{7}\right) y_{0}^{2}-\left(18 a_{8}+6 a_{9}+3 a_{10}\right) y_{0}^{3}+\left(4 a_{11}+2 a_{12}\right) y_{0}^{4}-a_{13} y_{0}^{5}\right]=0 \\
a_{14} y_{0}^{6} & -a_{13} y_{0}^{5}+\left(a_{12}+2 a_{11}\right) y_{0}^{4}-\left(a_{10}+2 a_{9}+a_{8}\right) y_{0}^{3}+\left(2 a_{7}+4 a_{6}\right. \\
+6 a_{5} & \left.+24 a_{4}\right)-\left(12 a_{3}+24 a_{2}+120 a_{1}\right)-720=0 . \tag{3.155}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.155.b) implies that we have five branches if $a_{14}=0$ and $a_{13 \neq 0}$ and six branches if $a_{14} \neq 0$. If $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}, r_{j 3}, r_{j 4}, r_{j 5}\right), j=1, \ldots 6$ are the distinct integer resonances corresponding to $\left(-1, y_{0 j}\right)$, and if $\prod_{i=1}^{6} r_{j i}=p_{j}$, where $p_{j}$ are integers and at least one of them is positive, then $p_{j}, j=1, \ldots 5$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{5} \frac{1}{p_{j}}=\frac{1}{720} \tag{3.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $a_{14}=0$ and $a_{13} \neq 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{1}{p_{j}}=\frac{1}{720} \tag{3.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $a_{14} \neq 0$. Finding the solutions of the Diophantine equations (3.156) and (3.157) is quite difficult. So, for the sake of completeness we will present the cases when we have one, two, three and four branches. Since the procedure to obtain the canonical form of the differential equations of order six is the same as the one described in the previous sections, we will only give the canonical form of the differential equations and their corresponding resonances.

The canonical form of the equations can be obtained by adding the nondominant terms $y^{(5)}, y y^{(4)}, y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime 2}, y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime 3}, y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}, y^{2} y^{\prime 2}, y^{4} y^{\prime}, y^{6}, y^{(4)}$, $y y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}, y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}, y y^{\prime 2}, y^{3} y^{\prime}, y^{5}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime 2}, y^{2} y^{\prime}, y^{4}, y^{\prime \prime}, y y^{\prime}, y^{3}, y^{\prime}, y^{2}, y, 1$, with the cofficients $A_{k}, k=1, \ldots, 30$ which are analytic functions in $z$, respectively.

Case I: If $a_{l}=0, l=4, \ldots 14$, then there is only one branch. The resonances and the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{01} & =-\frac{2}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}\right)=(2,3,4,5,6) \\
y^{(6)} & =-2 y y^{(5)}-10 y^{\prime} y^{(4)}-20 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{1}\left(y^{(5)}+2 y y^{(4)}+8 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +A_{12}\left(y^{(4)}+2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+6 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}\right)+A_{19}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime 2}\right)+A_{24}\left(y^{\prime \prime}+y y^{\prime}\right) \\
& +A_{27}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+A_{30}, \tag{3.158}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{12}, A_{19}, A_{24}, A_{27}, A_{30}$ are arbitrary analytic functions of $z$. (3.158) can be linearized by letting $u=y^{\prime}+y^{2}$.

Case II: If $a_{l}=0, l=8, \ldots 14$, then we have two branches. The resonances and the canonical form of the equation are

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{01} & =-\frac{3}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(1,3,4,5,6) \\
y_{02} & =-\frac{6}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(-2,3,4,5,6) \\
y^{(6)} & =-3 y y^{(5)}-15 y^{\prime} y^{(4)}-30 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 y^{2} y^{(4)}-24 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-18 y\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-36\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} y^{\prime \prime} \\
& +A_{13}\left[y^{(4)}+3 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+9 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+6 y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(\left(A_{12}-A_{13}\right) y\right)^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& +A_{20}\left[y^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 y y^{\prime \prime}+3\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+3 y^{2} y^{\prime}-\left(\left(A_{12}-A_{13}\right) y\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
& +A_{26}\left[y^{\prime \prime}+3 y y^{\prime}+y^{3}-\left(A_{12}-A_{13}\right) y\right]+A_{30}, \tag{3.159}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{20}, A_{26}, A_{30}$ are arbitrary functions. (3.159) can be linearized under the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y^{\prime \prime}+3 y y^{\prime}+y^{3}-\left(A_{12}-A_{13}\right) y \tag{3.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case III: If $a_{l}=0, l=11, \ldots, 14$, then we have three branches. The
resonances of the equation are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{6-n}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(1,4,5,6, n) \\
y_{02}=-\frac{6+n}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(1,4,5,6,-n)  \tag{3.161}\\
y_{03}=-\frac{12}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}, r_{35}\right)=(-3,-2,4,5,6)
\end{array}
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer such that $n \neq 1,4,5,6$. It should be noted that integration three times of the simplified equation gives the third order equation (3.69) with the additional term $\left(c_{1} z^{2}+c_{2} z+c_{3}\right)$. Therefore, the simplified equation is not of Painlevé type unless $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0$. Hence we will consider the cases for $n=2,3$. The canonical form of the equation when $n=2$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(6)} & =2 y y^{(5)}+9 y^{\prime} y^{(4)}+17 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{(4)}-9 y\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-18 y^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& -12 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{9}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+3 y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3} \\
& +A_{1}\left[y^{(5)}+2 y y^{(4)}-7 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-5\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+9 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+3\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{2} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{3}{2} y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+A_{12}\left[y^{(4)}-2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-5 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+3 y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} y^{3} y^{\prime}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right) y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} A_{20}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{8} y^{4}\right) \\
& +\left[\frac{3}{2}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} A_{1}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)\right] y^{\prime \prime} \\
& +\left[\frac{3}{2}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)^{\prime \prime}-A_{1}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} A_{12}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)\right] y^{\prime} \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4} A_{20}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} A_{1}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} A_{12}\left(2 A_{19}+A_{20}\right)^{\prime}\right] y+A_{30}, \tag{3.162}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{12}, A_{10}, A_{20}, A_{30}$ are arbitrary analytic functions. Integrating (3.162) three times gives an equation of the form of the equation (3.71).

For $n=3$

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(6)} & =2 y y^{(5)}+\frac{32}{3} y^{\prime} y^{(4)}+22 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{(4)}-\frac{128}{9} y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& -\frac{32}{3} y\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{64}{3} y^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{16}{27} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{16}{3} y^{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{32}{9} y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3} \\
& +A_{1}\left[y^{(5)}-2 y y^{(4)}-\frac{26}{3} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{20}{3}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{32}{3} y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{32}{9}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{16}{27} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{16}{9} y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+A_{12}\left[y^{(4)}-2 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{20}{3} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{32}{9} y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{16}{27} y^{3} y^{\prime}\right] \\
& +A_{19}\left[y^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{7}{3}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{16}{9} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{4}{27} y^{4}\right]+A_{30}, \tag{3.163}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{12}, A_{19}, A_{30}$ are arbitrary analytic function in $z$. (3.163) is linearizable under the transformation (3.150).

Case IV: If $a_{13}=a_{14}=0$, then there are four branches. The resonances and the canonical form of the equation are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{01} & =-\frac{5}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}\right)=(1,2,3,5,6) \\
y_{02} & =-\frac{10}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}, r_{24}, r_{25}\right)=(-2,1,2,5,6) \\
y_{03} & =-\frac{15}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}, r_{35}\right)=(-3,-2,1,5,6) \\
y_{04} & =-\frac{20}{a_{1}}:\left(r_{41}, r_{42}, r_{43}, r_{44}, r_{45}\right)=(-4,-3,-2,5,6) \\
y^{(6)} & =-5 y y^{(5)}-20 y^{\prime} y^{(4)}-35 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-10 y^{2} y^{(4)}-70 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-50 y\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \\
& -95 y^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-10 y^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-90 y^{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-60 y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-5 y^{4} y^{\prime \prime}-20 y^{3}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +A_{1}\left[y^{(5)}+5 y y^{(4)}+10\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+10 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+50 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+15\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+10 y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& \left.+30 y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+5 y^{4} y^{\prime}\right]+A_{12}\left[y^{(4)}+5 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+10 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+10 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+15 y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+10 y^{3} y^{\prime}+y^{5}\right]+\left(4 A_{19}^{\prime}-2 A_{1} A_{19}\right) y y^{\prime}+A_{19}\left[y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y y^{\prime \prime}+2\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+A_{24} y^{\prime \prime} \\
& +\left[-3 A_{19}^{\prime \prime}+3 A_{1} A_{19}^{\prime}+2 A_{24}^{\prime}-A_{12} A_{19}+2 A_{1}^{\prime} A_{19}-A_{1} A_{24}-A_{1}^{2} A_{19}\right] y^{\prime} \\
& +\left[A_{19}^{\prime \prime}-A_{1} A_{19}^{\prime}-A_{12} A_{19}\right] y^{2}+\left[A_{24}^{\prime \prime}-2 A_{19}^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{1}^{\prime \prime} A_{19}+2 A_{1}^{\prime} A_{19}^{\prime}\right. \\
& +3 A_{1} A_{19}^{\prime \prime}-A_{1} A_{24}^{\prime}-A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime} A_{19}-A_{1}^{2} A_{19}^{\prime}-A_{12} A_{24}+2 A_{19}^{\prime} A_{12} \\
& \left.-A_{1} A_{12} A_{19}\right] y+A_{30}, \tag{3.164}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{12}, A_{19}, A_{24}, A_{30}$ are arbitrary analytic functions in $z$. Integrating (3.164) twice gives

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)} & =-5 y y^{\prime \prime \prime}-10 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-10 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-15 y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-10 y^{3} y^{\prime}-y^{5}  \tag{3.165}\\
& +A_{19}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)+\left(A_{1} A_{19}-2 A_{19}^{\prime}+A_{24}\right) y+B(z),
\end{align*}
$$

where $B(z)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime \prime}=A_{1} B^{\prime}+A_{12} B+A_{30} \tag{3.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.165) is of the form of equation (3.133) and linearizable under the transformation $y=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$.

## Chapter 4

## The third Painlevé hierarchy

In this chapter we apply the procedure to the third Painleve equation and obtain non polynomial Painlevé type differential equations of order three.

### 4.1 Third order equations: $\mathbf{P}_{I I I}^{(3)}$

The third Painlevé equation $\mathrm{P}_{I I I}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-\frac{y^{\prime}}{z}+\frac{\mu y^{2}+\nu}{z}+\gamma y^{3}+\frac{\tau}{y} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Painlevé test gives that there are two branches with common resonances and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha, \gamma y_{0}^{2}\right)=(-1,1), \quad Q(r)=(r+1)(r-2) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one applies the transformation $z=z_{0}+\epsilon t$ to equation (4.1) and then take the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}=\frac{\dot{y}^{2}}{y} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\frac{d}{d t}$. The only values of $y$ in (4.1) for which the general existence theorem of Cauchy does not apply are $0, \infty$. The dominant terms of $\mathrm{P}_{I I I}$ are $y^{\prime \prime}, \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}$ and $\gamma y^{3}$ which are of weight -3 as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$. Taking the derivative of the simplified equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+3 \gamma y^{2} y^{\prime} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leading order is -1 and the leading terms are of weight -4 . Adding the dominant terms of weight -4 with constant coefficients such that the only values
of $y$ for which the Cauchy general existence theorem does not apply are $0, \infty$ and these dominant terms vanish as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ when we make the transformation $z=z_{0}+\epsilon t$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=c_{1} \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+c_{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}+a_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime}+a_{4} y^{4} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2} \neq 0$. If $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$, then (4.5) reduces to equation (3.3). Applying the transformation $z=z_{0}+\epsilon t$ to equation (4.5) and taking the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dddot{y}=c_{1} \frac{\dot{y} \ddot{y}}{y}+c_{2} \frac{\dot{y}^{3}}{y^{2}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.6) is of Painlevé type if its solution can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_{i}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{i+\alpha}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y$ is single-valued. Substituting (4.7) in (4.6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{1}+c_{2}-1\right) \alpha^{2}+\left(3-c_{1}\right) \alpha-2=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $y=u^{\alpha}$, which preserves the Painlevé property, in (4.6) and using (4.8) give the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \ddot{u}=\left(c_{1} \alpha-3 \alpha+3\right) \dot{u} \ddot{u} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.9) once yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=k_{1} u^{c_{1} \alpha-3 \alpha+3}, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ is an integration constant. Equation (4.10) can be solved in terms of the Weiestrass elliptic function, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \alpha-3 \alpha+3=\beta, \quad \beta=0,1,2,3 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (4.8) and (4.11), one can have

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1}=\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+3\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \\
c_{2}=-2-\frac{1}{\alpha}(3-\beta)-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}(1+\beta)  \tag{4.12}\\
\beta=0,1,2,3
\end{gather*}
$$

One should note that when $\alpha \rightarrow \pm \infty,\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(3,-2)$, and (4.6) has the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=k_{3} \exp \left[\frac{\left(k_{1} t+k_{2}\right)^{2}}{2}\right] \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are integration constants.
(4.5) was considered by Exton [10] without giving the general expression (4.12) of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Moreover, he had mistakes in applying the method of finding the necessary conditions for the equations in the canonical forms to be of Painlevé type. Martynov [12] considered (1.13), which reduces to (4.5) when $\nu=1$. But he only investigated the case $a_{4} \neq 0$, and he did not consider the situations when (4.5) attains recessive terms.

Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-1}+\delta\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{r-1} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

into (4.5) give the following equations for the resonances $r$ and $y_{0}$, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q(r)=(r+1)\left[r^{2}-\left(7+a_{1} y_{0}\right) r+3\left(6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right)+2\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}-a_{3} y_{0}^{2}\right]=0 \\
& a_{4} y_{0}^{3}-a_{3} y_{0}^{2}+\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}+6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.15.b) implies that, in general, there are three branches if $a_{4} \neq 0$. According to the number of branches, the following cases have to be considered

Case I: $a_{4}=a_{3}=0$. In this case there is one branch. Then if $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the resonances, (4.15.b) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0}=r_{1} r_{2}=6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}, \quad r_{1}+r_{2}=a y_{0}+7-c_{1} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore one has $\left(6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$ i.e $2 c_{1}+c_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}-\{6\}$. For each value of $\beta$ one may have the following such cases
I.a: $\beta=0$; then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\left(3-\frac{3}{\alpha},-2+\frac{3}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)$.

Since $2 c_{1}+c_{2}=4-\frac{3}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}$ is an integer, then $\alpha= \pm 1$
I.a.i: $\alpha=1$, then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(0,0)$.
I.a.ii: $\alpha=-1$, then $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0$.
I.b: $\beta=1$; then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\left(3-\frac{2}{\alpha},-2+\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)$.

Since $2 c_{1}+c_{2}=4-\frac{2}{\alpha}$ is an integer, then $\alpha= \pm 1, \pm 2$
I.b.i: $\alpha=-1$, then $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0$.
I.b.ii: $\alpha=1$, then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(1,0)$ and (4.16) gives $r_{1} r_{2}=4$. Then the resonances and the simplified equation are

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(1,4)  \tag{4.17}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}+2 a_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{1} \lambda=-1$ and applying the transformation $y=\frac{1}{u}$, which preserves the Painlevé property, to (4.17.b) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}=5 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-4\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-u u^{\prime \prime}+4\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of (4.18) gives that the leading order is -1 and the resonances are $\left(\hat{r}_{0}, \hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right)=(-1,0,2)$ with dominant terms $u u^{\prime \prime \prime}, u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime},\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}$. Substituting the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_{i}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{i-1} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

into (4.18) yields that the compatibility condition at the resonance $\hat{r}_{2}=2$ is not satisfied identically and hence (4.18), consequently (4.17), is not of Painlevé type.
I.b.iii: $\alpha=2$, then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(2,1)$ and $r_{1} r_{2}=3$. Then the resonances and the simplified equation are

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(1,3)  \tag{4.20}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

(4.20.b) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+a_{1} y y^{\prime}+k \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.21) is of Painlevé type [6], [3].
I.b.iv: $\alpha=-2$, then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(4,-3)$ and $r_{1} r_{2}=1$ i.e $r_{1}=r_{2}= \pm 1$. That is one has a double resonance at $\pm 1$.
I.c: $\beta=2$; then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\left(3-\frac{1}{\alpha},-2+\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)$

Since $2 c_{1}+c_{2}=4-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}$ is an integer, then $\alpha= \pm 1$
I.c.i: $\alpha=-1$, then $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0$.
I.c.ii: $\alpha=1$, then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(2,0)$ and $r_{1} r_{2}=2$. Then the resonances and the simplified equation are

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(1,2)  \tag{4.22}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}-\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.22.b) does not pass the Painleve test since the compatibility condition at the resonance $r_{2}=2$ is not satisfied identically.
I.d: $\beta=3$; then $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\left(3,-2+\frac{2}{\alpha^{2}}\right)$.

Since $2 c_{1}+c_{2}=4+\frac{2}{\alpha^{2}}$ is an integer, then $\alpha= \pm 1$. But then for both values of $\alpha$, one has $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0$.

Case II: $a_{4}=0, a_{3} \neq 0$. In this case there are two branches. If $y_{0 j}$ are the roots of (4.15.b) such that $y_{01} \neq y_{02}$, by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=3\left(6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right)+2\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}-a_{3} y_{0 j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)$ are the resonances corresponding to $y_{0 j}$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j 1} r_{j 2}=P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=p_{j}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and such that at least one of them is positive. Equation (4.15.b) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=-\frac{6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}}{y_{01} y_{02}}, \quad 2 a_{1}+a_{2}=a_{3}\left(y_{01}+y_{02}\right) \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (4.23) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{01}\right)=\left(6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right), \quad P\left(y_{02}\right)=\left(6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{01}}{y_{02}}\right) . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p_{1} p_{2} \neq 0$ and $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2} \neq 0, p_{j}$ satisfy the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$, one should find $\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)$ such that $r_{j i}$ are distinct integers and $r_{j 1} r_{j 2}=p_{j}$. Then $y_{0 j}$ and $a_{i}$ can be obtained from (4.25), (4.26) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j 1}+r_{j 2}=a_{1} y_{0 j}+7-c_{1} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each value of $\beta$, one may have the following cases
II.a: $\beta=0$, then the Diopahantine equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha+1} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it is not possible to find the integer solutions of (4.29) for all $\alpha$, we will look for the integer solutions of (4.29) when $\alpha= \pm 2, \pm 3$. One should note that when $\alpha=-1$ one has $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0$ and when $\alpha=1$ one has $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$.
II.a.i: $\alpha=2$; then the Diophantine equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{4}{15} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.30) has the following integer solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(3,-15),(4,60),(5,15),(6,10) \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is only one case $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(3,-15)$, such that all the resonances are distinct integers for both branches. The resonances and the simplified equation of this case are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{3}{2 a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,3) \\
y_{02}=-\frac{15}{4 a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}=(-5,3)\right.  \tag{4.32}\\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]-\frac{1}{3} a_{1}^{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

If one replaces $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{1} \lambda=-\frac{1}{3}$, then (4.32) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-\frac{3}{2} y y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{4} y^{4}+k, \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.33) is of Painleve type [6] [3].
II.a.ii: $\alpha=-2$; then (4.29) has the only integer solution $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(1,3)$ but then there will a double resonance at $\pm 1$.
II.a.iii: $\alpha=-3$; then (4.29) has the only integer solution $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(1,-10)$ but then there will be a double resonance at $\pm 1$.
II.a.iv: $\alpha=3$; then (4.29) has the only integer solution $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(4,14)$. Using (4.28) one can obtain that $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,4)$ and that the resonances $r_{2 i}$ satisfy the equation $r_{2 i}^{2}-5 r_{2 i}+14=0$ which has non-integer roots.
II.b: $\beta=1$; then the Diophantine equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.34) always has the particular solution $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(2,-2 \alpha-2)$. In this case the resonances and the simplified equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{\alpha+2}{a_{\alpha}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)}{a_{1} \alpha}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-1-\alpha, 2), \\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(3-\frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+\left(-2+\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2 \alpha}{(\alpha+2)^{2}} a_{1}^{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right.  \tag{4.35}\\
& \alpha \neq 0,-1,-3
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{u^{i}}{u}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}=\left(3-\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \frac{u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime \prime}}{u^{\prime}}+\left(-2+\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{3}}{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $u^{\prime}=v^{\alpha}$ in (4.36) gives the following differential equation in $v$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v v^{\prime \prime \prime}=v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.37) once gives $v^{\prime \prime}=k v$, where $k$ is an integration constant, which has the solution $v=k_{1} z+k_{2}$ if $k=0$, or $v=k_{1} e^{\sqrt{k} z}+k_{2} e^{-\sqrt{k} z}$ if $k \neq 0$. The simple zeros of $v$ might be singularities of $u$. Then one can easily show that for $u^{\prime}$ not to contain the term $\frac{1}{z-z_{0}}$, i.e $u$ and consequently $y$ is of Painleve type, it is necessary and sufficient that $\alpha \neq-2 m-1$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

Since it is not possible to solve equation (4.34) for all $\alpha$ we will cover the cases $\alpha=1, \pm 2$. One should note that when $\alpha=-1$ one has $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0$.
II.b.i: $\alpha=1$, then the Diophantine equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{4} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.38) has the solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(2,-4),(3,-12),(5,20),(8,8),(6,12) \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are three cases $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(2,-4),(8,8),(6,12)$ such that all the resonances are distinct integers for both branches. The resonances and the simplified equations for these cases are
II.b.i.1: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(2,-4)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{3}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{6}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-2,2),  \tag{4.40}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{9} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.40.c) is nothing but equation (4.35) when $\alpha=1$. If one replaces $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{1} \lambda=-3$, then (4.40.c) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=-3 y y^{\prime}-y^{3}+k y \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.41) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.b.i.2: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(8,8)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}^{2}=\frac{4}{a_{3}}: \quad y_{02}=-y_{01}, \quad\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)=(2,4), \quad j=1,2 \\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime} \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

If one replaces $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{3} \lambda=4$, then (4.42.b) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}+k y \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.43) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.b.i.3: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(6,12)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(2,3), \\
& y_{02}=\frac{6}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21} \cdot r_{22}\right)=(2,6),  \tag{4.44}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+2 a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

If one replaces $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{1} \lambda=-2$, then (4.44.c) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=-y y^{\prime}+y^{3}+k y, \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.45) is of Painleve type [6] [3].
II.b.ii: $\alpha=2$; then the Diophantine equation is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p \cdot 2}=\frac{1}{3} \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.46) has the solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(2,-6),(4,-12),(6,6) \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

among which only the cases $(2,-6),(6,6)$ are such that the resonances are distinct integers for both branches. The resonances and the simplified equations are as follows
II.b.ii.1: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(2,-6)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
& y_{02}=-\frac{6}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-3,2),  \tag{4.48}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{4} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

(4.48.c) is nothing but equation (4.35) when $\alpha=2$. If one replaces $y$ by $\lambda y$, then (4.48.c) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-2 y y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} y^{3}+k y \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.49) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.b.ii.2: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(6,6)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}^{2}=\frac{3}{a_{3}}: \quad y_{02}=-y_{01} ; \quad\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)=(2,3), \quad j=1,2  \tag{4.50}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

(4.50.b) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+\frac{a_{3}}{3} y^{3}+k \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.51) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.b.iii: $\alpha=-2$; then the Diophantine equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=1 \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the only solution $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(2,2)$. The resonances and the simplified equations in this case are

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}^{2}=\frac{1}{a_{3}}: \quad y_{02}=-y_{01} ; \quad\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)=(1,2), \quad j=1,2  \tag{4.53}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=4 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-3 \frac{\left.3 y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that (4.35) reduces to (4.53) if $\alpha=-2$. (4.53) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+c y^{3}+k y^{2} \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.54) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.c: $\beta=2$; then the Diophantine equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \alpha^{2}+\alpha+1} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\alpha=-1$, one has $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0$. When $\alpha= \pm 2, \pm 3$, the integer solutions of (4.55) lead to equations with non-integer resonances. When $\alpha=1$ equation (4.55) has the solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(1,-2),(3,6),(4,4) \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two cases such that the resonances for both branches are distinct integers. The resonances and the simplified equations for these cases are
II.c.1: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(3,6)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,3) \\
& y_{02}=\frac{2}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(1,6)  \tag{4.57}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}-\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

(4.57) does not pass the Painleve test since the compatibility conditions at the resonance $r_{13}=3$ is not satisfied identically.
II.c.2: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(4,4)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}^{2}=\frac{2}{a_{3}}: \quad y_{02}=-y_{01} ; \quad\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)=(1,4), \quad j=1,2  \tag{4.58}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

(4.58.b) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=c y^{3}+k y^{2}, \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.59) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.d: $\beta=3$; then the Diophantine equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)} \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it is not possible to solve (4.60) for all $\alpha$ will cover the case $\alpha= \pm 2$. One should note that when $\alpha= \pm 1$ one has $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}=0$ and when $\alpha= \pm 3$ the integer solutions of (4.60) gives non-integer resonances. when $\alpha=2$ the Diophantine equation has the solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(1,-3),(2,6),(3,3) \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two cases such that all the resonances for both branches are distinct integers. The resonances and the simplified equations for these cases are
II.d.1: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(2,6)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
& y_{02}=\frac{3}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(1,6),  \tag{4.62}\\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=3 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left.y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}-\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

(4.62.c) does not pass the Painleve test since the compatibility condition at the resonance $r_{12}=2$ is not satisfied identically.
II.d.2: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(3,3)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}^{2}=\frac{3}{2 a_{3}}: \quad y_{02}=-y_{01} ; \quad\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)=(1,3), \quad j=1,2 \\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=3 \frac{y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{3} y^{2} y^{\prime} \tag{4.63}
\end{align*}
$$

(4.63.b) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+a_{3} y^{3}+k y^{2} \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integration constant. (4.64) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
Case III: $a_{4} \neq 0$. In this case there are three branches corresponding to $\left(-1, y_{0 j}\right), j=1,2,3$, where $y_{0 j}$ are the roots of (4.15.b). (4.15.b) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{3} y_{0 j}=-\frac{6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}}{a_{4}}, \quad \sum_{i \neq j} y_{0 i} y_{0 j}=\frac{1}{a_{4}}\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right), \quad \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{0 j}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{4}} . \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the resonances (except $r_{0}=-1$ which is common for all branches) are $r_{j i}$, $i=1,2$ corresponding to $y_{0 j}$, and if one sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(y_{0 j}\right)=3\left(6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right)+2\left(2 a_{1}+a_{2}\right) y_{0 j}-a_{3} y_{0 j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (4.15.a) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{2} r_{j i}=P\left(y_{o j}\right)=p_{j} \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ are integers and in order to have a principal branch, at least one of them is positive. Equations (4.65) and (4.66) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=\left(6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right) \prod_{l=1, l \neq j}^{3}\left(1-\frac{y_{0 j}}{y_{0 l}}\right), \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $p_{j}$ satisfy the following Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=\frac{1}{6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}} \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\prod_{j=1}^{3} p_{j} \neq 0,6-2 c_{1}-c_{2} \neq 0$ and from (4.68) one has the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)=k \cdot y_{01}, \quad p_{2}\left(y_{03}-y_{01}\right)=k y_{02}, \quad p_{3}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)=k y_{03}, \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}}{y_{01} y_{02} y_{03}}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)\left(y_{01}-y_{03}\right) \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover it can be deduced from (4.12) if $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2} \neq 0$, then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta=0,1,2,3$, one has $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}>0$. Then (4.68) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=-\frac{\left(6-2 c_{1}-c_{2}\right)^{3}}{\left(y_{01} y_{02} y_{03}\right)^{2}}\left(y_{01}-y_{02}\right)^{2}\left(y_{01}-y_{03}\right)^{2}\left(y_{02}-y_{03}\right)^{2} \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (4.72) if $a_{1} \neq 0$, then $\prod_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}<0$. That is $p_{1}>0$, and either $p_{2}$ or $p_{3}$ is a negative integer. So one should consider the case $a_{1}=0$ separately.
III.1: $a_{1}=0$. Then (4.15.a) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j 1}+r_{j 2}=7-c_{1} \tag{4.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $c_{1}$ is an integer and since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{j 1}-r_{j 2}\right)^{2}=\left(r_{j 1}+r_{j 2}\right)^{2}-4 r_{j 1} r_{j 2}, \tag{4.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has that $\left(7-c_{1}\right)^{2}-4 p_{j}$ is a perfect square. Then one can determine $p_{j}$ and then by using the system (4.70) and (4.65), one can obtain $y_{0 j}$ and $a_{m}$, $m=2,3,4$. For each value of $\beta$ one can have the following cases
III.1.a: $\beta=0$. Since $c_{1}=3\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$ is an integer, then $\alpha= \pm 1, \pm 3$. There is only one case, $\alpha=-3$, such that $6-2 c_{1}-c_{2} \neq 0, c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2} \neq 0$ and the resonances of all branches are distinct integers. The resonances and the simplified equation for this case are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{1}{3 a_{2}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
y_{02}=\frac{2}{3 a_{2}}: & \left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(1,2),  \tag{4.75}\\
y_{03}=\frac{5}{3 a_{2}}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-2,5), \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=4 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{28}{9} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{2}\left[\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+6 a_{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}+3 a_{2}^{2} y^{4}\right]
\end{array}
$$

$(4.75 \mathrm{~d})$ does not pass the Painleve test since the compatibility conditions are not satisfied identically.
III.1.b: $\beta=1$. Since $c_{1}=3-\frac{2}{\alpha}$ is an integer, then $\alpha= \pm 1, \pm 2$. No cases such that the resonancses of all branches are distinct integer.
III.1.c: $\beta=2$. Since $c_{1}=3-\frac{1}{\alpha}$ is an integer then $\alpha= \pm 1$. When $\alpha=-1$, one has $6-2 c_{1}-c_{3}=0$. The case $\alpha=1$ leads to the following resonances and simplified equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{0 j}^{3}=-\frac{2}{a_{4}}: \quad\left(r_{j 1}, r_{j 2}\right)=(2,3), \quad j=1,2,3  \tag{4.76}\\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{4} y^{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{4} \lambda^{3}=2$ (4.76.b) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+2 y^{4} \tag{4.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.77) was considered by Martynov [12].
III.1.d: $\beta=3$. Then $c_{1}=3$. Since $\left(7-c_{1}\right)^{2}-4 p_{1}=16-4 p_{1}^{2}$ is a perfect square and $p_{1}>0$, then $p_{1}=3$. (4.69) gives that $p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{2}}+\frac{1}{p_{3}}=\frac{\alpha^{2}+2}{6\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)}>0 \tag{4.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.78), one can deduce that one of $p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$, say $p_{2}$ is positive. Since $16-4 p_{2}$ is a perfect square, one has $p_{2}=3$. Then (4.78) gives $p_{3}=\frac{6\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)}{4-\alpha^{2}}=$ $-6+\frac{18}{4-\alpha^{2}}$. Since $p_{3}$ is an integer, one has $\alpha= \pm 1$. But then one has $6-2 c_{1}-$ $c_{2}=0$.
III.2: $a_{1} \neq 0$. Then after solving (4.69) for $p_{j}=r_{j 1} r_{j 2}, y_{0 j}$ and $a_{i}, i=$ $1,2,3,4$ can be determined from equations (4.70), (4.65) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j 1}+r_{j 2}=7-c_{1}+a_{1} y_{o j}, \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{4.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each value of $\beta$, one can have the following cases
III.2.a: $\beta=0$. Then Diophantine equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{3} p_{j}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha+1} \tag{4.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(2,4 \alpha+2,-\alpha-1)$ is a particular solution of (4.80), but not all the solutions are of this form. For this particular solution the system (4.70) gives $k= \pm 2 \alpha$. There is only one case, $k=2 \alpha$, such that the resonances for all branches are distinct integers. The resonances and the simplified equation
for this case are

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{01}= & -\frac{\alpha+3}{a_{1} \alpha}:\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2) \\
y_{02}= & -\frac{(\alpha+3)(2 \alpha+1)}{a_{1} \alpha}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-2 \alpha-1,-2), \\
y_{03}= & -\frac{(\alpha+3)(\alpha+1)}{a_{1} \alpha}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-\alpha-1,1), \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 3\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+\left(-2+\frac{3}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{\alpha(\alpha+3)}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{3(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+3)^{2}} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+3)^{3}} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right], \quad \alpha \neq-1,-2,-3 . \tag{4.81}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that when $\alpha=-3$,(4.81) reduces to (4.75) which is not of Painlevé type. Substituting $y=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$ in (4.81) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}=3\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime \prime}}{u^{\prime}}+\left(-2+\frac{3}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{3}}{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $u^{\prime}=v^{\alpha}$ in (4.83) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 \tag{4.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.83) has the solution $v(z)=k_{1} z^{2}+k_{2} z+k_{3}$. The zeros $z_{0}$ of $v$ are singularities of $u^{\prime}$ when $\alpha<0$. For $u^{\prime}$ not to contain the term $\frac{1}{z-z_{0}}$, i.e for $u$ and consequently $y$ to be of Painleve type, it is necessary and sufficient that $\alpha>0$.

In particular, if $\alpha=2$, then the only solution of (4.80) such that the resonances are distinct integers is $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(2,15,-3)$. The simplified equation and the resonances for this case are as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{5}{2 a_{1}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{21}\right)=(1,2), \\
y_{02}=-\frac{25}{2 a_{1}}: & \left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-5,-3),  \tag{4.84}\\
y_{03}=-\frac{15}{2 a_{1}}: & \left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-3,1), \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{3}{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \\
y & \frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{10}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{9}{25} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{2}{125} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right]
\end{array}
$$

III.2.b: $\beta=1$. Then (4.69) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)} \tag{4.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it is not possible to solve (4.85), for all $\alpha$, we will consider $\alpha$ say $1, \pm 2$. III.2.b.i: $\alpha=1$. Then equation (4.85) has the solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) & =(3,24,-8),(3,132,-11),(4,-n, n),(5,16,-80),(5,19,-380) \\
& (6,10,-60),(7,8,-56) ; n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \tag{4.86}
\end{align*}
$$

Only for the following cases out of 7 cases given in (4.86) one has distinct integer resonanes
III.2.b.i.1: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(3,24,-8)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,3), \\
y_{02}=\frac{4}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(4,6),  \tag{4.87}\\
y_{03}=-\frac{4}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-2,4), \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{8} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{1} \lambda=-2$, then (4.87.d) has one of the following first integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} y^{3}, \quad y^{\prime \prime}=-y y^{\prime}+y^{3}, \quad y^{\prime \prime}=-3 y y^{\prime}-y^{3} \tag{4.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are of Painlevé type [6] [3].
III.2.b.i.2: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(4,-n, n)$.

Since $p_{1}=4$, one has $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,4)$ and hence $a_{1} y_{01}=-1$. On using the system (4.70), one finds that $a_{1} y_{02}=\frac{n-4}{8}$ and $a_{1} y_{03}=-\frac{n+4}{8}$. So that the resonances $r_{2 i}$ and $r_{3 i}$ satisfy the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{2 i}^{2}-\frac{44+n}{8} r_{2 i}+n=0  \tag{4.89}\\
& r_{3 i}^{2}-\frac{44-n}{8} r_{3 i}-n=0 \tag{4.90}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. The simplified equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}-2 \frac{n^{2}-144}{16-n^{2}} a_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{512}{16-n^{2}} a^{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{256}{16-n^{2}} a_{1}^{3} y^{4} \tag{4.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.91) does not pass the Painlevé test unless $n=12$ since the compatibility condition at $r_{12}=4$ is not satisfied identically unless $n=12$. Then (4.89) and (4.90) give that $\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(3,4)$ and $\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-2,6)$ respectively. Thus one has the equation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,4), \\
y_{02}=\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(3,4),  \tag{4.92}\\
y_{03}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-2,6), \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+4 a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}-2 a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{1} \lambda=-1$, then (4.92) has one of the following first integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}, \quad y^{\prime \prime}=y y^{\prime}+y^{3}, \quad y^{\prime \prime}=-3 y y^{\prime}-y^{3} \tag{4.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are of Painlevé type [6] [3].
III.2.b.ii: $\alpha=-2$. Then (4.85) takes the form $\sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=1$ which has the only solution $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(1, n,-n)$ such that $p_{3}<0$. But then one has $r_{11}=r_{12}= \pm 1$ that is one has double resonanse at $\pm 1$.
III.2.b.iii: $\alpha=2$. Then (4.85) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=\frac{1}{3} \tag{4.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only solution of (4.94) that might yield an equation with distinct resonances is $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(3, n,-n)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. The resonances of the first branch are $\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,3)$ and the equation is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{n^{2}-117}{9-n^{2}}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{216}{9-n^{2}} a_{1}^{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{108}{9-n^{2}} a_{1}^{3} y^{4} \tag{4.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.95) does not pass the Painlevé test since the compatibility condition at $r_{12}=3$ is not satisfied identically for any value $n$.
III.2.c: $\beta=2$. Then (4.69) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \alpha^{2}+\alpha-1} \tag{4.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(2,12 \alpha-6,-3 \alpha-3)$ is a particular solution of (4.96). For this triple, one has $k= \pm 6 \alpha$ both of which yield the same simplified equation such that the resonances of all branches are distinct integer. The resonances and the simplified equation are

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{01} & =-\frac{\alpha+1}{a_{1} \alpha}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2) \\
y_{02} & =-\frac{(\alpha+1)^{2}}{a_{1} \alpha}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(3,-\alpha-1) \\
y_{02} & =-\frac{(\alpha+1)(2 \alpha-1)}{a_{1} \alpha}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(6,2 \alpha-1) \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\left(3-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+\left(-2+\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} a_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3-\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{2}} a_{1}^{2} y^{2} y^{\prime} \\
& -\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{3}} a_{1}^{3} y^{4} ; \quad \alpha \neq 0,-1,-4 . \tag{4.97}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$ in (4.97) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}=\left(3-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime \prime}}{u^{\prime}}+\left(-2+\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{3}}{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $u^{\prime}=v^{\alpha}$, gives the following equation for $v$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v v^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.99) gives $v^{\prime \prime}=k_{1} v^{2}$. Thus $v=k_{2} z+k_{2}$ if $k_{1}=0$, or $v=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_{6 i}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{5 i-2}$, where $z_{0}$ is a double pole of $v$. Since
$u^{\prime}=v^{\alpha}, u^{\prime}$ does not contain the term $\frac{1}{z-z_{0}}$. That is $u$, and consequently $y$, is of Painlevé type if and only if $\alpha \neq 0,-1,-4$.

Since it not possible to solve (4.96) for all $\alpha$, we will cover the case $\alpha=1$. When $\alpha=1$ (4.96) has the solutions $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(3,5,-30),(2, n,-n)$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. When $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(3,5,-30)$, one has $k= \pm 15$. There is one case, $k=-15$, such that the resonances for all branches are distinct integers. The resonances and the simplified equation for this case are
III.2.c.1: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(3,5,-30)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,3), \\
y_{02}=\frac{1}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(1,5),  \tag{4.100}\\
y_{03}=-\frac{4}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-5,6), \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $\lambda y$ such that $a_{1} \lambda=-1$, then(4.100.d) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+\frac{1}{2} \tag{4.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be integrated in terms of elliptic functions [6].
III.2.c.2: $\quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(2, n, n)$ The case $k=n$ gives that $y_{01}=0$. The case $k=-n$ gives the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{n^{2}+12}{4-n^{2}} a_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{16}{4-n^{2}} a_{1}^{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\frac{4}{4-n^{2}} a_{1}^{3} y^{4} \tag{4.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1} y_{01}=-2, a_{1} y_{02}=\frac{n-2}{2}, a_{1} y_{03}=-\frac{n+2}{2},\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2)$ and the resonances of the second and the third branches satisfy the following equations respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{2 i}^{2}-\frac{n+8}{2} r_{2 i}+n=0  \tag{4.103}\\
& r_{3 i}^{2}+\frac{8-n}{2} r_{3 i}-n=0 \tag{4.104}
\end{align*}
$$

The compatibility condition at $r_{12}=2$ is not satisfied identically unless $n=6$. Then the roots of (4.103) and (4.104) are $\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(1,6)$ and $\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=$ $(-2,3)$ respectively. Thus the resonances and the simplified equation become

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{2}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
& y_{0 \cdot 2}=\frac{2}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(1,6),  \tag{4.105}\\
& y_{03}=-\frac{4}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(-2,3), \\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \frac{y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{8} y^{4}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

III.2.d: $\beta=3$. The Diophantine equation (4.69) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)} \tag{4.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(2,4 \alpha-4,-4 \alpha-4)$ is a particular solution of equation (4.106). For this particular one has $k= \pm 4 \alpha$. There is only one case, $k=-4 \alpha$, such that the resonances of all branches are distinct integers. The resonances and the simplified equation for this case are

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
& y_{02}=\frac{\alpha-1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(4, \alpha-1),  \tag{4.107}\\
& y_{03}=-\frac{\alpha+1}{a^{\prime}}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}==(4,-\alpha-1),\right. \\
& y^{\prime \prime \prime}=3 \frac{y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{2\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)}{\alpha^{2}}+a_{1} y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{6}{\alpha^{2}} a_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{6}{\alpha^{2}} a_{1}^{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{2}{\alpha^{2}} a_{1}^{3} y^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$ in (-4.107) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}=3 \frac{u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime \prime}}{u^{\prime}}-\frac{2\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)}{\alpha^{2}} \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{3}}{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $u^{\prime}=v^{\alpha}$ in (4.108) gives the following equation for $v$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v v^{\prime \prime \prime}=3 v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.109) gives $v^{\prime \prime}=k_{1} v^{3}$. Then either $v=k_{2} z+k_{3}$ if $k_{1}=0$, or $v=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_{4 i}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{4 i-1}$, where $z_{0}$ is a simple pole of $v$. Since $u^{\prime}=v^{\alpha}$, then in order that $u$, and consequently $y$, be of Painleve type, it is necessary and sufficient that $u^{\prime}$ does not contain the term $\frac{1}{z-z_{0}}$. That is $\alpha \neq 0, \pm(1+4 m)$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

Particularly if $\alpha= \pm 2$, then equation (4.106) becomes $\sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}=\frac{2}{3}$, which has the only solution $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=(2,4,-12)$. This solution yields the particular case of (4.106) when $\alpha= \pm 2$. That is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{01}=-\frac{1}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{11}, r_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
y_{02}=-\frac{3}{a_{1}}: & \left(r_{21}, r_{22}\right)=(-3,4),  \tag{4.110}\\
y_{03}=\frac{1}{a_{1}}: \quad\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)=(1,4), \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=3 \frac{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}{y}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{y^{2}}+a_{1}\left[y y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{2} y^{4}\right]
\end{array}
$$

To find the canonical forms of the equations one should add non-dominant terms with coefficients that are locally analytic functions of $z$. When $c_{2}=0$ multiply both sides of (4.5) by $y$ and add the non dominant terms of weight
greater than -5 . That is, one should consider the following equation when $c_{2}=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime} & =c_{1} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{2} y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+a_{3} y^{3} y^{\prime}+a_{4} y^{5}+A_{1}(z) y y^{\prime \prime}+A_{2}(z)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +A_{3}(z) y^{2} y^{\prime}+A_{4}(z) y^{4}+A_{5}(z) y^{\prime \prime}+A_{6}(z) y y^{\prime}+A_{7}(z) y^{3}+A_{8}(z) y^{\prime} \\
& +A_{9}(z) y^{2}+A_{10}(z) y+A_{11}(z) . \tag{4.111}
\end{align*}
$$

When $c_{2} \neq 0$ multiply both sides (4.5) by $y^{2}$ and add the non-dominant terms of weight -6 . That is one should consider this equation

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime} & =c_{1} y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+c_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+a_{1} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+a_{3} y^{4} y^{\prime}+a_{4} y^{6}+A_{1}(z) y^{2} y^{\prime \prime} \\
& +A_{2}(z) y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{3}(z) y^{3} y^{\prime}+A_{4}(z) y^{5}+A_{5}(z) y y^{\prime \prime}+A_{6}(z)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +A_{7}(z) y^{2} y^{\prime}+A_{8}(z) y^{4}+A_{9}(z) y^{\prime \prime}+A_{10}(z) y y^{\prime}+A_{11} y^{3}+A_{12}(z) y^{\prime} \\
& +A_{13}(z) y^{2}+A_{14}(z) y+A_{15}(z) . \tag{4.112}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $A_{i}$ can be determined by using the compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{i j}$ and the compatibility conditions corresponding to parametric zeros; that is the compatibility conditions at the resonances of the equations obtained by the transformation $y=\frac{1}{u}$.
I.b.iii: The transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\mu(z) \tilde{y}(x), \quad x=\rho(z) \tag{4.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

allows one to take

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=1, \quad A_{1}+A_{2}=0 \tag{4.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{1}=1$ and $r_{2}=3$ give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 A_{1}+A_{2}-A_{3}+A_{4}=0 \\
& 2 A_{1}+A_{2}-A_{3}-\frac{5}{7}-A_{4}=0 \\
& A_{5}+A_{6}-A_{8}=0 \\
& 2 A_{5}^{\prime}+A_{6}^{\prime}-A_{7}^{\prime}+A_{8}^{\prime}+2 A_{9}-A_{10}+A_{11}-A_{1}\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}-A_{7}+A_{8}\right)=0 . \tag{4.115}
\end{align*}
$$

(4.114) and (4.115.a-b) give that $A_{4}=0, A_{3}=-A_{2}=A_{1}$. To find the conditions corresponding to movable zeros one has to substitute $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.112) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =4 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-3\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-u u^{\prime \prime}+3\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{1}\left(u^{2} u^{\prime \prime}-u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+u u^{\prime}\right)+A_{5} u^{3} u^{\prime \prime} \\
& +A_{7} u^{2} u^{\prime}-\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}\right) u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-A_{8} u^{2}+A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{3}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +A_{10} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{11} u^{3}+A_{12} u^{4} u^{\prime}-A_{13} u^{4}-A_{14} u^{5}-A_{15} u^{6} . \tag{4.116}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_{i}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{i+p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \tag{4.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (4.116), give that the term $A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{3}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$ is dominant for all $p<0$. Then $A_{9}=0$. There are two possibilities for the leading order $p$
(a) $p=-1$. Then $A_{12} \neq 0, A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{15}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{12}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{0}^{2}=1, \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{j 1}, \tilde{r}_{j 2}\right)=(1,2), \quad j=1,2 \tag{4.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the compatibility conditions at the resonances $\tilde{r}_{j i}, i=1,2, j=1,2$, give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{10}=0, \quad A_{12}^{\prime}=2 A_{1} A_{1} 2, \quad A_{14}=-A_{1} A_{12}, \quad A_{13}=A_{12},  \tag{4.119}\\
& A_{7}=A_{1}, \quad A_{11}^{\prime}=A_{1}^{\prime \prime}-A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 2 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{1}\left(y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+y^{3} y^{\prime}\right)+A_{1}^{\prime} y^{2} y^{\prime} \\
& +\left(A_{1}^{\prime \prime}-A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}\right) y^{3}+A_{12}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right)-A_{1} A_{12} \tag{4.120}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{12}^{\prime}=2 A_{1} A_{12}$ and $A_{1}$, is an arbitrary analytic function of $z$. (4.120) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-y y^{\prime}+A_{1}^{\prime} y-\frac{A_{12}}{y}+B \tag{4.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B^{\prime}-A_{1} B=A_{12}$. (4.121) is of Painlevé type if and only if $A_{1}=0$. That is, $A_{12}=k_{1}$ and $B=k_{1} z+k_{2}$. Replacing $y$ by $\mu y, z$ by $\nu z$ such that $\mu \nu=1$ and $k_{1} \nu^{4}=1$, (4.121) becomes of the form of an equation considered by Bureau [6]. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-y y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{y}+z \tag{4.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $p=-2$. Then $A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{10}=A_{12}=A_{15}=0 . u_{0}$ is arbitrary and the resonances are $\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=(0,2)$. Then the compatibility condition at $\tilde{r}_{2}=2$ gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{7}=A_{1}^{\prime}, \quad A_{8}=0, \quad A_{11}=A_{1}^{\prime \prime}-A_{1}^{\prime} A_{1}, \quad A_{13}=0 \tag{4.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 2 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{1}\left(y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+y^{3} y^{\prime}\right)+A_{1}^{\prime} y^{2} y^{\prime} \\
& +\left(A_{1}^{\prime \prime}-A_{1}^{\prime} A_{1}\right) y^{3} \tag{4.124}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ is an arbitrary function of $z$. (4.124 has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-y y^{\prime}+A_{1}^{\prime} y+B \tag{4.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B^{\prime}+A_{1} B=0$. (4.125) is of Painlev'e type if and only if $A_{1}^{\prime}=0$. That is $A_{1}=k_{1}$ and $B=k_{2} e^{k_{1} z}$. Then applying the transformation $y=\frac{1}{u}$ gives an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{u}-\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}-k_{2} e^{k_{1} z} u^{2} \tag{4.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.126) was considered in [6].
II.a.i: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-\frac{3}{2}, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}-5 A_{3}+25 A_{4}=0 \tag{4.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at $r_{11}=1, r_{12}=3$ and $r_{22}=3$ and (4.127) give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}=-\frac{3}{4} A_{1}, \quad A_{3}=\frac{3}{2} A_{1}, \quad A_{4}=\frac{1}{4} A_{1}, \quad A_{8}=A_{5}+A_{6} \\
& \left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}-A_{7}+A_{8}\right)^{\prime}-A_{1}\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}-A_{7}+A_{8}\right)+2 A_{9}-A_{10}+A_{11}=0 \tag{4.128}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the conditions produced by the movable zeros, one should substitute $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.112) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}= & \frac{9}{2} u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{15}{4}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-\frac{3}{2}\left(u u^{\prime \prime}-3\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-\frac{3}{4} u^{\prime}+A_{1}\left(u^{2} u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{5}{4} u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} u u^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4} u\right)+A_{5} u^{3} u^{\prime \prime}-\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}\right) u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{7} u^{2} u^{\prime}-A_{8} u^{2}+A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& \left.-2 u^{3}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+A_{10} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{11} u^{3}+A_{12} u^{4} u^{\prime}-A_{13} u^{4}-A_{14} u^{5}-A_{15} u^{6} \tag{4.129}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.117) in (4.129) implies that there is only one possibility for the leading order $p=-2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{9}=A_{10}=A_{12}=A_{14}=A_{15}=0, \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=(0,1) \tag{4.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is arbitrary. The compatibility condition at $\tilde{r}_{2}=1$ together with, equations (4.128) and (4.130) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=A_{8}=A_{13}=0, \quad A_{11}=A_{7}^{\prime} \tag{4.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{3}{2} y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{4}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-\frac{3}{2}\left(y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}+y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-\frac{3}{4} y^{4} y^{\prime}+A_{7} y^{2} y^{\prime}+A_{7}^{\prime} y^{3} \tag{4.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{7}$ is an arbitrary analytic function of $z$. (4.132) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-\frac{3}{2} y y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{4} y^{3}+A_{7} y+k_{1}, \tag{4.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ is an integration constant. (4.133) possesses the Painlevé property [6] [3].
II.b.i.1: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-3, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}-2 A_{3}+4 A_{4}=0 \tag{4.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at $r_{11}=1, r_{12}=2$ and $r_{22}=2$ give

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 A_{1}+A_{2}-A_{3}+A_{4}=0, \quad A_{1}-A_{3}+2 A_{4}=0, \quad 2 A_{5}-A_{6}+A_{7}=0 \\
& A_{5}-A_{6}+A_{7}=0 \tag{4.135}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the conditions corresponding to movable zeros, one should substitute $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.111) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =5 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-4\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-3\left(u u^{\prime \prime}-2\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-2 u^{\prime}+A_{1} u^{2} u^{\prime \prime}-\left(2 A_{1}+A_{2}\right) u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +A_{3} u u^{\prime}-A_{4} u+A_{5}\left(u^{3} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+A_{6} u^{2} u^{\prime}-A_{7} u^{2}+A_{8} u^{3} u^{\prime} \\
& -A_{9} u^{3}-A_{10} u^{4}-A_{11} u^{5} . \tag{4.136}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.117) in (4.136) gives that $p=-1$ is a possible leading order with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}=0, \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=(0,2) \tag{4.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is arbitrary. The compatibility condition at $\tilde{r}_{2}=2$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) A_{8}-A_{10}-A_{8}^{\prime}=0, \quad A_{2}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)-A_{2}^{\prime}-A_{6}=0, \quad A_{11}=0 \tag{4.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.134), (4.135), (4.137) and (4.138) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=0, A_{3}=3 A_{1}, A_{4}=A_{1}, A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{7}=0, A_{10}=A_{1} A_{8}-A_{8}^{\prime}, A_{11}=0 \tag{4.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation for this case is
$y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-2 y^{3} y^{\prime}+A_{1}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{2} y^{\prime}+y^{4}\right)+A_{8} y^{\prime}+A_{0} y^{2}+\left(A_{1} A_{8}-A_{8}^{\prime}\right) y$,
where $A_{1}, A_{8}$ and $A_{9}$ are arbitrary functions of $z$. (4.140) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=-3 y y^{\prime}-y^{3}-A_{8}+B y ; \quad \text { where } B^{\prime}-A_{1} B=A_{9} \tag{4.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.141) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.b.i.2: The transformation (4.11.3) allows one to take

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=4, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{4}=0 \tag{4.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at $r_{j 1}=2$ and $r_{j 2}=2, j=1,2$ give

$$
\begin{align*}
& -A_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{A_{3}}{6}\left(6 A_{1}+4 A_{2}\right)+2 A_{5}+A_{7}=0, \quad A_{6}=0 \\
& -A_{3}^{\prime}+3 A_{7}+\left(5 A_{1}+4 A_{)} A_{3}=0, \quad A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{\prime}+\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)\left(A_{1}+2 A_{2}\right)=0,\right. \\
& \frac{1}{6} A_{3} A_{3}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{6}\left(2 A_{1}+A_{2}\right)^{\prime} A_{3}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} A_{3} A_{8}^{\prime}+A_{9}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{3} A_{3} A_{3}^{\prime}\left(A_{1}+2 A_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{A_{3}^{2}}{6}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)\left(2 A_{1}+A_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} A_{3} A_{7}\left(A_{1}+2 A_{2}\right)+A_{9}\left(A_{1}+2 A_{2}\right)=0 \\
& -\frac{A_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{6}-\frac{1}{6} A_{3}^{2} A_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{A_{3}}{6}\left(3 A_{1}^{\prime \prime}+2 A_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\frac{A_{3}^{3}}{108}\left(2 A_{1}+A_{2}\right)+A_{5}^{\prime \prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} A_{7}^{\prime \prime}-A_{8}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} A_{3} A_{9}+A_{10}+\frac{1}{12} A_{3}^{2} A_{7}-\frac{A_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{2}\left(A_{1}+2 A_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{A_{3}}{6}\left(A_{1}+2 A_{2}\right)\left(6 A_{1}^{\prime}+4 A_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\left(2 A_{5}^{\prime}+A_{7}^{\prime}-A_{8}\right)\left(A_{1}+2 A_{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.143}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros one should substitute $y=\frac{1}{u}$ to in (4.111) get equation with the same simplified equation of (4.136) with the same possible leading order $p=-1$, resonances and compatibility conditions (4.138). Equations (4.138), (4.142) and (4.143) give that

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{2}^{\prime \prime}+A_{2} A_{2}^{\prime}=0 \\
A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{2}=0, \text { if } A_{2}=0  \tag{4.144}\\
A_{1}=\frac{A_{2}^{\prime}-A_{2}^{2}}{A_{2}}, \text { if } A_{2} \neq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

The following cases can be considered
(a) $A_{1}=A_{2}=0$ : One has

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{4}=A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{7}=A_{11}=0, \quad A_{3}=k_{1}, \quad A_{8}=-\frac{1}{6} k_{1} k_{2} z+k_{3},  \tag{4.145}\\
& A_{9}=k_{2}, \quad A_{10}=\frac{1}{6} k_{1} k_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{3} y^{\prime}+k_{1} y^{2} y^{\prime}+\left(-\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6} z+k_{3}\right) y^{\prime}+k_{2} y^{2}+\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6} \tag{4.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.146) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}+k_{1} y^{2}+\left(k_{2} z+k_{4}\right) y+\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6} z+k_{3} \tag{4.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y-\frac{k_{1}}{6}$ (4.147) can be reduced to an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}+\left(\hat{k}_{1} z+\hat{k}_{2}\right) y+\hat{k}_{3} \tag{4.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
(b) $A_{2}=0, A_{1}=\frac{1}{z}$ : One has

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{3}=\frac{k_{1}}{z}, \quad A_{4}=-\frac{2}{z}, \quad A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{11}=0, \quad A_{7}=-\frac{2 k_{1}}{z^{2}}, \quad A_{9}=-\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{2 z^{3}}+\frac{k_{2}}{z}, \\
& A_{8}=\frac{k_{1}}{3 z^{3}}+\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6 z}-\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{108 z^{3}}+k_{3}, \quad A_{10}=\frac{4 k_{1}}{3 z^{4}}+\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{3 z^{2}}-\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{27 z^{4}}+\frac{k_{3}}{z} . \tag{4.149}
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{3} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{z}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{4}\right)+\frac{k_{1}}{z} y^{2} y^{\prime}-\frac{2 k_{1}}{z^{2}} y^{3}+\left(-\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{2 z^{3}}+\frac{k_{2}}{z}\right) y^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{k_{1}}{3 z^{3}}+\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6 z}-\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{108 z^{3}}+k_{3}\right) y^{\prime}+\left(\frac{4 k_{1}}{3 z^{4}}+\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6 z}-\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{27 z^{4}}+\frac{k_{3}}{z}\right) y . \tag{4.150}
\end{align*}
$$

(4.150) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}+\frac{k_{1}}{z} y^{2}+\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{6 z^{2}}-k_{2}+k_{4} z\right) y-\frac{k_{1}}{3 z^{3}}-\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6 z}+\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{108 z^{3}}-k_{3} \tag{4.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be transformed to an equation of the form (4.148) if one replaces $y$ by $y-\frac{k_{1}}{6 z}$.
(c) $A_{2}=\frac{2}{z}$ : One has

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=-\frac{3}{z}, \quad A_{3}=k_{1} z, \quad A_{4}=\frac{4}{z}, \quad A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{11}=0, \quad A_{7}=\frac{8}{3} k_{1},  \tag{4.152}\\
& A_{9}=\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{2} z+\frac{k_{2}}{z}, \quad A_{8}=-\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{144} z^{3}-\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{12} z+\frac{k_{3}}{z}, \quad A_{10}=\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{36} z^{2}+\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime} & =y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{3} y^{\prime+} \frac{1}{z}\left(-3 y y^{\prime \prime}+2\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+k_{1} z^{2} y^{2} y^{\prime}+4 y^{4}+\frac{8}{3} k_{1} z y^{3}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{2} z+\frac{k_{2}}{z}\right) y^{2}+\left(-\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{144} z^{3}-\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{12} z+\frac{k_{3}}{z}\right) y^{\prime}+\left(\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{36} z^{2}+\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{6}\right) y \tag{4.153}
\end{align*}
$$

II.b.i.3: Using the transformation (4.113) one can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-1, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}-A_{3}+A_{4}=0 \tag{4.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one applies the transformation $y=\frac{1}{u}$ to (4.11) then $u$ satisfies an equation with the same simplified equation as in (4.136) with the same possible leading order, resonances and compatibility conditions (4.138). Then the compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{11}=2$ and $r_{12}=3$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{6}=A_{7}, \quad A_{8}=A_{9}, \quad 2 A_{1}-2 A_{2}-A_{3}+4 A_{4}=0 \tag{4.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the conditions (4.138), (4.154) and (4.155), the compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{21}=2$ and $r_{22}=6$ give that $A_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, 11$. That is the canonical form of the equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{3} y^{\prime} \tag{4.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.156) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=-y y^{\prime}+y^{3}+k_{1} y \tag{4.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ is an integration constant. (4.157) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.b.ii.1: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-2, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}-3 A_{3}+9 A_{4}=0 \tag{4.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition at the resonances $r_{11}=1, \quad r_{12}=2$ and $r_{22}=2$ give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 A_{1}+A_{2}-3 A_{3}+5 A_{4}=0, \quad 2 A_{5}+A_{6}-A_{7}+A_{8}=0 \\
& 2 A_{1}+A_{2}-A_{3}+A_{4}=0, \quad 2 A_{5}+A_{6}-A_{7}+A_{8}=0 \tag{4.159}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the compatibility conditions corresponding to parametric zeros, one should substitute $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.112) to get the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =4 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-3\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-2\left(u u^{\prime \prime}-2\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-u^{\prime}+A_{1} u^{2} u^{\prime \prime}-\left(2 A_{1}+A_{2}\right) u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +A_{3} u u^{\prime}-A_{4} u+A_{5} u^{3} u^{\prime \prime}-\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}\right) u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{7} u^{2} u^{\prime}-A_{8} u^{2} \\
& +A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+A_{10} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A 11 u^{3}+A_{12} u^{4} u^{\prime}-A_{13} u^{4} \\
& -A_{14} u^{5}-A_{15} u^{6} . \tag{4.160}
\end{align*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of (4.160) gives that $p=-1$ is a possible leading order such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{9}=A_{15}=0, \quad A_{12} \neq 0  \tag{4.161}\\
A_{12}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{0}^{2}=1, \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{i 1}, \tilde{r}_{i 2}\right)=(1,2), \quad i=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at the resonances $\tilde{r}_{i 1}=1, \tilde{r}_{i 2}, i=1,2$ on using the conditions (4.158), (4.159) and (4.161) give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} A_{1}, \quad A_{3}=2 A_{1}, \quad, A_{4}=\frac{1}{2} A_{1}, \quad A_{7}=A_{8}=A_{10}=A_{13}=0,  \tag{4.162}\\
& A_{12}=k_{1}(\neq 0), \quad A_{14}=\frac{1}{2} k_{1} A_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 2 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-2 y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{4} y^{\prime}+A_{1}\left(y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 y^{3} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} y^{5}\right) \\
& +A_{11} y^{3}+k_{1} y^{\prime}+\frac{k_{1}}{2} A_{1}, \tag{4.163}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{11}$ are arbitrary functions of $z$. If $A_{1}=A_{1}^{2}$, then (4.163) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-2 y y^{\prime}-\frac{y^{3}}{2}+2 A_{1} y^{2}-\frac{k_{1}}{2 y}+B y \tag{4.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B^{\prime}-A_{1} B=A_{11}$. (4.164) possesses the Painlevé property if and only if $A_{1}=0$ [6] [3]
II.b.ii.2: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=3, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{4}=0 \tag{4.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{j 1}=2$ and $r_{j 2}=3, j=1,2$ and (4.165) give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}=A_{4}=-A_{1}, \quad A_{7}=0, \quad 2 A_{5}+A_{6}+A_{8}-A_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} A_{3} A_{1}=0, \\
& -\frac{1}{2} A_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} A_{3} A_{1}^{\prime}+2 A_{5}^{\prime}+A_{6}^{\prime}-A_{10}=0  \tag{4.166}\\
& \frac{3}{4} A_{3} A_{3}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} A_{3}^{2} A_{1}-\frac{1}{2} A_{3} A_{5}-A_{3} A_{8}+2 A_{9}+A 10
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.112) gives the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =4 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-3\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}+3 u^{\prime}+A_{1}\left(u^{2} u^{\prime \prime}-u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+u\right)+A_{3} u u^{\prime}+A_{5} u^{3} u^{\prime \prime} \\
& -\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}\right) u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-A_{8} u^{2}+A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{3}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+A_{10} u^{3} u^{\prime} \\
& -A_{11} u^{3}+A_{12} u^{4} u^{\prime}-A_{13} u^{4}-A_{14} u^{5}-A_{15} u^{6} . \tag{4.167}
\end{align*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of (4.167) gives that $p=-1$ is a possible leading order with

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{9}=A_{15}=0, \quad A_{12} \neq 0,  \tag{4.168}\\
A_{12}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{0}^{2}=1, \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{i 1}, \tilde{r}_{i 2}\right)=(1,2), \quad i=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility conditions corresponding to parametric zeros by using (4.166) and (4.168) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=k_{1}, \quad A_{10}=0, \quad A_{12}^{\prime}=2 A_{1} A_{12}, \quad A_{13}=0, \quad A_{14}=-A_{1} A_{12} \tag{4.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thre following cases have to be considered
(a) $k_{1}=0$; then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}=k_{3} z+k_{4}, \quad A_{8}=k_{3}, \quad A_{11}=\frac{k_{3}^{2}}{4} z+\frac{1}{4} k_{3} k_{4}, \quad A_{12}=k_{2}(\neq 0) \tag{4.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+3 y^{4} y^{\prime}+\left(k_{3} z+k_{4}\right) y^{3} y^{\prime}+k_{3} y^{4}+\frac{k_{3}}{4}\left(k_{3} z+k_{4}\right) y^{3}+k_{2} y^{\prime} \tag{4.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k_{3}=0$, then (4.171) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+y^{3}+\frac{k_{4}}{2} y^{2}-\frac{k_{2}}{y}+k_{5} \tag{4.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is of Painleve type [6] [3].
(b) $k_{1} \neq 0$; then one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{3}=-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}+k_{4} e^{k_{1} z}, \quad A_{8}=\frac{k_{3}}{2}+\frac{k_{1} k_{4} 2 k_{1} z}{e}  \tag{4.173}\\
& A_{11}=\frac{k_{4}}{4} e^{k_{1} z}\left(-k_{3}+K_{1} k_{4} e^{k_{1} z}\right), \quad A_{12}=k_{2} e^{2 k_{1} z}, \quad A_{14}=-k_{1} k_{2} e^{2 k_{1} z}
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime} & =2 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+3 y^{4} y^{\prime}+k_{1}\left(y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-y^{5}\right)+\left(k_{4} e^{k_{1} z}-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}\right) y^{3} y^{\prime} \\
& +\left(\frac{k_{3}}{2}+\frac{k_{1} k_{4}}{2} e^{2 k_{1} z}\right) y^{4}+\frac{k_{4}}{4} e^{k_{1} z}\left(-k_{3}+k_{1} k_{2} e^{k_{1} z}\right) y^{3}+k_{2} e^{2 k_{1} z} y^{\prime}-k_{1} k_{2} e^{2 k_{1} z} . \tag{4.174}
\end{align*}
$$

If $k_{4}=0$, then (4.174) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+y^{3}-\frac{k_{3}}{2 k_{1}} y^{2}+k_{5} e^{k_{1} z}-\frac{k_{2} e^{2 k_{1} z}}{y} \tag{4.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, within the transformation $y=e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z} v\left(\frac{2}{k_{1}} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z}\right)$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{v}=\frac{\dot{v}^{2}}{v}-\frac{1}{t} \dot{v}+v^{3}-\frac{2 k_{3}}{k_{1} t} v^{2}-\frac{k_{2}}{v}+\frac{2 k_{5}}{k_{1} t}, \tag{4.176}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t=\frac{2}{k_{1}} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z}$. (4.176) has a special form of the third Painlevé equation $\mathrm{P}_{I I I}$.
II.b.iii: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=1, \quad A_{1}=0 \tag{4.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{j 1}=1$ and $r_{j 2}=2, j=1,2$ and (4.177) give that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{3}=A_{4}=A_{7}=0, \quad 2 A_{5}+A_{6}+A_{8}=0 \tag{4.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.112) give the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =2 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}+u^{\prime}+A_{5} u^{3} u^{\prime \prime}-\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}^{\prime}\right) u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-A_{8} u^{2} \\
& +A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{3}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+A 10 u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{11} u^{3}+A_{12} u^{4} u^{\prime}-A_{13} u^{4} \\
& -A 14 u^{5}-A_{15} u^{6} \tag{4.179}
\end{align*}
$$

Painleve analysis of (4.179) gives that there are three possibilities according to the number of Painlevé branches
(a) The leading order is $p=-1$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{9}=A_{12}=A_{15}=0, \quad A_{6}=-3 A_{5}, \quad A_{5} \neq 0,  \tag{4.180}\\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{0}=-1: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{1}\right)=(1,3) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros at the resonances $\tilde{r}_{1}$ and $\tilde{r}_{2},(4.178)$ and (4.180) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{8}=A_{5}, \quad A_{10}=3 A_{5}^{\prime}, \quad A_{13}=-A_{5}^{\prime \prime}, \quad A_{11}=A_{14}=0 \tag{4.181}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}=4 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+y^{4} y^{\prime}+A_{5}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}-3\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+y^{4}\right)+3 A_{5}^{\prime} y y^{\prime}-A_{5}^{\prime \prime} y^{2} \tag{4.182}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{5}$ is an arbitrary function of $z$.
(b) The leading order is $p=-1$ with two branches

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{9}=A_{15}=0, \quad A_{12} \neq 0  \tag{4.183}\\
A_{12}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{0}^{2}=3: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{i 1}, \tilde{r}_{i 2}\right)=(2,3), \quad i=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros at the resonances $\tilde{r}_{i 1}, \tilde{r}_{i 2}, i=1,2,(4.178)$ and (4.183) give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{8}=0, \quad A_{12} A_{12}^{\prime \prime}=\left(A_{12}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \quad A_{14}=-\frac{1}{3} A_{12}^{\prime}, \quad A_{13}=-A_{10}^{\prime}+\frac{A_{12}^{\prime}}{4 A_{12}} A_{10}  \tag{4.184}\\
& A_{10}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{A_{12}}{A_{12}} A_{10}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{A_{12}}{A_{12}}\right)^{2} A_{10}=0, \quad A_{11}=-\frac{3}{4} \frac{A_{10} A_{10}^{\prime}}{A_{12}}+\frac{3}{8} \frac{A_{12}}{A_{12}} A_{10}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

One should consider the following cases:
(i) $A_{12}=k_{1}(\neq 0)$. (4.184) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{14}=0, \quad A_{13}=-K_{3}, \quad A_{10}=k_{3} z+K_{4}, \quad A_{11}=-\frac{3 k_{3}}{4 k_{1}}\left(k_{3} z+k_{4}\right) \tag{4.185}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 4 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+y^{4} y^{\prime}+\left(k_{3} z+k_{4}\right) y y^{\prime}+k_{1} y^{\prime} \\
& -\frac{3 k_{3}}{4 k_{1}}\left(k_{3} z+k_{4}\right) y^{3}-k_{3} y^{2} \tag{4.186}
\end{align*}
$$

If $k_{3}=0$, then (4.186) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-\frac{1}{2} k_{4}-\frac{k_{1}}{3 y}+k_{5} y^{2}+y^{3} . \tag{4.187}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.187) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
(ii) $A_{12}=k_{2} e^{k_{1} z} ; k_{1} k_{2} \neq 0$. (4.185) gives that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{14}=-\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{3} e^{k_{1} z}, \quad A_{11}=-\frac{3 k_{1} k_{3}}{8 k_{2}}\left(k_{3} e^{k_{1} z}+k_{4} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z}\right) \\
& A_{10}=k_{3} e^{k_{1} z}+k_{4} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z}, \quad A_{13}=-\frac{k_{1}}{4}\left(3 k_{3} e^{k}+k_{4} e^{k_{1} z}\right) \tag{4.188}
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 4 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+\left(k_{3} e^{k_{1} z}+k_{4} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z}\right) y y^{\prime}+k_{2} e^{k_{1} z} y^{\prime}-\frac{k_{1} k_{2} k_{1} z}{e} y  \tag{4.189}\\
& -\frac{3 k_{1} k_{3}}{8 k_{2}}\left(k_{3} e^{k_{1} z}+k_{4} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z}\right) y^{3}-\frac{k_{1}}{4}\left(3 k_{3} e^{k_{1} z}+k_{4} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z}\right) y^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

If $k_{3}=0$, then (4.189) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-\frac{k_{4}}{2} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{2} z}-\frac{k_{2}}{3} \frac{e^{k_{1} z}}{y}+y^{3}+k_{5} y^{2} \tag{4.190}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, under the transformation $e^{\frac{k_{1}}{4} z} v(t), \quad t=\frac{4}{k_{1}} e^{\frac{k_{1}}{4} z}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{v}=\frac{\dot{v}^{2}}{v}-\frac{\dot{v}}{t}+v^{3}-\frac{k_{3}}{3 v}+\frac{4 k_{5} v^{2}-2 k_{4}}{k_{1} t} \tag{4.191}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.191) is of the form of the third Painleve equation $P_{I I I}$.
(c) The leading order is $p=-1$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{9}=A_{15}=0, \quad A_{6}=-2 A_{5}, \quad A_{12}=-\frac{1}{2} A_{5}, \quad A_{5} \neq 0 \\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{01}=-2: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{11}, \tilde{r}_{12}\right)=(1,2)  \tag{4.192}\\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{02}=-6: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{21}, \tilde{r}_{22}\right)=(-3,2)
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros at $\tilde{r}_{11}=1, \tilde{r}_{12}=$ $2, \tilde{r}_{22}=2$ give by using (4.178) and (4.192) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{8}=0, \quad A_{10}=\frac{3}{2} A_{5}^{\prime}, \quad A_{13}=-\frac{1}{2} A_{5}^{\prime \prime}, \quad A_{14}=\frac{1}{4} A_{5} A_{5}^{\prime} \tag{4.193}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 4 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-3\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+y^{4} y^{\prime}+A_{5}\left(y y^{\prime \prime}-2 y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2} A_{5}^{\prime} y y^{\prime}+A_{11} y^{3}-\frac{10}{4} A_{5}^{2} y^{\prime} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} A_{5}^{\prime \prime} y^{2}+\frac{1}{4}-A_{5} A_{5}^{\prime} y \tag{4.194}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{5}, A_{11}$ are arbitrary functions of $z$. If $A_{11}=B B^{\prime}$, where $B^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2} A_{5}$, then (4.194) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-B^{\prime} \frac{y^{\prime}}{y}+y^{3}+B y^{2}-B^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $-y(-z)$, (4.195) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-B^{\prime} \frac{y^{\prime}}{y}+y^{3}-B y^{2}+B^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.196}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.196) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
II.c.2: The transformation (4.113) allows one to take

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=2, \quad A_{1}=0 \tag{4.197}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at $r_{j 1}=1, r_{j 2}=4, j=1,2$ by using (4.197) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=A_{3}=A_{4}=A_{7}=0, \quad A_{9}=\frac{1}{2} A_{6}^{\prime}, \quad A_{5}^{\prime \prime}+A_{10}=A_{8} \tag{4.198}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.111) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =4 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-2\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}+2 u^{\prime}+A_{5}\left(u^{3} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-A_{6} u^{2} u^{\prime}  \tag{4.199}\\
& +A_{8} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{9} u^{3}-A_{10} u^{4}-A_{11} u^{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of (4.199) gives that $p=-1$ is a possible leading order with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}=0, \quad u_{0} \text { arbitrary, } \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=(0,3) \tag{4.200}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros at $\tilde{r}_{2}=3$ by using (4.198) and (4.200) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{8}=A_{9}=A_{10}=A_{11}=0, \quad A_{6}=k_{1} \tag{4.201}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{3} y^{\prime}+k_{1} y y^{\prime} . \tag{4.202}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.202) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}+k_{2} y^{2}-\frac{k_{1}}{2} \tag{4.203}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{2}$ is an integration constant. (4.203) can be solved in terms of elliptic functions [6] [3].
II.d.2: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{3}{2}, \quad A_{1}=0 \tag{4.204}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{j 1}=1, r_{j 2}=3, j=1,2$ on using (4.204) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=A_{3}=A_{4}=0, A_{8}=A_{5}+A_{6}, A_{10}=A_{5}^{\prime}+A_{6}^{\prime}+A_{8}^{\prime}, A_{7}^{\prime}=2 A_{9}+A_{11} \tag{4.205}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.112) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =3 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}+\frac{3}{2} u^{\prime}+A_{5} u^{3} u^{\prime \prime}-\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}\right) u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +A_{7} u^{2} u^{\prime}-A_{8} u^{2}+A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{3}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+A_{10} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{11} u^{3}  \tag{4.206}\\
& +A_{12} u^{4} u^{\prime}-A_{13} u^{4}-A_{14} u^{5}-A_{15} u^{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of (4.206) implies the following possible cases:
(a) $p=-1$ is a leading order with

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{9}=A_{15}=0, \quad A_{12} \neq 0  \tag{4.207}\\
A_{12}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{0}^{2}=\frac{3}{2}: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{i 1}, \tilde{r}_{i 2}\right)=(1,3), \quad i=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros at $\tilde{r}_{i 1}=1, \tilde{r}_{i 2}=$ $2, i=1,2$ by using (4.205) and (4.207) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{8}=A_{10}=A_{11}=A_{13}=A_{14}=0, \quad A_{7}=k_{2}, \quad A_{12}=k_{1}(\neq 0) . \tag{4.208}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}=3 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+\frac{3}{2} y^{4} y^{\prime}+k_{1} y^{\prime}+k_{2} y^{2} y^{\prime} \tag{4.209}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.209) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2 y}+\frac{3}{2} y^{3}+k_{3} y^{2}-k_{2} y-\frac{k_{1}}{3 y} . \tag{4.210}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.210) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
(b) $p=-1$ is a leading order with

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{9}=0, \quad A_{6}=-\frac{1}{2} A_{5}, \quad A_{12}=\frac{3}{2} A_{5}^{2}, \quad A_{15}=-\frac{1}{2} A_{5}^{3}, \\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{01}=-1: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{11}, \tilde{r}_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{02}=1: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{21}, \tilde{r}_{22}\right)=(1,4)  \tag{4.211}\\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{03}=-3: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{31}, \tilde{r}_{32}\right)=(-3,4)
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros by using (4.205) and (4.211) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m}=0, \quad m=1,2, \ldots 15 \tag{4.212}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is the equation attains only dominant terms.
III.1.c: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{4}=2, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4}=0 . \tag{4.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{j 1}=2, r_{j 2}=3, j=1,2,3$ and (4.21.3) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m}=0, m=1,2, \ldots, 9 \tag{4.214}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.111) give the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}=4 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-2\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}+2 u-A_{10} u^{4}-A_{11} u^{5} . \tag{4.215}
\end{equation*}
$$

Painlevé analysis (4.215) implies that $p=-1$ is a possible leading order with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \text { arbitrary, }\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=(0,3) \tag{4.216}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the compatibility condition at $\tilde{r}_{1}=3$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{10}=A_{11}=0 . \tag{4.217}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{5} \tag{4.218}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.218) was considered by Martynov [12].
III.2.a: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-\frac{5}{2}, \quad A_{1}=0 \tag{4.219}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{11}=1, r_{12}=2, r_{31}=1$ give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=A_{3}=A_{4}=0, \quad 2 A_{5}+A_{6}-A_{7}+A_{8}=0 \tag{4.220}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.112) gives the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}= & \frac{9}{2} u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{15}{4}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-\frac{5}{2} u u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{23}{4}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{9}{4} u^{\prime}+\frac{1}{4}+A_{5} u^{3} u^{\prime \prime} \\
& -\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}\right) u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{7} u^{2} u^{\prime}-A_{8} u^{2}+A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)  \tag{4.221}\\
& +A_{10} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{11} u^{3}+A_{12} u^{4} u^{\prime}-A_{13} u^{4}-A_{14} u^{5}-A_{15} u^{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of (4.221) implies that $p=-2$ is the only possible leading order such that the resonances are distinct integers with

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{9}=A_{10}=A_{12}=A_{14}=A_{15}=0,  \tag{4.222}\\
u_{0} \text { arbitrary }, \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=(0,1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The compatibility condition corresponding to movable zeros at the resonance on using $\tilde{r}_{2}=1$ (4.220) and (4.222) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{7}=A_{8}, \quad A_{13}=0 \tag{4.223}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is
$y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{3}{2} y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{4}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-\frac{5}{2} y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{4} y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{9}{4} y^{4} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{4} y^{6}+A_{7}\left(y^{2} y^{\prime}+y^{4}\right)+A_{11} y^{3}$
where $A_{7}, A_{11}$ are arbitrary functions of $z$. If $A_{11}^{\prime}=A_{7}^{\prime \prime}$, then (4.224) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-\frac{3}{2} y y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{4} y^{4}+A_{7} y+B, \tag{4.225}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=A_{11}-A_{7}^{\prime}$. (4.225) is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
III.2.b.i.1: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-2, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}+2 A_{3}+4 A_{4}=0 . \tag{4.226}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of simplicity, one first finds the compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros. Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.111) gives an equation with the same simplified equation as of (4.136) with the same possible leading order $p=-1$, resonances (4.137) and compatibility conditions (4.138). Then the compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{11}=1, r_{12}=3, r_{21}=4, r_{22}=$ 6 by using (4.137), (4.138) and (4.226) give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{m}=0, \quad m=1,2 \ldots, 6, \quad A_{9}=k_{1} \text { (constant), } A_{10}=-A_{8}^{\prime},  \tag{4.227}\\
& A_{8}=A_{7}^{\prime}+k_{1}, \quad A_{7}^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{7} A_{7}^{\prime \prime}+\left(A_{7}^{\prime}-k_{1}\right)\left(A_{7}^{\prime}+2 k_{1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

One should note that the equation which $A_{7}$ satisfies, is a special form of (2.52) a member of $\mathrm{P}_{I}^{4}$. One may consider the following cases:
(a) $k_{1}=0, A_{7}=-\frac{12}{z^{2}}$. Then $A_{8}=\frac{24}{z^{3}}, A_{10}=\frac{72}{z^{4}}$. The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+y^{3} y^{\prime}+y^{5}-\frac{12}{z^{2}} y^{3}+\frac{24}{z^{3}} y^{\prime}+\frac{72}{z^{4}} y . \tag{4.228}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.228) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=-y y^{\prime}+y^{3}-\frac{12}{z^{2}} y-\frac{24}{z^{3}}, \tag{4.229}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
(b) $A_{7}^{\prime \prime}=0, A_{7}^{\prime}=k_{1}$. Then $A_{8}=2 k_{1}, A_{10}=0$. The canonical form of the equation in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+y^{3} y^{\prime}+y^{5}+\left(k_{1} z+k_{2}\right) y^{3}+2 k_{1} y^{\prime}+k_{1} y^{2} . \tag{4.230}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.230) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=-3 y y-y^{3}-\left(k_{1} z+k_{2}\right) y-2 k_{1}, \tag{4.231}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is of Painlevé type [6] [3].
(c) $A_{7}^{\prime \prime}=0, A_{7}^{\prime}=-2 k_{1}$. Then $A_{8}=-k_{1}, A_{10}=0$. The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+y^{3} y^{\prime}+y^{5}+\left(-2 k_{1} z+k_{2}\right) y^{3}-k_{1} y^{\prime}+k_{1} y^{2} . \tag{4.232}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.232) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} y^{3}+\left(-k_{1} z+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right) y+k_{1}, \tag{4.233}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved in terms of elliptic functions if $k_{1}=0$, or can be transformed to the second Painlevé equation $\mathrm{P}_{I I}$ if $k_{1} \neq 0[6]$ [3].
III.2.b.i.2: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-1, \quad 2 A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4}=0 . \tag{4.234}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of simplicity one first obtains the compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros. Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.111) gives an equation with the same simplified equation as of (4.136), the same possible leading order $p=-1$, the same resonances (4.137) and same compatibility conditions (4.138). Then the compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{11}=1, r_{12}=$ $4, r_{21}=3, r_{22}=4$ on using (4.137), (4.138) and (4.234) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{10}=A_{11}=A_{m}=0, m=1,2, \ldots, 6, A_{8}=A_{9}=k_{1}, \quad A_{7}=2 k_{1} z+k_{2}, \tag{4.225}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are constants of integration. The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{3} y^{\prime}+2 y^{5}+\left(2 k_{1} z+k_{2}\right) y^{3}+k_{1}\left(y^{\prime}+y^{2}\right) \tag{4.236}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.236) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}+\left(2 k_{1} z+k_{2}\right) y-k_{1}, \tag{4.237}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved in terms of elliptic functions if $k_{1}=0$ or it can be transformed to the second Painleve equation $\mathrm{P}_{I I}$ if $k_{1} \neq 0$.
III.2.c.1: The transformation (4.113) allows one to take

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-1, \quad A_{2}=0 \tag{4.238}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at the resonances $r_{11}=1 r_{12}=3, r_{21}=1, r_{22}=5$ give

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}=A_{3}=A_{4}=0, A_{5}-A_{6}+2 A_{7}=0, \frac{7 A_{5}}{24}+\frac{17 A_{6}}{6}-\frac{19 A_{7}}{36}=0 \\
& 103 A_{6}^{\prime}-89 A_{7}^{\prime}-103 A_{8}-55 A_{9}=0, \\
& \left(A_{8}+A_{9}-2 A_{5}^{\prime}-A_{6}^{\prime}-A_{7}^{\prime}\right)\left(-\frac{A_{5}}{36}-\frac{5 A_{6}}{36}+\frac{A_{7}}{9}\right)-\frac{A_{7}^{\prime}}{3}\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}+A_{7}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{9}\left(2 A_{9}-A_{8}\right)\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}+A_{7}\right)-\frac{A_{5}^{\prime \prime}}{3}-\frac{A_{6}^{\prime \prime}}{6}-\frac{A_{7}^{\prime \prime}}{6}+\frac{A_{9}^{\prime \prime}}{2}+\frac{A_{9}^{\prime \prime}}{2}-A_{10}^{\prime}+A_{10}=0 . \tag{4.239}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the compatibility conditions corresponding produced by the movable zeros one should substitute $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.111) to get the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 4 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-2\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-u u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 u^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}+A_{5}\left(u^{3} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +A_{6} u^{2} u^{\prime}-A_{7} u^{2}+A_{8} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{9} u^{3}-A_{10} u^{4}-A_{11} u^{5} \tag{4.240}
\end{align*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of (4.240) implies that $p=-1$ is a possible leading order with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}=0, \quad u_{0} \text { arbitrary, } \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=(0,3) \tag{4.241}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition at $\tilde{r}_{2}=3$ by using (4.239) and (4.241) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{6}=A_{7}=A_{8}=A_{9}=A_{11}=0, \quad A_{10}=k_{1} \text { (constant) } \tag{4.242}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 y^{3} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} y^{5}+k_{1} y \tag{4.24.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.243) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+\frac{1}{2} y^{3}+\frac{k_{1}}{y} \tag{4.244}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved in terms of elliptic functions [6].
III.2.c.2: The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-2, \quad A_{2}=0 \tag{4.245}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at $r_{11}=1, r_{12}=2, r_{21}=1$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=A_{3}=A_{4}=0, \quad 2 A_{5}-A_{6}+A_{7}=0 \tag{4.246}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of simplicity one can find the compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros before obtaining the compatibility condition corresponding to movable poles at $r_{22}=6$. Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.111) gives the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 4 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-2\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-u u^{\prime \prime}+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 u^{\prime}-1+A_{5}\left(u^{3} u^{\prime \prime}-u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +A_{6} u^{2} u^{\prime}-A_{7} u^{2}+A_{8} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{9} u^{3}-A_{10} u^{4}-A_{11} u^{5} . \tag{4.247}
\end{align*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of (4.247) implies that $p=-1$ is a possible leading order with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}=0, \quad u_{0} \text { arbitrary, } \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=(0,3) . \tag{4.248}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition produced by movable zeros at the resonance on using (4.246) and (4.248) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{6}=A_{7}, \quad A_{8}=A_{11}=0, \quad A_{9}=-A_{6}^{\prime}, \quad A_{10}=k_{1} \text { (constant). } \tag{4.249}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the compatibility condition at the resonance $r_{22}=6$ on using (4.249) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{6}=A_{7}=A_{9}=0 \tag{4.250}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+3 y\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 y^{3} y^{\prime}+y^{5}+k_{1} y . \tag{4.251}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.251) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}+\frac{1}{2} y^{3}+\frac{k_{1}}{2 y}, \tag{4.252}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved in terms of elliptic functions [6].
III.2.d The transformation (4.113) allows one to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-1, \quad A_{1}=0 \tag{4.253}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility conditions at $r_{11}=1, r_{12}=2, r_{21}=1, r_{22}=4$ give that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}=A_{3}=A_{4}=0, \quad 2 A_{5}+A_{6}-A_{7}+A_{8}=0 \\
& \left(4 A_{5}+3 A_{6}+A_{7}-A_{8}\right)^{\prime}-6 A_{9}-2 A_{10}=0 \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}+A_{7}+A_{8}\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(2 A_{9}+A_{10}-A_{11}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{5}{12}\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}+A_{7}+A_{8}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}+A_{7}+A_{8}\right)\left(2 A_{5}-2 A_{6}+A_{7}+4 A_{8}\right)=0 \tag{4.254}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $y=\frac{1}{u}$ in (4.112) gives the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 3 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-u u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} u^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}+A_{5} u^{3} u^{\prime \prime} \\
& -\left(2 A_{5}+A_{6}\right) u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{7} u^{2} u^{\prime}-A_{8} u^{2}+A_{9}\left(u^{4} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{3}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)  \tag{4.255}\\
& +A_{10} u^{3} u^{\prime}-A_{11} u^{3}+A_{12} u^{4} u^{\prime}-A_{13} u^{4}-A_{14} u^{5}-A_{15} u^{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

Painlevé analysis of equation (4.255) shows that $p=-1$ is a possible leading order with one of the following choices
(a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{9} A_{15}=0, \quad A_{12} \neq 0, A_{12}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{0}^{2}=\frac{3}{2}: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{i 1}, \tilde{r}_{i 2}\right)=(1,3), \quad i=1,2 \tag{4.256}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is the leading coefficient of the series (4.117). The compatibility conditions corresponding to movable zeros at the resonances $\tilde{r}_{i 1}=1, \tilde{r}_{i 2}=$ $2, i=1,2$ by using (4.254) and (4.256) give

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{7}=A_{8}=\frac{k_{1}}{3} z^{2}+k_{2} z+k_{3}, \quad A_{10}=A_{14}=0 \\
A_{11}=-\left(\frac{2 k_{1}}{3} z+k_{2}\right), \quad A_{12}=k_{1}, \quad A_{13}=\frac{k_{1}}{3} \tag{4.257}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k_{1} \neq 0, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are constant of integration. The canonical form of the equation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 3 y y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}-y^{3} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} y^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} y^{4} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} y^{6}  \tag{4.258}\\
& +\left(\frac{k_{1}}{3} z^{2}+k_{2} z+k_{3}\right)\left(y^{2} y^{\prime}+y^{4}\right)-\left(\frac{2 k_{1}}{3} z+k_{2}\right) y^{3}+k_{1} y^{\prime}+\frac{k_{1}}{3} y .
\end{align*}
$$

(4.258) has the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{y}-2 y y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} y^{3}-\left(\frac{k_{1}}{3} z^{2}+k_{2} z+k_{3}\right) y-\frac{k_{1}}{3 y}, \tag{4.259}
\end{equation*}
$$

which possesses the Painlevé property [6] [3].
(b)

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{6}=-\frac{1}{2} A_{5}, \quad A_{12}=\frac{3}{2} A_{5}^{2}, \quad A_{15}=-\frac{1}{2} A_{5}, \quad A_{5} \neq 0, \\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{01}=-1: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{11}, \tilde{r}_{12}\right)=(1,2), \\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{02}=1: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{21}, \tilde{r}_{22}\right)=(1,4),  \tag{4.260}\\
A_{5}\left(z_{0}\right) u_{03}=-3: \quad\left(\tilde{r}_{31}, \tilde{r}_{32}\right)=(-3,4),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is the leading coefficient in the series (4.117). The compatibility conditions at the resonances $\tilde{r}_{11}=1, \tilde{r}_{12}=2, \tilde{r}_{21}=1$ on using (4.254) and (4.260) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}=k_{1} \text { (constant), } A_{10}=A_{14}=0, \frac{3}{2} k_{1}^{2}-k_{1} \cdot A_{7}+A_{13}=0 \tag{4.261}
\end{equation*}
$$

But then the compatibility condition at the resonance $\tilde{r}_{22}=4$ gives $k_{1}=0$. That is the equation attains the dominant terms only.

## Chapter 5

## Conclusion

In the procedure followed to obtain higher order Painlevé-type ordinary differential equations, we have imposed the existence of at least one principal branch for the sake of applicability of the singular point analysis. However, the compatibility conditions at the positive resonances of the second branches are identically satisfied in all cases. Besides, following this procedure one can also obtain equations with negative resonances only like Chazy equation (3.23), which has three negative distinct integer resonances.

Since the simplified versions of $\mathrm{P}_{I}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{I I}$ are constant coefficient polynomial-type equations, starting from these two equations higher-order polynomial-type simplified equations with constant coefficients were considered. However, non polynomial-type simplified equations with constant coefficient were obtained starting from the constant coefficient non polynomial-type simplified equation of $\mathrm{P}_{I I I}$. One can also obtain non polynomial-type higher order equations having the Painleve property if one follows the procedure starting from $\mathrm{P}_{V}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{V I}$.
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