

Comment

Comment on ‘Nonlocal statistical field theory of dipolar particles in electrolyte solutions’

Sahin Buyukdagli¹, T Ala-Nissila^{2,3} and Ralf Blossey⁴¹ Department of Physics, Bilkent University, Ankara 06800, Turkey² Department of Applied Physics and QTF Center of Excellence, Aalto University School of Science, PO Box 11000, FI-00076 Aalto, Espoo, Finland³ Interdisciplinary Centre for Mathematical Modelling and Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE11 3TU, United Kingdom⁴ University of Lille, Unité de Glycobiologie Structurale et Fonctionnelle, CNRS UMR8576, 59000 Lille, FranceE-mail: Buyukdagli@fen.bilkent.edu.tr, Tapio.Ala-Nissila@aalto.fi and ralf.blossey@univ-lille1.fr

Received 11 September 2018

Accepted for publication 14 December 2018

Published 4 January 2019



The article [1] by Budkov introduces a nonlocal field-theoretic model of solvent-explicit electrostatics. Despite giving a detailed introduction to the early literature on the topic, the article misses out on a series of articles that we published several years ago. Consequently, [1] essentially rederives without mention several results that were derived by us for the first time in [2–5]. The work by Budkov also considers variations of our model that are based on a different Yukawa-like solvent structure factor, which enables the exact evaluation of the average electrostatic potential.

In [2–5], we developed the first field-theoretic model of nonlocal electrostatics embodying explicitly the extended charge structure of multipolar solvent molecules and ions with intrinsic polarizability. These articles showed that the consideration of the extended solvent charge structure allows to reproduce the non-local dielectric permittivity fluctuations observed in molecular dynamics simulations and solves the problem of the UV-divergence of the free energy without the introduction of an arbitrary cutoff.

In section 2.1 of [1], the author first derives the nonlocal field theoretic partition function from a formally more general expression by introducing a general solvent structure factor $g(r - r')$. Then, this generalization is dropped to switch to the dipolar case. The resulting field theoretic Hamiltonian equation (18) becomes exactly identical to the Hamiltonian functionals of our articles [4] (equation (4)) and [5] (equation (1)), and the dipolar limit of the more general Hamiltonian of [2]

(equation (9)). The author does not cite our previous works in any of these derivations.

The strong overlaps and similarities of [1] with our published work extend beyond section 2.1. In section 2.2, the dipole limit of the non-local Poisson–Boltzmann (NLPB) equation (22) corresponds to the MF-level NLPB equation of our article [4] (equation (5)) and a restricted case of the more general NLPB equation in [2] (equation (12)). Moreover, in section 2.3, the linearization of the NLPB equation and its solution in Fourier space (equations (24)–(30)) bears strong similarities to our articles. Most importantly, the dipolar dielectric function in equation (31) that follows from this solution is exactly identical to the dielectric permittivity function of [4] (equation (10)). The latter also corresponds to the restricted case of the more general dielectric function previously derived in [2] (equation (21)). Again, no credit is given by the author to our earlier articles.

The overlaps of [1] with our early works go beyond the MF treatment of the model. In section 2.5, the inverse Green’s function in equation (56) is similar to equation (17) of our article [5]. More precisely, the dipolar limit of equation (56) corresponds to the one-loop limit of the (variational) inverse kernel equation (17) of [5]. Finally, in the conclusion part of [1], the author expresses his intention to generalize the theory by considering an ‘arbitrary electric structure’, via the introduction of ‘a probability distribution function of distance for each pair of oppositely charged groups’, without any citation

to our non-local field theoretic models of [2, 3] where the ionic polarizability was taken into account within a Drude oscillator model.

In summary, we find it disconcerting that the most pertinent references to [1] were not properly acknowledged. This is a severe shortcoming since the author of [1] knows about our work; he has already referred to our articles [2, 5] in his publications [6, 7] on point-like dipoles.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by the Academy of Finland QTF Centre of Excellence program (project 312298).

ORCID iDs

Sahin Buyukdagli  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2133-470X>
T Ala-Nissila  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3210-3181>
Ralf Blossey  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4823-7037>

References

- [1] Budkov Y A 2018 Nonlocal statistical field theory of dipolar particles in electrolyte solutions *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **30** 344001
- [2] Buyukdagli S and Ala-Nissila T 2013 Microscopic formulation of nonlocal electrostatics in polar liquids embedding polarizable ions *Phys. Rev. E* **87** 063201
- [3] Buyukdagli S and Ala-Nissila T 2013 Alteration of gas phase ion polarizabilities upon hydration in high dielectric liquids *J. Chem. Phys.* **139** 044907
- [4] Buyukdagli S and Blossey R 2014 Nonlocal and nonlinear electrostatics of a dipolar Coulomb fluid *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **26** 285101
- [5] Buyukdagli S and Blossey R 2014 Dipolar correlations in structured solvents under nanoconfinement *J. Chem. Phys.* **140** 234903
- [6] Budkov Y A, Kiselev M G and Kolesnikov A L 2015 A modified Poisson–Boltzmann theory: effects of co-solvent polarizability *Europhys. Lett.* **111** 28002
- [7] Budkov Y A, Kolesnikov A L and Kiselev M G 2016 On the theory of electric double layer with explicit account of a polarizable co-solvent *J. Chem. Phys.* **144** 184703