



# Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal

ISSN: 0278-1077 (Print) 1563-5066 (Online) Journal homepage: <https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcov19>

## Parametric representation of a class of multiply positive sequences

I.V. Ostrovskii & N.A. Zheltukhina

To cite this article: I.V. Ostrovskii & N.A. Zheltukhina (1998) Parametric representation of a class of multiply positive sequences, *Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal*, 37:1-4, 457-469, DOI: [10.1080/17476939808815144](https://doi.org/10.1080/17476939808815144)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476939808815144>



Published online: 29 May 2007.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 43



Citing articles: 2 View citing articles [↗](#)

# Parametric Representation of a Class of Multiply Positive Sequences

I. V. OSTROVSKII<sup>a,b,\*</sup> and N. A. ZHELTUKHINA<sup>b,†</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University, 06533 Bilkent, Ankara, Turkey;* <sup>b</sup>*B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering, 310164 Kharkov, Ukraine*

Communicated by A. Eremenko

Dedicated to Professor A. A. Gol'dberg

(Received )

*Keywords:* Generating function; sequences; sequences of matrices

*Classification Categories:* 30D99

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Multiply positive sequences were introduced by Fekete [1] in 1912 for study of zeros of real polynomials and entire functions. Since then these sequences have been studied by several mathematicians and have found several applications in Analysis (see, e.g. [2,3]).

Recall that the sequence

$$\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \quad a_0 > 0, \quad a_n \geq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (1.1)$$

---

\* E-mails: iossif@fen.bilkent.edu.tr, ostrovskii@ilt.kharkov.ua

† E-mail: zheltukhina@ilt.kharkov.ua

is said to be  $m$ -times positive for  $m \in N \cup \{\infty\}$ , if all minors of orders  $< m + 1$  of the infinite matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_0 & \cdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

are non-negative. The class of all  $m$ -times positive sequences is denoted by  $PF_m$ .

Evidently, the class  $PF_1$  consists of all sequences (1.1). The class  $PF_2$  consists of all sequences of the form

$$a_n = \exp\{-\psi(n)\}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\psi : N \cup \{0\} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ ,  $\psi(0) < \infty$ , is a convex function. In 1953, in joint works by Aissen, Edrei, Schoenberg, Whitney (see [2], p. 412) the complete solution of the problem of description of the class  $PF_\infty$  was found:

**THEOREM AESW.** *The function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is a generating function of a sequence of  $PF_\infty$  if and only if*

$$f(z) = a_0 \exp\{\gamma z\} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p_i z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q_i z)}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$a_0 > 0, \quad \gamma \geq 0, \quad p_i \geq 0, \quad q_i \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (p_i + q_i) < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that (1.3) gives the description of  $PF_\infty$  in terms of *independent* parameters  $a_0, \gamma, p_i, q_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). This means: (i) arbitrary values of the parameters can be chosen under the only conditions (1.4); (ii) there is a one-to-one correspondance between collections of these parameters and sequences of  $PF_\infty$ .

To the best of our knowledges, the problem of the description of the classes  $PF_m$ ,  $3 \leq m < \infty$ , in terms of independent parameters has not been solved until now. It is not clear even which kind of parameters could play the corresponding role (similar to that of  $a_0, p_i, q_i$  and  $\gamma$  in Theorem AESW).

This paper is devoted to description of a subclass  $Q_3 \subset PF_3$  in terms of independent parameters. The subclass was for the first time considered by the authors [5] in connection with a generalization of Pólya's theorem on sections of power series.

**DEFINITION** We say that a sequence (1.1) of  $PF_3$  belongs to  $Q_3$  if all truncated sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^k = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, 0, 0, \dots\}$ ,  $k \in N$ , belong to  $PF_3$ . (Note that in [5] we denoted by  $Q_3$  the class of all corresponding generating functions.)

It turns out that the role of independent parameters describing  $Q_3$  play points of the set

$$(0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{U}, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the set of all sequences  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=2}^\infty$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq 1$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , such that if  $\alpha_j = 0$  for some  $j$ , then  $\alpha_k = 0$  for any  $k \geq j$ . To give a precise statement of our result, we define the numbers

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_2] &= 1 + \alpha_2, & [\alpha_2\alpha_3] &= 1 + \alpha_3\sqrt{[\alpha_2]}, \\ [\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4] &= 1 + \alpha_4\sqrt{[\alpha_2\alpha_3]}, & \dots \\ [\alpha_2\alpha_3 \dots \alpha_n] &= 1 + \alpha_n\sqrt{[\alpha_2\alpha_3 \dots \alpha_{n-1}]}, & \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *A sequence (1.1) belongs to  $Q_3$  if and only if*

$$a_1 = a_0\alpha, \quad a_n = \frac{a_0\alpha^n\alpha_2^{n-1}\alpha_3^{n-2}\dots\alpha_{n-1}^2\alpha_n}{[\alpha_2]^{n/2}[\alpha_2\alpha_3]^{(n-1)/2}\dots[\alpha_2\alpha_3 \dots \alpha_{n-1}]^{3/2}[\alpha_2\alpha_3 \dots \alpha_n]}, \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$a_0 > 0, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \{\alpha_n\}_{n=2}^\infty \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{1.8}$$

Thus, the independent parameters are  $a_0, \alpha, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots$ . The only restrictions on them are (1.8) i.e. belonging of the point  $(a_0, \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots)$  to the set (1.5).

Since  $Q_3$  is a subclass of  $PF_2$ , any sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=2}^\infty$  of  $Q_3$  admits the representation (1.2). The question arises what additional conditions on the convex function  $\psi$  imply that  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  belongs to  $Q_3$ .

Denote

$$N = \min\{n : \psi(n) = +\infty\} \quad (N = +\infty \text{ if } \psi(n) < +\infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.9)$$

Set

$$\Delta_2 \psi(n) := \begin{cases} \psi(n) - 2\psi(n-1) + \psi(n-2), & 2 \leq n < N, \\ +\infty, & n \geq N \text{ (if } N < +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Define a sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n=2}^\infty$ , putting

$$w_2 = 1, w_n = [\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_{n-1}]_{\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \cdots = \alpha_{n-1} = 1}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Evidently, the sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n=2}^\infty$  is increasing and, for any  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=2}^\infty \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have

$$1 \leq [\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_{n-1}] \leq w_n < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = 1 + c, \quad (1.10)$$

$$c := \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = 1.613 \dots$$

**THEOREM 2.** *For a sequence (1.2) to belong to  $Q_3$  it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\Delta_2 \psi(n) \geq \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_n}} \right), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (1.11)$$

*The equality holds in (1.11) for every  $n \geq 2$  for the sequence (1.2) given by (1.7) with  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \cdots = 1$ .*

Evidently, the bound in the right hand side of (1.11) has the following properties: (i) it is contained in the half-closed interval  $(\log c, \log 2]$ , (ii) it is equal to  $\log 2$  for  $n = 2$ , (iii) it tends to  $\log c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, using the second assertion of Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.** *For a sequence (1.2) to belong to  $Q_3$  it is necessary to have*

$$\Delta_2 \psi(n) > \log c, \quad n \geq 2,$$

and it is sufficient to have

$$\Delta_2\psi(n) \geq \log 2, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{1.12}$$

Both above conditions are unimprovable in the following sense: the first one ceases to be necessary if one replaces  $\log c$  by a larger constant; the second one ceases to be sufficient if one replaces  $\log 2$  by a smaller constant.

Note the following immediate corollary of Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  be a convex function of  $C^2[0, \infty)$ .

- (i) If for all sufficiently large  $x$  we have  $\psi''(x) < \log c$ , then the sequence (1.2) does not belong to  $Q_3$ .
- (ii) If for all  $x \geq 0$  we have  $\psi''(x) \geq \log 2$ , then the sequence (1.2) belongs to  $Q_3$ .

Theorem 2 and Corollaries 1, 2 can be used to determine whether a given sequence belongs to  $Q_3$ .

*Example* Consider the sequence

$$A(\beta, d) := \{\exp(-dn^\beta)\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \beta > 0, \quad d > 0.$$

If  $\beta \geq 2$ , then the function  $\psi(x) := dx^\beta$  satisfies  $\Delta_2\psi(n) \geq \Delta_2\psi(2) = d(2^\beta - 2)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . By Corollary 1, (1.12), we have  $A(\beta, d) \in Q_3$  for  $d \geq (\log 2)/(2^\beta - 2)$ . By Theorem 2, (1.11) with  $n = 2$ , we have  $A(\beta, d) \notin Q_3$  for  $d < (\log 2)/(2^\beta - 2)$ . If  $\beta < 2$ , then  $\psi''(x) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . By Corollary 2 we have  $A(\beta, d) \notin Q_3$ .

Theorem 2 allows us to describe the possible character of the growth of  $\psi$  for sequences (1.2) belonging to  $Q_3$ .

Define the lower order  $\lambda[\psi]$  and the order  $\rho[\psi]$  of  $\psi$  as

$$\lambda[\psi] = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi(n)}{\log n}, \quad \rho[\psi] = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi(n)}{\log n}.$$

Evidently,  $\lambda[\psi] \leq \rho[\psi]$ . Since, by (1.12),

$$\psi(n) \geq \frac{n^2}{2} \log c + O(n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have  $\lambda[\psi] \geq 2$ . The question arises about description of the pairs  $(\lambda[\psi]; \rho[\psi])$ , for which the sequence (1.2) belongs to  $Q_3$ . Using Theorem 2, we prove that  $2 \leq \lambda[\psi] \leq \rho[\psi] \leq \infty$  is the only restriction.

**THEOREM 3.** *For any pair of numbers  $(a, b)$ ,  $2 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ , there is a sequence (1.2) of  $Q_3$  such that for the corresponding function  $\psi$  we have  $\lambda[\psi] = a$ ,  $\rho[\psi] = b$ .*

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Recall two lemmas and some notation from [5].

**LEMMA 1.** [5] *Let (1.1) be a sequence of  $PF_2$ . If  $N < \infty$ , where  $N$  is defined by (1.9), then  $a_n = 0$  for any  $n \geq N$ .*

For sequences (1.1) belonging to  $PF_2$ , Lemma 1 allows us to introduce the numbers

$$\rho_n = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}, \quad 1 \leq n < N; \quad \delta_n = \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_{n-1}}, \quad 1 \leq n < N.$$

Evidently,

$$a_n = \frac{a_0}{\prod_{j=1}^n \rho_j},$$

and

$$a_n = \frac{a_0 \alpha^n}{\delta_2^{n-1} \delta_3^{n-2} \dots \delta_n}, \quad 1 \leq n < N, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\alpha = (1/\rho_1) = (a_1/a_0)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** [5] *Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^n = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, \dots\}$ ,  $a_0 > 0$ ,  $a_n > 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$  be a sequence of  $PF_3$ . Then*

- 1) for  $n = 2$ , we have  $\delta_2 \geq 2$ ,
- 2) for  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $\delta_n > 1$  and

$$(\delta_n - 1)^2 \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\delta_{n-1}}.$$

We start the proof of Theorem 1.

(i) Let (1.1) be a sequence of  $Q_3$ . Let us show that the representation (1.7) is valid. By Lemma 2 the numbers

$$y_n := \frac{1}{\delta_n - 1}, \quad 2 \leq n < N, \tag{2.2}$$

are well-defined and the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{cases} y_n^2 \leq y_{n-1} + 1, & 3 \leq n < N; \\ y_2 \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Define the parameters

$$\alpha := \frac{a_1}{a_0}, \quad \alpha_n := \begin{cases} y_2, & n = 2; \\ \frac{y_n}{\sqrt{1 + y_{n-1}}}, & 3 \leq n < N; \\ 0, & n \geq N, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

which will take part in (1.7). From (2.3), (2.4) it follows  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=2}^\infty \in \mathcal{U}$ . From (2.4) we obtain

$$y_n = \alpha_n \sqrt{1 + \alpha_{n-1} \sqrt{1 + \dots + \alpha_3 \sqrt{1 + \alpha_2}}}, \quad 2 \leq n < N.$$

Hence, by (2.2),

$$\delta_n = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_n \sqrt{1 + \alpha_{n-1} \sqrt{1 + \dots + \alpha_3 \sqrt{1 + \alpha_2}}}}, \quad 2 \leq n < N.$$

Using (2.1), we have for  $2 \leq n < N$

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{a_0 \alpha^n}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_3 \sqrt{1 + \alpha_2}}\right)^{n-2} \dots} \\ &\quad \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_n \sqrt{1 + \alpha_{n-1} \sqrt{1 + \dots + \alpha_3 \sqrt{1 + \alpha_2}}}}\right) \\ &= \frac{a_0 \alpha^n \alpha_2^{n-1} \alpha_3^{n-2} \dots \alpha_n}{(1 + \alpha_2)^{n/2} (1 + \alpha_3 \sqrt{1 + \alpha_2})^{(n-1)/2} \dots} \\ &\quad (1 + \alpha_n \sqrt{1 + \dots + \alpha_3 \sqrt{1 + \alpha_2}}) \end{aligned}$$

and  $a_n = 0$  for  $n \geq N$ . Taking into account notation (1.6), we obtain (1.7).



(ii) Take any sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=2}^\infty \in \mathcal{U}$  and any numbers  $a_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , and form the sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  according to (1.7). Let us prove that  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in Q_3$ .

Let  $i := \min\{n : \alpha_n = 0\}$  ( $i := \infty$  if  $\alpha_n \neq 0$ ,  $\forall n \geq 2$ ). Then we have  $a_n > 0$  for  $0 \leq n < i$ , and  $a_n = 0$  for  $n \geq i$ . Form the sequence

$$y_n := \begin{cases} \alpha_n \sqrt{1 + y_{n-1}}, & 3 \leq n < i, \\ \alpha_2, & n = 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{cases} y_n \leq \sqrt{1 + y_{n-1}}, & 3 \leq n < i, \\ y_2 \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Denote

$$\begin{cases} \delta_n = 1 + 1/y_n, & 2 \leq n < i, \\ \delta_n = \infty, & n \geq i. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Then we have

$$a_n = \frac{a_0 \alpha^n}{\delta_2^{n-1} \delta_3^{n-2} \dots \delta_n}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

The proof of  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in Q_3$  is very close to that of Lemma 5 of [5]. Nevertheless, we will present the proof for the reader's convenience.

As in [5], we use the following theorem of Schoenberg.

**THEOREM ([4])** Let  $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^k$  be a finite sequence of numbers. Consider  $m$  matrices

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_k & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_{k-1} & b_k & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_0 & \dots & b_{k-2} & b_{k-1} & b_k & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where  $B_n$  consists of  $n$  rows and  $k + n$  columns. Assume the following condition is satisfied for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$ : all  $n \times n$ -minors of  $B_n$ , consisting of consecutive columns are strictly positive. Then the sequence  $\{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k, 0, 0, \dots\}$  is  $m$ -times positive.

Fix any  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k < i$ , and consider the sequence

$$\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k - \varepsilon, 0, 0, \dots\}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  will be chosen sufficiently small later. Form three matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 &= (a_0 \ a_1 \ \cdots \ a_{k-1} \ a_k - \varepsilon), \\
 A_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \\
 A_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & \cdots & a_{k-3} & a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

All minors of  $A_1$  are evidently positive for  $0 < \varepsilon < a_k$ . Since

$$\frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = \alpha \delta_2 \delta_3 \cdots \delta_{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq n < i - 1, \quad \delta_n > 1, \quad (2.9)$$

we have

$$\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} < \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2; \quad \frac{a_{k-2}}{a_{k-1}} < \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k - \varepsilon}. \quad (2.10)$$

for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore all minors of  $A_2$  are positive for such  $\varepsilon$ .

Further, consider the determinants

$$N_n = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & 0 \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_{n+1} \\ a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & a_n \end{vmatrix}, \quad 2 \leq n < i.$$

In virtue (2.9), (2.7) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_n &\Rightarrow a_n^3 + a_{n+1}^2 a_{n-2} - 2a_{n+1} a_n a_{n-1} \\
 &= a_{n+1}^2 a_{n-2} (\delta_{n+1}^2 \delta_n + 1 - 2\delta_{n+1} \delta_n) \\
 &= a_{n+1}^2 a_{n-2} \delta_n \left( (\delta_{n+1} - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_n} - 1 \right) \\
 &= a_{n+1}^2 a_{n-2} \delta_n \left( \frac{1}{y_{n+1}^2} - \frac{1}{y_n + 1} \right) \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $2 \leq n < i - 1$ . Moreover, (2.7) and (2.6) yield non-negativity of the minor

$$N_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 0 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 \end{vmatrix} = a_0 a_1 a_2 \delta_2 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\delta_2} \right) = a_0 a_1 a_2 \delta_2 (1 - y_2).$$

Now, consider  $3 \times 3$ -minors of  $A_3$  consisting of consecutive columns:

$$M_0 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad M_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$M_n = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_{n+1} \\ a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & a_n \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, k-3;$$

$$M_{k-2}(\varepsilon) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon \\ a_{k-3} & a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} \\ a_{k-4} & a_{k-3} & a_{k-2} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$M_{k-1}(\varepsilon) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon & 0 \\ a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon \\ a_{k-3} & a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$M_k(\varepsilon) = \begin{vmatrix} a_k - \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon & 0 \\ a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} & a_k - \varepsilon \end{vmatrix}.$$

Positivity of  $M_0$  and  $M_k(\varepsilon)$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < a_k$  is evident. Since

$$M_1 = N_1 + a_3 \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_0 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$M_n = N_n + a_{n+2} \begin{vmatrix} a_{n-1} & a_n \\ a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, k-3;$$

$$M_{k-2}(\varepsilon) = N_{k-2} + (a_k - \varepsilon) \begin{vmatrix} a_{k-3} & a_{k-2} \\ a_{k-4} & a_{k-3} \end{vmatrix},$$

we have  $M_n > 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k-3$ , and  $M_{k-2}(\varepsilon) > 0$ . Further, since

$$M_{k-1}(0) = N_{k-1} \geq 0,$$

$$M'_{k-1}(0) = 2a_{k-1}a_{k-2} - 2a_k a_{k-3} = 2a_k a_{k-2} \left( \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k} - \frac{a_{k-3}}{a_{k-2}} \right) > 0,$$

we have  $M_{k-1} > 0$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ .

Applying Schoenberg's theorem, we conclude that the sequence (2.8) belongs to  $PF_3$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Taking a limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain that the truncated sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^k = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, 0, \dots\}$  is 3-times positive and hence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in Q_3$ .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Assume  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in Q_3$ . Then the representation (1.7) of Theorem 1 yields the following expression of the function  $\psi$  connected with  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  by (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(n) &= -\log \frac{a_0 \alpha^n \alpha_2^{n-1} \alpha_3^{n-2} \dots \alpha_n}{[\alpha_2]^{n/2} [\alpha_2 \alpha_3]^{(n-1)/2} \dots [\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_{n-1}]^{3/2} [\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_n]} \\ &= -\log \frac{a_0 \alpha^n}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_3 \sqrt{[\alpha_2]}}\right)^{n-2} \dots} \\ &\quad \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_n \sqrt{[\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_{n-1}]}}\right) \\ &= -\log a_0 - n \log \alpha + (n-1) \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\right) \\ &\quad + (n-2) \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_3 \sqrt{[\alpha_2]}}\right) + \dots \\ &\quad + \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_n \sqrt{[\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_{n-1}]}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 \psi(2) &= \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\right), \\ \Delta_2 \psi(n) &= \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_n \sqrt{[\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_{n-1}]}}\right), \quad n \geq 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Using the definition of the numbers  $w_n$  and (1.10), we conclude that

$$\Delta_2 \psi(n) \geq \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_n \sqrt{w_n}}\right) \geq \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_n}}\right),$$

i.e. (1.11) is true.

Now, assume that (1.11) holds. Set

$$a_0 = \exp\{-\psi(0)\}, \quad a_1 = \exp\{-\psi(1)\}, \quad \alpha = \exp\{\psi(1) - \psi(0)\}$$

and show that the numbers  $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots \in [0, 1]$  can be chosen such that the representation (1.7) is true.

Since by (1.11) we have  $\Delta_2\psi(2) \geq \log 2$ , the number  $\alpha_2$  defined by the first equality (3.2) belongs to  $[0, 1]$ . Further, we will define the numbers  $\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \dots$  inductively by means of the second equality (3.2). Since, for fixed  $\alpha_2, \alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), the function  $\log(1 + (1/\alpha_n \sqrt{[\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_{n-1}]}))$  as a function of  $\alpha_n \in [0, 1]$  is decreasing and its range covers the closed half-ray  $[\log 2, \infty]$ , the number  $\alpha_n$  is defined by (3.2) uniquely if  $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  have been defined. Having defined  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=2}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have (3.2) and (3.1) for all  $n \geq 2$ . Inverting the calculations in (3.1), we see that the representation (1.7) holds. By Theorem 1, we conclude that  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{Q}_3$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

For  $a = b = \infty$ , it suffices to consider any sequence (1.8) with  $\alpha_n = 0$ ,  $n \geq N$ , where  $N$  is any integer  $\geq 1$ . For such a sequence, we have  $\psi(n) = +\infty$  for  $n \geq N$ .

Now, let us assume  $2 \leq a \leq b < \infty$ . Consider an auxiliary function

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_0(x) &= \exp\{\vartheta(x)\}, \\ \vartheta(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\{a + b + (b - a) \sin(\log \log \log x)\} \log x,\end{aligned}$$

well-defined for  $x > x_0 = e^e$ . Evidently,  $\vartheta(x) \geq a \log x \geq 2 \log x$  for  $x > x_0$ , therefore  $\psi_0(x) \geq x^2$ ,  $x > x_0$ . Moreover,

$$\lambda[\psi_0] = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\vartheta(x)}{\log x} = a, \quad \rho[\psi_0] = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\vartheta(x)}{\log x} = b.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta'(x) &= \frac{a + b + (b - a) \sin(\log \log \log x)}{2x} + o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \vartheta''(x) &= -\frac{a + b + (b - a) \sin(\log \log \log x)}{2x^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_0'(x) &= \vartheta'(x)\psi_0(x) \geq \left(\frac{a}{x} + o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right) \psi_0(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \psi_0''(x) &= \{\vartheta''(x) + \vartheta'^2(x)\} \psi_0(x)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{x^2} \left\{ \frac{a+b+(b-a)\sin(\log \log \log x)}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left( \frac{a+b+(b-a)\sin(\log \log \log x)}{2} - 1 \right) + o(1) \right\} \psi_0(x) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{x^2} \{a(a-1) + o(1)\} \psi_0(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate  $\psi_0 \geq x^2$ ,  $x > x_0$ , we obtain

$$\psi_0''(x) \geq a(a-1) + o(1) \geq 2 + o(1) \geq 1$$

for sufficiently large  $x$ .

Choose a constant  $A$  so large that the function  $\psi(x) := \psi_0(x + A)$  satisfies the condition  $\psi''(x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Hence,

$$\Delta_2 \psi(n) = \int_{n-1}^n dx \int_{x-1}^x \psi''(u) du \geq 1 > \log 2.$$

Corollary 1 shows that the sequence  $a_k = \exp\{-\psi(k)\}$  belongs to  $Q_3$ . Evidently,

$$\lambda[\psi] = \lambda[\psi_0] = a, \quad \rho[\psi] = \rho[\psi_0] = b.$$

The case  $2 \leq a < b = +\infty$  can be considered analogously by choosing

$$\psi_0(x) = \exp\{\vartheta(x)\},$$

$$\vartheta(x) = \{a + (\log \log \log x) \sin^2(\log \log \log x)\} \log x.$$

**References**

[1] Fekete, M. and Pólya, G. (1912). Über ein Problem von Laguerre. *Rendiconti Circ. Math. Palermo.*, **34**, 89-120.  
 [2] Karlin, S. (1968). *Total Positivity*, Stanford University Press, Stanford.  
 [3] Baker, G. A. and Graves-Morris, P. (1981). *Padé Approximants*, Addison-Wesley, London.  
 [4] Schoenberg, I. J. (1955). On the zeros of generating functions of multiply positive sequences and functions. *Ann. Math.*, **62**, 447-471.  
 [5] Ostrovskii, I. V. and Zheltukhina, N. A. On power series having sections with multiply positive coefficients and a theorem of Pólya. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, (to appear).