



PERGAMON

Nonlinear Analysis 45 (2001) 651–659

**Nonlinear
Analysis**

www.elsevier.nl/locate/na

Relaxation of multidimensional variational problems with constraints of general form

Farhad Hüsseinov*

Department of Economics, Bilkent University, 06533 Bilkent, Ankara, Turkey

Received 1 October 1996; accepted 15 July 1999

Keywords: Multidimensional variational problem; Relaxation of variational problem; Convex hull; Young–Fenchel conjugate; Piece-wise affine function; Weak convergence

This paper is devoted to further development of an idea of a well-known theorem of Bogolubov [2]. Here we construct a relaxation of multidimensional variational problems with constraints of rather general form on gradients of admissible functions; it is assumed that the gradient of an admissible function belongs to an arbitrary bounded set. This relaxation involves as a class of admissible functions the closure of the class of admissible functions of the original problem in the topology of uniform convergence, and uses a theorem characterizing this closure, which is proved in [15]. The case when the gradient of an admissible function is constrained within a bounded closed convex body is studied in the works [13,15,19].

The study of multidimensional variational problems was started in 1970s by Ekeland and Temam [13]. The existing literature on relaxation of variational problems, including two monographs by Buttazzo [3] and Dacorogna [9], and the review paper by Marcellini [18] containing a considerable list of references, is quite rich. However, the author failed to find a setting similar to that of the paper. For the most recent results on relaxation and related topics see [1,4–8,11,14].

This paper deals with the case where an integrand depends on a scalar function of several variables. At the end of the paper we will make a conjecture on generalization of the main relaxation result of the paper to the case of an integrand depending on a vector function of several variables. We also make a conjecture on generalization of

* Tel.: +90-04-312-266-4040; fax: +90-04-312-266-4960.

E-mail address: farhad@bilkent.edu.tr (F. Hüsseinov).

the above-mentioned theorem on characterization of the closure, which is an important tool in the proof of the main result, for the vectorial case.

R^n will stand for n -dimensional Euclidean space of points $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Let Ω be an arbitrary bounded open set in R^n . Denote by $C(\bar{\Omega})$ the space of all real continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$ with the norm

$$\|x(\cdot)\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} = \max_{t \in \bar{\Omega}} |x(t)|.$$

Denote by $W_\infty^1(\Omega)$ the Sobolev space of all essentially bounded measurable functions on Ω , with essentially bounded first generalized partial derivatives. It is well known that a function $x(\cdot)$ from $W_\infty^1(\Omega)$ is continuous on Ω and possesses the ordinary first derivatives $\partial x / \partial t_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) almost everywhere (a.e.) on Ω (see [13,20]). If domain Ω satisfies additional conditions (e.g., if Ω is Lipschitzian), then $W_\infty^1(\Omega) \subset C(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $\bar{W}_\infty^1(\Omega) = W_\infty^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. So, if Ω is sufficiently regular, then $\bar{W}_\infty^1(\Omega) = W_\infty^1(\Omega)$. Denote by $B_r(0)$ a ball in R^n with the center at the origin and radius r . Given a set $V \subset R^n$ and a positive number r let $V_r = \{v \in V : \text{dist}(v, \partial V) \geq r\}$, where ∂V is the boundary of V .

Recall that function $x(\cdot) : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow R$ is said to be piece-wise affine, if it is continuous and there exists a partition of $\bar{\Omega}$ into a subset of measure zero and a finite number of open sets, on which $x(\cdot)$ is affine. A continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}$ is said to be almost piece-wise affine, if its restriction to an arbitrary strict interior subdomain of Ω is piece-wise affine.

Let X, Y be topological spaces, and I, J be functionals defined on X and Y , respectively. The variational problem $\inf\{J(y) : y \in Y\}$ is said to be a relaxation of the problem $\inf\{I(x) : x \in X\}$, if there exists a continuous mapping $i : X \rightarrow Y$, such that: (i) $i(X)$ is dense in Y , (ii) $J(i(x)) \leq I(x)$ for each $x \in X$, and (iii) for an arbitrary $y \in Y$ there exists a sequence $x_k \in X$ ($k \in N$) such that $i(x_k) \rightarrow y$ and $J(y) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(x_k)$. Moreover, if functional J is lower semicontinuous, then a relaxation is called a lower semicontinuous relaxation (see [16]).

Let $f : \bar{\Omega} \times R \times R^n \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function, U be an arbitrary bounded set in R^n with an affine hull R^n , $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ and $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow R$ be some fixed function. Consider the following problem of multidimensional variational calculus, which we will refer to as problem (P):

$$J(x(\cdot)) = \int_{\Omega} f(t, x(t), \text{grad } x(t)) dt \rightarrow \inf, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{grad } x(t) \in U \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad (2)$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \Gamma, \quad (3)$$

where $x(\cdot) \in \bar{W}_\infty^1(\Omega)$. The case when $\Gamma = \emptyset$, i.e., when the boundary condition (3) is absent, will be referred to as problem (P_0) .

A function $x(\cdot) \in \bar{W}_\infty^1(\Omega)$ is called admissible in problem (P)((P_0)), if it satisfies conditions (2), (3) ((2)). The set of all admissible functions in problem (P)((P_0)) will

be denoted by $E(U, \phi)(E(U))$. Thus

$$E(U) = \{x(\cdot) \in \overline{W}_\infty^1(\Omega) : \text{grad} x(t) \in U \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\},$$

$$E(U, \phi) = \{x(\cdot) \in E(U) : x(\cdot)|_\Gamma = \phi\}.$$

The space $\overline{W}_\infty^1(\Omega)$ and its subsets $E(U)$, $E(U, \phi)$ will be considered with the metric of uniform convergence.

Along with problem (P) we consider the following problem (problem (PR)):

$$J_R(x(\cdot)) = \int_\Omega f_U^{**}(t, x(t), \text{grad} x(t)) d(t) \rightarrow \inf, \tag{1'}$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \Gamma, \tag{3'}$$

where $\overline{\text{co}} U$ is the closed convex hull of U and $f_U^{**}(t, x, \cdot) = (f(t, x, \cdot) + \delta(\cdot|U))^{**}$. Here

$$\delta(u|U) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } u \in U, \\ +\infty & \text{for } u \in R^n \setminus U \end{cases}$$

is the indicator function of U , and $**$ designates the operation of taking second Young–Fenchel conjugate (see [17, p. 183]). In case of $\Gamma = \emptyset$ problem (PR) will be denoted as (P_0R) .

The above-mentioned assertion on closure consists of the following:

$$\overline{E(U)} = E(\overline{\text{co}} U),$$

i.e. the closure in the uniform metric of a class of functions continuous on Ω with gradients from the bounded set U coincides with the class of functions continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and with gradients from the closed convex hull of U . Moreover, if condition (4) of Theorem 1 below is satisfied, then Theorem 1' from Hüseseinov [15] implies the following coincidence

$$\overline{E(U, \phi)} = E(\overline{\text{co}} U, \phi).$$

Theorem 1. *Let $U \subset R^n$ be an arbitrary bounded set in R^n with the affine hull R^n . Suppose that there exists an admissible function $y_0(\cdot) \in E(\overline{\text{co}} U, \phi)$ in problem (PR) such that*

$$\text{grad } y_0(t) \in U_0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \tag{4}$$

where U_0 is a closed set contained in the interior of $\overline{\text{co}} U$. Then, for an arbitrary function $x(\cdot) \in E(\overline{\text{co}} U, \phi)$ admissible in problem (PR), there exists a sequence of functions $x_k(\cdot)$ ($k \in N$), admissible in problem (P), uniformly converging to $x(\cdot)$, and such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(x_k(\cdot)) = J_R(x(\cdot)).$$

In particular, when the boundary condition (3) is absent, i.e. for problem (P_0) , condition (4) in Theorem 1 is satisfied automatically.

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma. *Let T be a topological space, U be an arbitrary bounded set in R^n , $U_0 \subset U$ be a compact set contained in the interior of $\overline{c\overline{o}U}$ or a segment, and $f : T \times R^n \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function. Then a restriction of function $f_U^{**}(\tau, u)$ to $T \times U_0$ is continuous.*

Proof. Since $f_U^{**} = f_{\overline{U}}^{**}$, we suppose, without loss of generality, that U is closed. Fix a point $(\tau_0, u_0) \in T \times U_0$ and a positive number ε . It is easily seen that, there exists a neighborhood $S(\tau_0)$ of point τ_0 such that

$$|f(\tau, u) - f(\tau_0, u)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \tau \in S(\tau_0), \quad u \in \overline{c\overline{o}U}. \tag{5}$$

It is well known that

$$f_U^{**} = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i f(\tau, u_i) : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i u_i = u, \quad u_i \in U, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i = 1, \quad \lambda_i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

From this and (5) we obtain that

$$f_U^{**}(\tau, u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \bar{\lambda}_i f(\tau, \bar{u}_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \bar{\lambda}_i f(\tau_0, \bar{u}_i) - \varepsilon \geq f_U^{**}(\tau_0, u) - \varepsilon.$$

Symmetrically,

$$f_U^{**}(\tau_0, u) \geq f_U^{**}(\tau, u) - \varepsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$|f_U^{**}(\tau_0, u) - f_U^{**}(\tau, u)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \tau \in S(\tau_0) \quad u \in \overline{c\overline{o}U}.$$

Since $f_U^{**}(\tau_0, \cdot)$ is a convex and lower semicontinuous it is continuous on U (in both the cases stipulated in the lemma). Therefore, there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f_U^{**}(\tau, u) - f_U^{**}(\tau_0, u_0)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } u \in U_0, \quad \|u - u_0\| < \delta.$$

The last two inequalities imply that

$$|f_U^{**}(\tau, u) - f_U^{**}(\tau_0, u_0)| < 2\varepsilon$$

for $\tau \in S(\tau_0)$, $\|u - u_0\| < \delta$. Therefore, function $f_U^{**}|_{T \times U_0}$ is continuous at the point (τ_0, u_0) .

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $x(\cdot) \in E(\overline{c\overline{o}U}, \phi)$ be an admissible function in problem (PR) and $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the sequence of functions $x_k(t) = ((k - 1)/k)x(t) + (1/k)y_0(t)$ ($k \in N$). Clearly, $x_k(\cdot) \in E(\overline{c\overline{o}U}, \phi)$ and

$$x_k(\cdot) \rightarrow_k x(\cdot) \quad \text{uniformly on } \Omega, \tag{6}$$

$$\text{grad } x_k(t) \rightarrow_k \text{grad } x(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in \Omega, \tag{7}$$

$$\text{grad } x_k(t) + B_{r_k}(0) \subset U \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in \Omega, \tag{8}$$

where r_k , ($k \in N$) are positive numbers.

It follows from relations (6), (8) and the lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k(\cdot) - x(\cdot)\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} &< \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \\ |J_R(x_k(\cdot)) - J_R(x(\cdot))| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for sufficiently large indices k .

Let k_0 be such that (9) holds for k_0 . Let $\bar{x}(\cdot) = x_{k_0}(\cdot)$, $r = r_{k_0}/2$. By Theorem 1' from Hüseseinov [15], there exists a sequence of almost piece-wise affine functions $y_k(\cdot) \in E(\bar{c}\bar{o}U, \phi)$ uniformly converging to $x(\cdot)$. Then the sequence of vector functions $\text{grad } y_k(\cdot)$ ($k \in N$) weakly converges to vector function $\text{grad } \bar{x}(\cdot)$ in Banach space $L_1^n(\Omega)$ of summable n -vector functions on domain Ω . By Mazur's Theorem (Corollary 3.14 from Dunford and Schwartz [12, p. 457]) it follows that there exist convex combinations $z_m(\cdot) = \sum_{k=N_{m+1}}^{N_{m+1}} \alpha_k^m y_k(\cdot)$ ($m \in N$) of functions $y_k(\cdot)$ ($k \in N$), where $\alpha_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=N_{m+1}}^{N_{m+1}} \alpha_k^m = 1$ and N_m ($m \in N$) is a strictly increasing sequence of integers such that

$$\text{grad } z_m(t) \rightarrow \text{grad } \bar{x}(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in \Omega. \tag{10}$$

Thus, the functions $z_m(\cdot)$ are almost piece-wise affine, $z_m(\cdot) \in E((\bar{c}\bar{o}U)_r, \phi)$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$), the sequence $z_m(\cdot)$ ($m \in N$) uniformly converges to $\bar{x}(\cdot)$, and condition (10) is satisfied. From that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_m(\cdot) - \bar{x}(\cdot)\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} &< \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \\ |J_R(z_m(\cdot)) - J_R(\bar{x}(\cdot))| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for sufficiently large m . Fix one of such indices m_0 and denote $\bar{z}(\cdot) = z_{m_0}(\cdot)$. We obtain from relations (9) with $k = k_0$ and (11) with $m = m_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{z}(\cdot) - x(\cdot)\|_{C(\Omega)} &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \\ |J_R(\bar{z}(\cdot)) - J_R(x(\cdot))| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

So, function $\bar{z}(\cdot)$ is almost piece-wise affine, $\bar{z}(\cdot) \in E((\bar{c}\bar{o}U)_r, \phi)$ and satisfies relations (12).

Denote $M = 1 + \max |x(t)|$. Since integrand f is continuous on compact $K = \bar{\Omega} \times [-M, M] \times \bar{U}$, there exists a positive number $\delta'_0 < \varepsilon/2$ such that

$$|f(t_1, x_1, u_1) - f(t_2, x_2, u_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{13}$$

for $(t_1, x_1, u_1), (t_2, x_2, u_2) \in K$, $\|t_1 - t_2\| < \delta'_0$, $\|u_1 - u_2\| < \delta'_0$.

In sequel, we shall omit the index U in notation f_U^{**} . By the lemma function f^{**} is continuous on compact $K_r = \bar{\Omega} \times [-M, M] \times (\bar{c}\bar{o}U)_r$. Hence, there exists $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta'_0)$ such that

$$|f^{**}(t_1, x_1, u_1) - f^{**}(t_1, x_1, u_1)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{14}$$

for $(t_1, x_1, u_1), (t_2, x_2, u_2) \in K_r, \|t_1 - t_2\| < \delta_0, \|u_1 - u_2\| < \delta_0$. Since the functions $x(\cdot)$ and $\bar{z}(\cdot)$ are continuous on Ω , there exists $\delta \in (0, \delta_0/2)$ such that

$$|x(t_1) - x(t_2)| < \delta_0, \quad |\bar{z}(t_1) - \bar{z}(t_2)| < \frac{\delta_0}{2} \quad \text{for } \|t_1 - t_2\| < \delta. \tag{15}$$

Denote by $\Delta_j (j \in N)$ the simplices of affineness of function $\bar{z}(\cdot)$, $a_j = \text{grad } z(t)$ for $t \in \text{int } \Delta_j (j \in N)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\text{diam } \Delta_j < \delta (j \in N)$. Fix $t_j \in \Delta_j (j \in N)$. It is well known that

$$f^{**}(t_j, \bar{z}(t_j), a_j) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j f(t_j, \bar{z}(t_j), v_i^j) : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j v_i^j = a_j, v_i^j \in U, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j = 1, \alpha_i^j \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Then for some numbers $\alpha_i^j > 0 (i=1, 2, \dots, n+1)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j = 1$ and affinely independent vectors $v_i^j (i=1, 2, \dots, n+1)$ from U

$$\left| f^{**}(t_j, \bar{z}(t_j), a_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j f(t_j, \bar{z}(t_j), v_i^j) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j v_i^j = a_j. \tag{16}$$

Put $u_i^j = v_i^j - a_j (i=1, 2, \dots, n+1)$ and denote $\sum_j = \text{co}\{u_1^j, \dots, u_{n+1}^j\}$. Since, vectors $u_i^j (i=1, 2, \dots, n+1)$ are affinely independent and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j v_i^j = 0$, where $\alpha_i^j > 0 (i=1, 2, \dots, n+1)$ then \sum_j is an n -dimensional simplex with the interior containing zero. Denote $D_j = \sum_j^0$ polar of the simplex \sum_j , $s_j(\cdot)$ – support function of set $\{u_1^j, \dots, u_{n+1}^j\}$.

Partition simplex Δ_j into at most countably many simplices $\Delta_k^j, \Delta_2^j, \dots$, homothetic to D_j and such that $\text{diam } \Delta_k^j < \delta \text{diam } D_j$. Denote by d_k^j the similarity coefficients of simplices Δ_k^j and D_j and put

$$s_k^j(t) = \begin{cases} s(t - t_k^j) - d_k^j & \text{for } t \in \Delta_k^j, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Delta_k^j \end{cases}$$

and $\sigma_i(\Delta_k^j) = \{t \in \Delta_k^j : s_k^j(t) = \langle t - t_k^j, u_i^j \rangle - d_k^j\} (i=1, 2, \dots, n+1)$, for arbitrary indices j, k , where $t_k^j \in \Delta_k^j$ is the image of the origin under the homothety $D_j \rightarrow \Delta_k^j$. Obviously, function $s_k^j(\cdot)$ is piece-wise affine and

$$-\delta \leq s_k^j(t) \leq 0. \tag{17}$$

Put

$$s(t) = \sum_{j,k} s_k^j(t) \quad \text{and} \quad z(t) = \bar{z}(t) + s(t).$$

Since

$$\text{grad } z(t) = \text{grad } \bar{z}(t) + u_i^j = a_j + u_i^j = v_i^j \in U \quad \text{for } t \in \sigma_i(\Delta_k^j)$$

and simplices $\sigma_i(\Delta_k^j)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1; j, k \in N$) cover domain Ω , then function $z(\cdot)$ is admissible in problem (P), i.e. $z(\cdot) \in E(U, \phi)$.

Utilizing inequalities (15)–(17) and Proposition 2 from Hüseinov [15] we estimate the difference

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Delta_k^j} f^{**}(t, \bar{z}(t), \text{grad } \bar{z}(t)) \, d(t) - \int_{\Delta_k^j} f(t, z(t), \text{grad } z(t)) \, dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Delta_k^j} f^{**}(t, \bar{z}(t), \text{grad } \bar{z}(t)) \, d(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \int_{\sigma_i(\Delta_k^j)} f(t, \bar{z}(t) + s_k^j(t), v_i^j) \, d(t) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{mes}(\Delta_k^j) f^{**}(t_j, \bar{z}(t_j), a_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j \text{mes}(\Delta_k^j) f(t_j, \bar{z}(t_j), v_i^j) \right| + \varepsilon \text{mes}(\Delta_k^j) \\ &= \text{mes}(\Delta_k^j) \left[\left| f^{**}(t_j, \bar{z}(t_j), a_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^j f(t_j, \bar{z}(t_j), v_i^j) \right| \right] \leq 2\varepsilon \text{mes}(\Delta_k^j). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Summing up inequalities (18) by j, k we obtain

$$|J_{f^{**}}(\bar{z}(\cdot)) - J(\bar{z}(\cdot))| < 2\varepsilon \text{mes}(\Omega). \tag{19}$$

It is clear from (17) that

$$\|\bar{z}(\cdot) - z(\cdot)\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

From this and from the first of inequalities (12) it follows that

$$\|z(\cdot) - x(\cdot)\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} < \varepsilon,$$

and from (19) and from the second of inequalities (12) that

$$|J_R(x(\cdot)) - J(z(\cdot))| < \varepsilon[1 + 2 \text{mes}(\Omega)].$$

The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 1 and Lemma 4 from Hüseinov [15] imply the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let U be a bounded set in R^n with an affine hull R^n , and assumption (4) of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then problem (PR) is a lower semicontinuous relaxation of problem (P).*

For $U \subset R^{m \times n}$ the closure of the quasiconvex hull is defined as (see [10, Definition 2.2]):

$$\overline{Qco} U = \{ \xi \in R^{m \times n}: f(\xi) \leq 0, \forall f : R^{m \times n} \rightarrow R, \text{ quasiconvex and } f|_U = 0 \}.$$

We denote for $U \subset R^{m \times n}$

$$E(U) = \{ x(\cdot) \in W_\infty^1(\Omega; R^m): Dx(t) \in U \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \},$$

where $Dx(t)$ denotes the Jacobi matrix of $x(\cdot)$ at t . We conjecture the following coincidence: $\overline{E(U)} = E(\overline{Qco} U)$, where $\overline{E(U)}$ denotes the closure of $E(U)$ in uniform metric of $\overline{W}_\infty^1(\Omega; R^m)$.

Consider the following two variational problems. The first is the problem (\mathcal{P}) obtained from (P) by treating f as a function $R^{m \times n} \rightarrow R$, $\text{grad} x(t)$ replaced by $Dx(t)$ the Jacobi matrix of $x(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow R^m$ at t , and $\phi(\cdot) : \Gamma \rightarrow R^m$. The second problem is

$$J_R(x(\cdot)) = \int_{\Omega} Qf_U(t, x(t), Dx(t)) dt \rightarrow \inf,$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \Gamma,$$

where $Qf_U(t, x, \cdot)$ is the quasiconvex envelope (i.e. the maximal quasiconvex function not exceeding f) of the function $f(t, x, \cdot) + \delta(\cdot|U)$, $\delta(\cdot|U)$ being the indicator function of U .

Conjecture. Let $U \subset R^{m \times n}$ be an arbitrary bounded set with $\overline{Qco} U$ having an interior point. Suppose that there exists an admissible function $y_0(\cdot) \in E(\overline{Qco} U, \varphi)$ in problem $(\mathcal{P}R)$ such that $Dy_0(t) \in U_0$ a.e. in Ω , where U_0 is a closed set contained in the interior of $\overline{Qco} U$, then for an arbitrary vector function $x(\cdot) \in E(\overline{Qco} U, \varphi)$ admissible in problem $(\mathcal{P}R)$, there exists a sequence of vector-functions $x_k(\cdot)$ ($k \in N$) admissible in problem (\mathcal{P}) , uniformly converging to $x(\cdot)$ and such that

$$\lim J(x_k(\cdot)) = J_R(x(\cdot)).$$

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to an anonymous referee for helpful comments.

References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, G. Dal Maso, On the relaxation in $BV(\Omega; R^m)$ of quasi-convex integrals, *J. Funct. Anal.* 109 (1992) 1.
- [2] N.N. Bogolubov, Sur quelques methods dans la calculus des variations, *Amer. Math. Pure. Ser.* 4 (1930) 249–271.
- [3] G. Buttazzo, *Semicontinuity, Relaxation, and Integral Representations in the Calculus of Variations*, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1989.
- [4] G. Bouchitte, G. Buttazzo, Relaxation for a class of nonconvex functionals defined on measures, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* 10 (1993) 345–361.
- [5] G. Buttazzo, G. Dal Maso, An existence result for a class of shape optimization problems, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 122 (1993) 183–195.
- [6] G. Buttazzo, G. Dal Maso, A. Garonni, A. Malusa, On relaxed formulation of shape optimization problems, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 7 (1997) 1–24.
- [7] L. Carbone, R. De Arcangelis, On the relaxation of some classes of unbounded integral functionals, *Matematiche (Catania)* 51 (1996) 221–252.
- [8] E.A. Corbo, R. De Arcangelis, Comparison results for some types of relaxation of variational integral functionals, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 164 (1993) 155–193.
- [9] B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer, New York, 1989.

- [10] B. Dacorogna, P. Marcellini, Cauchy–Dirichlet problem for first order nonlinear systems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 152 (1998) 404–446.
- [11] R. De Arcangelis, L. Carbone, On integral representation, relaxation and homogenization for unbounded functionals, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Mat. Appl.* 8 (1997) 129–135.
- [12] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Vol. 1, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [13] I. Ekeland, R. Temam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [14] I. Fonseca, P. Marcellini, Relaxation of multiple integrals in subcritical Sobolev Spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.* 7 (1997) 57–81.
- [15] F.V. Hüseinov, On the question of extension of multidimensional variational problems, *Izv. Acad. Sci. USSR, Ser. Math.* 50 (1986) 3–21; English transl., *Math. USSR Izv.* 28 (1987) 1–19.
- [16] A.D. Ioffe, V.M. Tikhomirov, Relaxation of Variational Problems, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* 18 (1968) 187–246.
- [17] A.D. Ioffe, V.M. Tikhomirov, *Theory of Extremal Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1974.
- [18] P. Marcellini, Non convex integrals of the calculus of variations, in: A. Cellina (Ed.), *Methods of Nonconvex Analysis (Varenna 1989)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1446, Springer, Berlin, 1990 16–57.
- [19] V.I. Matov, Study of one problem of multidimensional variational problem, *Viestnik MGU, Ser. Mat. Mech.* 1 (1978) 61–69.
- [20] S.L. Sobolev, *Some Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical Physics*, LGU, Leningrad, 1959.