Growth of Ge nanoparticles on SiO₂/Si interfaces during annealing of plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposited thin films
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Abstract

Multilayer germanosilicate (Ge:SiO₂) films have been grown by plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition. Each Ge:SiO₂ layer is separated by a pure SiO₂ layer. The samples were heat treated at 900 °C for 15 and 45 min. Transmission electron microscopy investigations show precipitation of particles in the layers of highest Ge concentration. Furthermore there is evidence of diffusion between the layers. This paper focuses mainly on observed growth of Ge particles close to the interface, caused by Ge diffusion from the Ge:SiO₂ layer closest to the interface through a pure SiO₂ layer and to the interface. The particles grow as spheres in a direction away from the interface. Particles observed after 15 min anneal time are 4 nm in size and are amorphous, while after 45 min anneal time they are 7 nm in size and have a crystalline diamond type Ge structure.
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1. Introduction

The underlying motivation of this work is control of nanometer sized Ge-particle growth. A desired structure is an insulator in which nanometer sized Ge particles are embedded. Embedded semiconductor nanoparticles can find applications in optoelectronic devices [1–3] or charge storage devices [4–8]. The physical properties and ultimately the usefulness of the nanoparticles depend on the particle size and the particles’ location with respect to other parts (interfaces, luminescent atoms, other nanoparticles etc) of a device. Ge has a relatively large excitonic Bohr radius of about 25 nm (compared to ~ 5 nm for Si). Thus quantum confinement effects can theoretically be observed over a relatively large and easy controllable range of nanocrystal sizes. The quantum confinement effects of most current interest are the size dependent energy levels and radiative transition probabilities. The energy levels of Ge nanocrystals have recently been measured and modeled [9]. For memory applications of Ge nanocrystals the energy levels play an important role in the charging and discharging of nanocrystals and the control of the size and location of nanocrystals is a key element.

The formation of Ge nanocrystals in SiO₂ has been reported by many authors using several different methods for the preparation of the nanocrystals such as ion implantation into SiO₂ [3,10], co-sputtering of Ge and SiO₂ [7,8], electron beam evaporation of Ge sandwiched between SiO₂ [6], oxidation of Si–Ge layers [11] or plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) [12]. This paper presents a study of multilayer germanosilicate (Ge:SiO₂) films made by plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition. Each Ge:SiO₂ layer, with different Ge concentrations, is separated by a pure SiO₂ layer. Such multilayers are used in order to test Ge-particle growth in several layers at a time after annealing. This provides an efficient and time saving approach to obtain data on nanoparticle growth. The present work focuses mainly on a phenomenon in which Ge atoms diffuse towards the interface between the film and the Si substrate and precipitation of Ge particles close to this interface. The diffusion in the films and
the nanocrystal formation with respect to the interfaces could be different than those observed by other preparation methods for the films.

2. Experimental

The samples were prepared as six layers of germanosilicate (here noted as Ge:SiO$_2$), sandwiched between silicon oxide (SiO$_2$) layers, and grown onto a polished single crystal (100) Si wafer. The oxide films were deposited by a PECVD technique using a model PlasmaLab 8510C reactor. The PECVD process was carried out with the sample at 350 °C and process pressure of 1000 mTorr under an applied RF power of 12 W. The process uses He and N$_2$ as carrier gases and precursor gases of SiH$_4$, NO$_2$ and GeH$_4$. The flow rates were 200 sccm of SiH$_4$ (2% in N$_2$), 180 sccm of NO$_2$ for the SiO$_2$ layers and various flow rates of GeH$_4$ (2% in He). The as deposited structure of the sample is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The first layer grown on the Si wafer, layer 0, was a pure SiO$_2$ layer followed by the Ge:SiO$_2$ layer of highest Ge concentration, layer 1. Then follows pairs of layers of pure SiO$_2$ and Ge:SiO$_2$ with decreasing Ge concentration, where only the Ge containing layers are numbered. As indicated in Fig. 1 the GeH$_4$ flow rates were 120, 110, 100, 90, 80 and 70 for the six layers of Ge:SiO$_2$. The nominal concentration of these layers was determined from the calibration samples measured by photoelectron spectroscopy. The nominal Ge atomic concentrations of the layers are thus 0.132, 0.122, 0.115, 0.109, 0.092 and 0.08 as indicated in Fig. 1.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) specimens were made by gluing two substrates together with the film side facing each other. These samples were then cut and mechanically polished down to less than 50 μm in thickness. The TEM was a JEOL 2010F operated at an acceleration voltage of 200 keV.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2 shows cross-section TEM overview images of the multilayered samples where sample A is annealed at 700 °C for 15 min. Sample B is annealed at 900 °C for 15 min and sample C is annealed at 900 °C for 45 min. The layer numbering is also indicated in the figure. The contrast is due to increased absorption and scattering properties of heavier elements. The dark grey areas thus correspond to areas with high Ge content. From Fig. 2 an interesting evolution of the contrast between the layers can be noted. All the Ge:SiO$_2$ layers are clearly distinguished for sample A annealed at 700 °C for 15 min. In the micrograph of sample B, which is annealed at 900 °C for 15 min, there is no contrast between layers 2 and 3 and also clearly much less contrast between layers 1 and 2 than there is in sample A. In the micrograph of sample C, there is no contrast between any layers. The changes in the contrast between the different layers are attributed to Ge diffusion within the SiO$_2$ film even if the concentration profiles of Ge cannot be measured directly from the darkness of the micrographs. From the contrast one can see that a thin layer of low Ge content exists between layers 1 and 2 in sample B. There is no such layer visible between layers 3 and 4 in the same sample B. Even without considering the difference in overall Ge concentration in layers 1 to 2 versus layers 3 to 4, we can deduce that the Ge diffusion proceeds differently between these layer pairs. This difference in diffusion is further emphasized by considering the difference in overall Ge concentrations. The difference in diffusion behavior is attributed to the nucleation of Ge precipitates in layers 1 and 2, thus depleting the local SiO$_2$ solution of Ge.

Ge particles form in layers 1–2 of sample B and C, as shown in Fig. 2, and selected area diffraction (SAD) reveals the presence of a crystalline Ge diamond type structure in these layers. This is as anticipated and the multilayer structures have been used for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer#</th>
<th>Flow-rate (sccm)</th>
<th>Ge at %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ge:SiO$_2$</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ge:SiO$_2$</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ge:SiO$_2$</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ge:SiO$_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ge:SiO$_2$</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ge:SiO$_2$</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(100) Si substrate

Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the layered structure of the PECVD deposited films. The flow rates for GeH$_4$ are given in sccm as well as the nominal atomic percentage in the layers.
studying the size distribution in the layers as a function of a wider annealing parameter variation than presently reported.

Nanoparticles have also been observed to grow in the vicinity of the Si/SiO₂ interface in samples B and C (annealed at 900 °C) as seen in Fig. 3. The nanocrystals formed in layer 1 are large with some facets, while the particles formed near the interface appears more spherical. The latter particles have an average diameter of 4 nm after 15 min annealing time at 900 °C and are found to have an amorphous structure. Interface particles grown during 45 min annealing time at 900 °C have an average diameter of 7 nm and have a crystalline structure as can be seen from the lattice fringes in Fig. 4. The nanocrystals have a random orientation with respect to the Si substrate. Their crystal structure has been identified as that of diamond crystal structure from SAD patterns as seen in Fig. 5 and the high resolution electron microscopy images of Fig. 4.

There seems to be a very little contact between the Si substrate and the Ge particles. It is however difficult to determine with precision whether these particles are in contact with the interface, since there would be a question whether the particles and the substrate are separated by ~1 nm of SiO₂ or if there is a very small contact area. Contrast imaging is not a reliable tool in this case, because the contrast between the particles and the SiO₂ is diffused near the particle edge. We have analyzed the high resolution electron microscopy (HREM) pattern of sample C and examined whether the HREM pattern of the Ge crystals extends onto the HREM pattern of the Si substrate and this analysis shows that 25% of the particles (8 out of 32) have contact with the interface, and 75% (24 out of 32) do not. This analysis is not possible for sample B, in which the particles are amorphous. An explanation as to why some particles are not observed to have direct contact with the interface is that the cross-section analyzed in the micrographs are thin slices of the samples and the specimen surface can cut off the nanocrystals at various arbitrary planes, thus the actual percentage of nanocrystals that are not in contact with the silicon substrate may be smaller than 75%.

Diffusion of Ge from layer 1 to the interface may be the source of the precipitated Ge close to the interface, referred to as the interface particles. A driving force for nucleation is an excess of Ge in the SiO₂ matrix. The excess Ge concentration will not be the largest at the interface by a normal diffusion process and the particles’ proximity to the interface indicates that the particles nucleate close to the surface because the interface (or something else in the vicinity of the interface) decreases the surface energy of the Ge nuclei. It may very well be that the particles nucleate onto the Si substrate itself, since
the grain boundary energy would be less between Si and Ge than between SiO\textsubscript{2} and Ge. The accumulation of Ge at the Si/SiO\textsubscript{2} interface has been reported before [10]. Avella et al. [11] and Choi et al. [7] have reported nucleation of Ge nanocrystals close to a substrate surface, from a SiO\textsubscript{2} film with uniform Ge concentration. Choi et al. [7] have argued that the formation of interface Ge particles could be influenced by Si diffusion through the SiO\textsubscript{2} film [13] and the Si substrate being the source of the Si. Ge may then form by a reduction mechanism [14].

For nucleation onto the Si substrate, the expected shape of the particles is that of half-spheres rather than round particles. The half-sphere shape would be favored for the reduction of the grain boundary energy. What follows describes a possible explanation for the observed round shape in terms of the diffusion and precipitation process. Referring to Fig. 3, the particles seem to grow in a direction away from the interface. If extrapolating the data in Fig. 3, the particles may start at a point at the interface or close to it, and then grow as spheres (see Fig. 6), keeping the initial contact between the particles and the interface at a near constant level. The growth rate in the direction towards the interface would be negligible. The growth rate in the direction away from the interface is highest and twice the rate of the growth in the direction parallel to the interface. The reason for this may be that during growth or nucleation of Ge particles, there would be a depletion of the local Ge concentration in the region surrounding the particles. Between two Ge particles in close proximity, there would be an overlap of the depletion zones. Consequently, the Ge concentration should be lower in the region between the particles. Since the Ge growth and the precipitation rate depend on the Ge concentration in SiO\textsubscript{2}, the fastest growth direction is away from the interface. Between the particles the growth rate should be small, and even less closer to the substrate interface. It is also speculated whether a low mobility of Ge in a layer between the particles and the interface, for example a thin thermal SiO\textsubscript{2} formed in the reactor during ramping up to the growth temperature for the deposition; a thermal oxide may be more dense than the deposited oxide and could limit Ge diffusion towards the interface and also the growth of the Ge particles into the substrate.

4. Conclusion

A multilayer GeSiO\textsubscript{2} has been studied with a TEM. Precipitation of Ge nanocrystals has been observed in the layers of highest Ge. Evidence based on high contrast TEM images suggests a substantial degree of Ge diffusion in the sample. Precipitation of Ge particles close to an interface has been observed. The presence of Ge close to the interface is due to diffusion across a 40 nm layer. The nucleation process is unknown. The particles grow away from the interface as spheres. After 15 min annealing at 900 °C the particles are 4 nm amorphous particles. After 45 min 900 °C annealing, the particles are 7 nm crystalline particles.
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