Temperature dependent flow softening of titanium alloy Ti6Al4V: An investigation using finite element simulation of machining
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ABSTRACT

Titanium alloy Ti6Al4V is the most commonly used titanium alloy in the aerospace and medical device industries due to its superior properties. There has been a considerable amount of research to better understand the serrated chip formation mechanism of titanium alloy Ti6Al4V by using finite element simulation of machining. An accurate representation of the behavior of the material is important in order to obtain reliable results from the finite element simulation. Flow softening behavior has been integrated into the material constitutive models to simulate adiabatic shear bands and serrated chips. Flow softening is usually related to the dynamic recrystallization phenomenon which initiates after a critical temperature. The aim of this study is to investigate the influence of various flow softening conditions on the finite element simulation outputs for machining titanium alloy Ti6Al4V. For this purpose, a new flow softening expression, which allows defining temperature-dependent flow softening behavior, is proposed and integrated into the material constitutive model. The influence of flow softening below the critical temperature, as adopted in recent studies, is also investigated. Various temperature-dependent flow softening scenarios are tested using finite element simulations, and the results are compared with experimental data from the literature. The results showed that the flow softening initiating around 350–500 °C combined with appropriate softening parameters yields simulation outputs that agree well with the experimental measurements.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

An important goal in machining research is to eliminate expensive and time-consuming experimental modeling approaches in favor of physics-based machining models that are capable of producing realistic results at practical cutting conditions. One approach towards this goal is to develop finite element based machining models that rely on material constitutive models. Recently, Childs (2009) has shown that finite element models are capable of producing realistic results if the material behavior at high strains and strain rates is defined properly. It is not easy to replicate the deformation conditions typical in machining by using material testing procedures such as split bar Hopkinson tests. The behavior of the materials at high strains and strain rates is usually extrapolated based on these measurements, which may not yield reliable results when employed in finite element simulations of machining. Titanium alloy, which exhibits a different behavior at high strains and strain rates, is the subject of this study.

Titanium alloy Ti6Al4V has received particular consideration since it is a popular material in the aerospace and medical device industries. Moreover, it produces serrated chips under practical machining conditions, which makes it remarkable in terms of metal cutting research. Considering that the interaction between the cutting tool flank face and the workpiece affects the chatter stability of the process when machining materials such as titanium alloys at low cutting speeds, it becomes important to develop machining models that consider the physics of the process and allow detailed analysis of the machining process (Altintas et al., 2008). Finite element simulation is an indispensable tool because it not only enables simulating serrated chip formation, but it also allows inclusion of the cutting tool edge geometry in the analysis in order to predict process outputs.

In finite element models, serrated chips can be simulated by artificially modifying the material flow stress behavior at high strains (at the level of strains common in machining, but those cannot be easily attained by standard material testing devices) and/or by integrating a damage criterion. The focus of this study is on investigating the influence of modified flow stress behavior at high strains through a parametric study.

Shivpuri et al. (2001) artificially modified the flow stress behavior as a function of temperature and simulated serrated chip formation. They suggested that microstructure transformation is responsible for flow softening at high strains. An identical approach was also used by Bäker et al. (2002), but neither of these stud-
ies proposed a mathematical model for flow softening. Rhim and Oh (2006) integrated the effect of flow softening due to dynamic recrystallization initiating after a critical strain value at temperatures higher than half of the melting temperature in the material model. They also included a damage model to increase the degree of serration. Calamaz et al. (2008) summarized various possible mechanisms that would lead to flow softening, such as the platelet kinking suggested by Miller et al. (1999). They also proposed a softening function integrated to the Johnson and Cook (1983) material model. The proposed material model assumes that flow softening exists at all temperature levels. They were able to simulate serrated chips that resembled experimental chips. On the other hand, thrust force predictions were lower than experimental cutting force data (during this paper’s review process a further publication has appeared relating to those issues (Calamaz et al., 2010)). Wang et al. (2010) used the flow softening model proposed by Calamaz et al. (2008) for the micro cutting of copper based alloy. They emphasized the effect of elastic strains on the formation of serrated chips. They showed that sheet bands formation initiates from the outer surface of the chip and grows towards the cutting tool edge.

The serrated chip formation is closely related to the material properties of Ti6Al4V, including its low thermal conductivity, strain hardening and thermal softening behavior. According to Komanduri and Turkovich (1981), the serrated chip formation process starts by upsetting the material in front of the tool. When critical shear strain is attained at a certain temperature, thermoplastic instability occurs, and as a result the serrated chip forms and shear bands are observed. Studies on thermoplastic instability relate the formation and propagation of adiabatic shear bands to the dynamic recrystallization (DRX) phenomenon without ruling out the crack initiation. DRX is defined as the process of microstructure reformation and evolution resulting in newly crystallized small grains with very low dislocation density. Critical strain, strain rate and temperature are required for DRX to occur. A detailed literature review on thermoplastic instability can be found in Xu et al. (2008), in which some principal findings on adiabatic shear localization are summarized as: “(a) there is a strain-rate dependent critical strain for the development of shear bands; (b) grain refinement and amorphization occur in shear bands; (c) loss of stress-carrying capability is more closely associated with microdefects rather than with localization of strain; and (d) band development and band structures are material dependent” (Xu et al., 2008). Recently, Rittel et al. (2008) have presented evidence that DRX triggers adiabatic shear failure by observing dynamically recrystallized nanograins in titanium alloy Ti6Al4V subjected to impact loading only half of its failure strain. Medyanik et al. (2007) studied the interaction between strain rate and temperature for AISI 4340 steel and showed that the critical temperature for DRX to occur decreases with increasing strain rate but reaches a steady state at a limiting value. Liao and Duffy (1998) measured the temperatures in the adiabatic band region to be around 440–550 °C for the Ti6Al4V. In general, recrystallization process in metals occurs about 0.4–0.5 of the melting temperature of the material which is 499–589 °C for Ti6Al4V alloy (melting temperature is 1922 K) (Liao and Duffy, 1998). This temperature increase is sufficient to produce newly recrystallized grains in the shear bands. However, according to the findings of Medyanik et al. (2007), it may be possible that the critical temperature initiating DRX during machining can be even lower than 0.4–0.5 of melting temperature since it is closely related to the strain rate.

Another flow softening mechanism observed in titanium alloys at high temperatures is globularization, which is governed by the alpha to beta transformation and sliding of grain boundaries (Song et al., 2009). The experiments conducted by Song et al. (2009) reveal the initiation of globularization between strain levels of 0.42–0.65 around 920–980 °C under strain rate range of 0.01–10 1/s. Song et al. (2009) identify the differences between dynamic recrystallization and globularization, stating that for the former, the critical strain is lower and mainly dependent on temperature and strain rate, whereas the latter is not very sensitive to deformation temperature and strain rate since it is controlled by dislocation glide.

The propagation of adiabatic shear bands has also been considered as a major ductile failure mechanism, one which results in material separation along the shear band associated with the void formation due to thermal softening and local melting of secondary phases (Giovanola, 1988).

The aim of this study is to investigate flow softening behavior especially, around and below critical DRX temperatures. For this purpose, a new softening function which allows introducing different material behavior at various temperature levels is proposed. Different softening scenarios are considered, and results of the finite element simulations are validated and tested under different machining conditions on two different experimental datasets from the literature.

2. Material constitutive model

In the literature, many material models have been proposed to define the relationship between strain, strain rate, and temperature. Johnson and Cook (1983) material model is the most popular
one due to its simple form (Eq. (1)). It relates strain, strain rate and temperature to flow stress in a multiplicative form through the parameters $A$, $B$, $n$, $C$ and $m$. Some Johnson–Cook material model parameters calculated for Ti6Al4V titanium alloy are listed in Table 1. Even though some parameter sets are based on the same split Hopkinson bar test data, the calculated material model parameters are spread over a wide range of values due to possible differences in microstructures and/or the mathematical techniques used in calculating Johnson–Cook parameters. Hence, when used in finite element simulations, different simulation outputs are obtained for different material model parameters. Unfortunately, no microstructure information is available for the titanium alloy used in the study of Lee and Lin (1998) except that the material was received as annealed.

### Table 1

List of Johnson–Cook material model parameters reported for Ti6Al4V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (MPa)</th>
<th>$B$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$C$</th>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>782.7</td>
<td>498.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Umbrello (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>724</td>
<td>683.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lee and Lin (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>Li and He (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>859</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.000022</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Ozel and Zeren (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>862</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Meyer and Kleponis (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1098</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Chen et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>997.9</td>
<td>653.1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Seo et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Johnson–Cook material model parameters reported by Lee and Lin (1998) in Fig. 1(c). It can be seen that the proposed material model can successfully represent flow stress behavior at low strains. An appropriate modification must be included in the material model (Eq. (3)) to consider flow softening, which initiates after a critical strain and temperature. In order to be able to facilitate soft-

### Table 2

The coefficients of the material model (Eq. (3)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (MPa)</th>
<th>$n^*$</th>
<th>$b$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>7.1903e-5</td>
<td>-0.0209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$\dot{\varepsilon}_0$ (1/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.6356</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. The flow stress curves based on data of Lee and Lin (1998): (a) at various temperatures for the strain rate of 2000 1/s, (b) at various strain rates for temperature 300 °C and (c) comparison of flow stress curves obtained through Johnson–Cook material model parameters calculated by Lee and Lin (1998) and the proposed model (at 20 °C and 800 1/s).
evening at any strain and to have flexibility on the softening rate, a function is proposed. The proposed function has three parameters as \( S, k \), and \( r \) which control the softening behavior occurring after a critical strain value \( (\varepsilon_{cr}) \) as shown in Eqs. (4)–(6). The influence of these parameters on the flow stress curve is shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that \( S \) value defines the steady state value of flow stress after softening. The parameters \( k \) and \( r \) denote the transition between strain hardening and steady state flow stress behavior. This formulation allows flexibility in terms of modeling various flow softening scenarios and it is similar to the flow softening function proposed by Rhim and Oh (2006), but it has fewer parameters. For strain values less than that critical strain, Eq. (3) is valid.

\[
\sigma_s = S(\varepsilon_{cr}, \varepsilon, T) \quad S < 1
\]  

(4)

\[
\sigma_{soft}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{cr}, T) = \sigma - (\sigma - \sigma_s)(\tan h(k\varepsilon)^r)
\]  

(5)

\[
\sigma_{soft} = \sigma(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{cr}, T) \quad \text{for} \quad \varepsilon > \varepsilon_{cr} \quad \text{when} \quad S = 1
\]  

\[
\varepsilon^* = \frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{cr}}{\varepsilon_{cr}} \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_{cr}
\]  

(6)

The value of \( \sigma_s \) (steady state flow stress at high strains) is calculated at the critical strain value for a given temperature and strain rate through Eq. (3) and multiplied with the softening parameter \( S \) at the critical strain value as shown in Eq. (4). In Eq. (5), \( \sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{cr}, T) \) is the proposed material model given in Eq. (3). The critical strain \( (\varepsilon_{cr}) \) value, after which the softening initiates, has to be defined in order to control the flow softening. The influence of critical strain is controlled by the expression in Eq. (6) through the term \( u(\varepsilon) \). For strains higher than the critical strain, flow stress is calculated using Eq. (5).

3. Methodology

Design of computer experiments approach is adopted to study the influence of flow softening parameters on the simulation outputs. The influence of temperature based flow stress curves is investigated on the experimental data provided by Cotterell and Byrne (2008a,b). Each material model is tested at two different cutting speeds, and the material model parameters yielding the best match between the simulation and experiments are selected. In the flow softening model, there are four different parameters \( (k, r, \varepsilon_{cr}, \text{and} \ S) \). It is first decided to investigate the temperature dependent influence of the parameter \( S \) which defines the level of softening, if softening exists. The parameters \( k \) and \( r \) are set as 2. The critical strain values are selected as 0.35 independent from temperature. Table 3 lists the six different experimental cases which will be investigated.

The first and second experimental cases assume that flow softening exists at all temperature levels as assumed in previous studies (i.e. Calamaz et al., 2008) but with different values of the softening parameter \( S \). The third experimental case assumes dynamic recovery at room temperature \( (S=1) \) but flow softening at all other temperatures. The fourth experimental case assumes strain hardening at room temperature and flow softening at other temperatures. The fifth experimental case assumes strain hardening at room temperature, dynamic recovery at 350°C, and flow softening at higher temperatures; finally, the sixth experimental case assumes strain hardening at 25°C and 350°C and flow softening at other higher temperatures.

As a second group of test, the influence of softening \( (S) \) and rate of softening parameters \( k \) and \( r \) on the finite element simulation outputs are investigated. In order to decrease the number of simulations \( k \) and \( r \) are taken as equal. Increasing \( k \) corresponds to a faster transition from strain hardening to softening. The experimental conditions are listed in Table 4.

4. Experimental data

The orthogonal cutting data are selected from the study of Cotterell and Byrne (2008a,b). The titanium alloy Ti6Al4V used in experiments was an extra low interstitial (ELI) grade with an equiaxed \( \alpha-\beta \) microstructure and a 10\( \mu \)m grain size.
Table 5
The range of experimental conditions and experimentally measured and calculated process outputs (Cotterell and Byrne, 2008a,b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental conditions</th>
<th>Experimentally measured/calculated process outputs (for uncut chip thickness of 0.1 mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V = 120 m/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncut chip thickness range (mm)</td>
<td>0.05, 0.075, 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of cut (mm)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool edge radius (μm)</td>
<td>∼8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rake angle (deg)</td>
<td>∼6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting speed range (m/min)</td>
<td>4–140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool material</td>
<td>Uncoated carbide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear angle (°) (measured)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear stress (MPa) (calculated)</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeff. of friction (µ) (calculated)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aver. shear zone temperatures °C (calculated)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear strain (calculated)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chip contact length (mm) (measured)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 5, it can be seen that average coefficient of friction is calculated as 0.52 based on experimentally measured thrust and cutting force data, and it seems to be independent of cutting speed from 30 m/min to 120 m/min at 0.1 mm uncut chip thickness.

Fig. 4. Effective strain distributions at the cutting zone corresponding to Experiments #1–#10.
ness. The average friction coefficient is calculated to be 0.64 when uncut chip thickness is decreased to 0.05 mm (Cotterell and Byrne, 2008b). They also analytically calculated average temperature at the primary shear zone as 280–300 °C. The shear stress decreases with increasing cutting speed even though the strain rate nearly triples between 30 m/min and 120 m/min, a change that signifies the importance of thermal softening on the flow stress. The shear angle increases with increasing cutting speed, and shear strain decreases as a result.

5. Finite element simulation model

Frictional conditions at the tool–chip and the tool–workpiece interfaces are also important simulation inputs, and a proper definition of friction in finite element models is crucial since it affects the heat generation at the tool–chip and tool–workpiece interfaces. Ozel (2006) demonstrated the importance of various friction definitions on the simulation outputs. In this study, friction is modeled by using the friction window technique as shown in Fig. 3. The length of the sticking zone is selected to be one third of the uncut chip thickness and the Coulomb friction parameter on the sliding zone is selected to be 20% higher than the calculated average coefficient of friction from machining tests as suggested by Ozlu et al. (2009).

In order to have the same average coefficient of friction on the rake face of the tool, a higher coefficient of friction than the calculated average coefficient of friction is defined at the rake face while the friction factor is defined as 1 at the sticking region.

In addition to material constitutive model and friction definition, mechanical and thermo-physical properties of titanium alloy Ti6Al4V are also required in the simulation model, and they are defined as a function of temperature ($T$):

- Young's modulus $E(T) = -57.7T + 111672$ (MPa),
- thermal expansion $\alpha(T) = 3.10^{-9}T + 7.10^{-6}$ (1/°C),
- thermal conductivity $\lambda(T) = 0.015T + 7.7T$ (W/mK),
- heat capacity $C_p(T) = 2.7e^{0.0002T}$ (N/mm²/°C) (Karpat, 2009).

The heat transfer coefficient at the tool–chip interface is taken as a constant 10,000 W/m² °C. This coefficient is important in terms of calculating temperature distributions in the cutting tool. The workpiece is represented with
Fig. 4. (Continued).
Fig. 5. Simulated cutting ($F_c/w$) and thrust force ($F_t/w$) predictions at; (a) $V=30$ m/min and (b) $V=120$ m/min.

10,000 quadrilateral elements whereas 3500 quadrilateral elements are used to represent the cutting tool. A mesh window is used around the edge radius of the cutting tool for better representation of the tool tip region. The workpiece is modeled as plastic whereas the cutting tool is modeled as rigid. The cutting tool material is uncoated tungsten carbide. Simulations were run on commercial software Deform 2D v9.1. The separation criterion is taken as 0.1, which is the default value in the Deform software.

6. Simulation results

The simulation outputs are compared with the experimental results obtained from the literature at two different cutting speeds. Same friction definition was used in all simulations. According to simulation results given in Fig. 4, it can be seen that temperature-based softening parameters significantly affect the simulation outputs. Decreasing the softening parameter from 0.8 to 0.6 at all

Fig. 6. Variation of cutting forces ($F_c/w$) with simulation time for Experiment #4 ($V=120$ m/min, $t_u=0.1$ mm).

Fig. 7. Comparison of simulated and experimental cutting forces (a) with respect to cutting speed at $t_u=0.1$ mm and (b) with respect to uncut chip thickness at $V=120$ m/min with simulated chips (Cotterell and Byrne, 2008a,b).

Fig. 8. Comparison of tool–chip contact length at two different cutting speeds when uncut chip thickness is 0.1 mm; (a) $V=30$ m/min and (b) $V=120$ m/min.
temperature levels produces more serrated chips at 30 m/min and 120 m/min, and chips tend to be more curved with higher softening (Experiments #1 and #2). Introducing no strain hardening at room temperature and strain hardening at room temperature yielded similar results in terms of chip morphology (Experiments #2 and #4). The chips simulated in Experiment #4 are straighter than those obtained in Experiments #1 and #2 and there is still significant serration at 30 m/min cutting speed. With no strain hardening or when strain hardening is introduced at 350 °C (Experiments #5 and #6), the chips become thicker and less serrated, especially at 30 m/min. This phenomenon is related to the decreasing temperatures at the primary deformation zone at lower cutting speeds.

The finite element simulation results for the second group of experiments (Experiments #7–#10), where the effect of rate of softening parameters is investigated, are shown in Fig. 4. For example, Experiments #2, #7, and #9 have the same S value but different k and r values. It is observed that the softening parameters (k and r) influence the serrated chip formation and in some cases are responsible for forming irregular serrations. Their effect is less significant at 120 m/min cutting speed. It is obvious that softening parameter S has a more dominant effect on the serrated chip formation than the softening parameters k and r.

Fig. 5 compares of simulated cutting forces in each tested case for two different cutting speed levels. By considering the cutting forces and chip shape, temperature dependent softening parameters given in Experiment #4 are selected as material model parameters. It can be noted that introducing strain hardening at room temperature improved thrust force predictions compared to Experiments #2 and #3. The same friction definition was used in the simulations as per the results given in Table 5. The simulation results of Experiments #2 and #3 can be increased by increasing the coefficient of friction on the sliding region. However, by doing so, the friction definition becomes different from the experimental average friction value.

From these findings, it can be concluded that the degree of flow softening initiating from 350 °C significantly affects the simulation force outputs. When flow softening is initiated from 500 °C, even though serrated chips are simulated, the cutting force calculations do not agree well with the experimental force measurements. Strain hardening at room temperature does not affect the cutting force (Ft) but slightly increases the thrust force (Ft) predictions.

Fig. 6 shows the fluctuation of cutting forces with respect to time. Serrated chip formation affects the cutting forces. An increase in cutting and thrust forces corresponds to the initiation phase of serrated chip formation. According to simulation results, the tool–chip contact length slightly decreases with increasing uncut chip thickness and decreasing cutting speed as shown in Fig. 7(b).

Fig. 7 shows the comparison of simulated and experimental cutting forces at all cutting conditions. It can be seen that finite element simulation yields results that are in good agreement with the experimental results. The maximum error is about 20% when uncut chip thickness is decreased to 0.05 mm. In that case, the length of sticking zone may need to be changed to match the simulation results with the experimental ones. The amount of chip serration decreases with decreasing uncut chip thickness and decreasing cutting speed as shown in Fig. 7(b).

Fig. 8 reveals the tool–chip contact length at two different cutting conditions. Tool–chip contact length slightly decreases with increasing cutting speed. When compared with the measurements given in Table 5, the finite element simulation predicts tool–chip contact length reasonably well.

Fig. 9(a–c) shows the effective stress and temperature distributions across the adiabatic shear band at the cutting speed of 120 m/min. The flow stress drop due to softening can be seen in Fig. 9(a). Fig. 9(b) shows the corresponding temperature rise which causes that effective stress drop at the initiation of the shear band. Fig. 9(c) shows the end of the shear band formation where the temperature increase reaches 490 °C which is in the range of critical temperature for dynamic recrystallization (Liao and Duffy, 1998). The temperature and effective stress calculations are in accordance with the material properties used in finite element models.
thickness of the adiabatic shear band can be measured as 20 μm from Fig. 8(a).

Fig. 10 shows the strain distributions at the cutting zone during the different phases of the serrated chip formation process. The serrated chip formation process starts when the material starts to rise in front of the cutting tool as shown in Fig. 10(a). Fig. 10(b) shows initiation of the serrated chip formation, which starts from the outer surface of the chip when the shear band reaches that point. The temperature at the outer surface of the chip at this instant is obtained from the finite element simulation to be around 150–160 °C. The strain value at the lower shear band boundary is calculated in the simulation to be around 0.6. This corresponds to the strain value where the flow stress reaches a steady state after a steep drop from the peak stress in the strain-stress diagram which is controlled by $k$ and $r$ in the material model. The strain inside the shear band rapidly increases to 1.5–1.7 (Fig. 10(c)) and the temperature inside the shear band varies between 350 and 500 °C. The serrated chip formation process continues in a cyclical manner.

Fig. 10. Velocity and strain distributions during serrated chip formation process ($V = 120$ m/min, $t_w = 0.1$ mm).
The frictional conditions at the tool–chip interface also affect the chip formation process. During the first stage, the material temporarily sticks to the rake face of the tool because of the sticking friction region defined in the model (Fig. 10(a)). A different friction definition changes the chip morphology.

In all of the simulations mentioned above the maximum number of elements (10,000) allowed in finite element simulation software was used. It is known that the shear band formation is closely related to the mesh density used in the simulation. The number of elements in the finite element model decreased from 10,000 to 5000 and 2500, and the resulting shear band and chip formation are shown in Fig. 11. As the number of elements used in the simulations decreases, shear bands are still formed, but the degree of serration is decreased and the thickness of the shear band is increased.

6.1. Further validation of the material model parameters

The material model parameters are further tested on other experimental data from the literature where a zero rake angle cutting tool was used as in Nouari et al. (2008). Table 5 shows the experimental cutting conditions used in this study. Table 6 summarizes the measured cutting and thrust forces at uncut chip thickness of 0.1 mm.

The results obtained from finite element simulation are shown in Fig. 12. A cutting speed that was not used in testing material model parameters (60 m/min) was used for comparison purposes. Due to employing a zero rake angle cutting tool, a slightly higher level of chip serration was observed. In these simulations, frictional conditions were also defined as sticking zone, 30% of uncut chip thickness, and coefficient of friction 20% more than the experimental average coefficient of friction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental cutting conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncut chip thickness (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of cut (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool edge radius (μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rake angle (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting speed (m/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool material</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13 shows the comparison of the measured and simulated cutting forces for the dataset of Nouari et al. (2008).

According to force measurements given in Table 7 and predictions obtained from the simulations, there is a disagreement in terms of cutting forces between Cotterell and Byrne and Nouari et al. at 30 m/min cutting speed. Measuring higher cutting forces is expected when a zero rake angle cutting tool is used, but the cut-
tung force measurement is significantly higher in Nouari et al. In this condition, the level of error is about %15, an acceptable level in machining research. However, the other predictions agree very well with the experimental data. These findings suggest that simulation results are more sensitive to the material model than the friction definition. The friction definition adopted in this study yielded good results with the experimental cutting cases considered.

7. Conclusions and discussion

In this study, temperature dependent flow softening behavior of titanium alloy Ti6Al4V is investigated using finite element simulations of machining. The influence of material model parameters is investigated through simulations. Temperature dependent flow softening parameters are validated on an experimental dataset at two different cutting speeds and three different uncut chip thickness values. The material model parameters are further tested on another experimental dataset obtained with a different rake angle cutting tool. It is shown that finite element models are capable of producing reliable results if material model and friction are defined properly. In addition it is shown that:

- The case where flow softening initiates around 350 °C yielded the most realistic simulation results. The temperature rise within the shear band reaches to 490 °C for the cutting speed tested in this study. This agrees well with the findings in the literature. The strain in the shear band is shown to be in the level of 1.5–1.7.
- Flow softening at room temperature does not influence the simulation outputs significantly but is shown to increase chip serration, produce more curved chips, and decrease thrust force predictions.
- Friction definition based on an experimentally calculated average coefficient of friction produced good results for the cases of machining with low rake angle cutting tools with small edge radii.
- It is possible to simulate serrated chips without using a damage model. However, not including damage models in the analysis does not rule out the influence of ductile fracture during adiabatic shear band propagation.

The results obtained in this study suggest that the critical temperature for the initiation of softening and the level of softening both have a strong influence on the finite element simulations when machining titanium alloy Ti6Al4V. In the literature, the flow softening has been attributed to dynamic recrystallization where a critical temperature, strain, and strain rate must be attained to initiate shear band formation. Those critical values may be a function of the initial microstructure of the titanium alloy. It must be noted that the flow softening initiating at 350 °C is lower than the critical recrystallization temperatures reported in the literature. However, in a recent study, it is shown that increasing strain rate decreases the critical temperature (Medyanik et al., 2007). Similarly, flow softening at room temperature, as adopted by many other studies in the literature, must have an underlying explanation. Therefore, more research is needed in this area to clarify the issues related to flow softening occurring at low temperatures. Titanium alloy Ti6Al4V specimens with different initial microstructures used in the experimental study may help to shed light on this discussion.
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Table 7

Comparison of measured cutting and thrust forces ($f_c$ is selected as 0.1 mm at all tests).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutting force $F_c$ (N/mm)</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cutting force $F_t$ (N/mm)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nouari et al. (2008)

Cotterell and Byrne (2008a,b)


