An all-ZnO microbolometer for infrared imaging
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HIGHLIGHTS

- An all-ZnO microbolometer is proposed.
- ALD grown ZnO is employed as both the thermistor and the absorber material.
- The all-ZnO microbolometer is computationally analyzed.
- The optical and thermal effects are simultaneously considered.
- Absorptance, time constant and NETD of the all-ZnO microbolometer are extracted.

ABSTRACT

Microbolometers are extensively used for uncooled infrared imaging applications. These imaging units generally employ vanadium oxide or amorphous silicon as the active layer and silicon nitride as the absorber layer. However, using different materials for active and absorber layers increases the fabrication and integration complexity of the pixel structure. In order to reduce fabrication steps and therefore increase the yield and reduce the cost of the imaging arrays, a single layer can be employed both as the absorber and the active material. In this paper, we propose an all-ZnO microbolometer, where atomic layer deposition grown zinc oxide is employed both as the absorber and the active material. Optical constants of ZnO are measured and fed into finite-difference-time-domain simulations where absorption performances of microbolometers with different gap size and ZnO film thicknesses are extracted. Using the results of these optical simulations, thermal simulations are conducted using finite-element-method in order to extract the noise equivalent temperature difference (NETD) and thermal time constant values of several bolometer structures with different gap sizes, arm and film thicknesses. It is shown that the maximum performance of 171 mK can be achieved with a body thickness of 1.1 μm and arm thickness of 50 nm, while the fastest response with a time constant of 0.32 ms can be achieved with a ZnO thickness of 150 nm both in arms and body.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Infrared (IR) imaging market is shifting from defense to civilian sectors with the introduction of new commercial and high volume markets such as automotive, surveillance, thermography, and IR imaging in smartphones (see Fig.1) [1]. This trend created a cost-driven market in favor of uncooled infrared imaging systems. Unlike the cooled counterparts, which operate on photogeneration and collection of electrons and holes, uncooled IR detectors sense the temperature change due to the absorption of the IR radiation. The cooled detectors offer higher performance (higher resolution, higher signal-to-noise ratio, faster response) at a high cost due to the need for cryogenic cooling [2]. On the other hand, uncooled detectors offer cost effective solutions targeting the competitive low-end high-volume user market. Among uncooled detectors such as thermopiles, pyroelectric detectors, and microbolometers, the latter are more popular for infrared imaging purposes [3].

A bolometer pixel consists of an absorber layer, an active detector material (thermistor), and the support arms as given in Fig. 2a [4]. The absorber layer and the thermistor are free-standing for thermal isolation. The absorption of the incident radiation causes an increase in the temperature of the thermistor. This temperature increase
increase changes the resistance of the thermistor, and this change is converted to electrical signal by the read-out integrated circuit (ROIC). The percentage of change in the resistance of the thermistor per kelvin is given by the temperature coefficient of resistance (TCR) of the thermistor material.

The key figure of merit indicating the performance of a microbolometer is the noise equivalent temperature difference (NETD) [5] and it is given by

$$\text{NETD} = \frac{4F^2 V_n}{\tau_A d R_V (\Delta P/\Delta T)_{l_1-l_2}}$$

where $V_n$ is the total RMS noise voltage, $\tau_A$ is the transmission of the optics, $A_0$ is the active detector area, $R_V$ is the voltage responsivity of the detector, $(\Delta P/\Delta T)_{l_1-l_2}$ is the change of power per unit area radiated by a blackbody at temperature $T$, measured within the spectral band of $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$, and $F$ is a function of distance from the optics to the target. The voltage responsivity, $R_V$, can be expressed as

$$R_V = \frac{\Delta V}{P_0} = \frac{\eta A_0 R_A}{G_{th}}$$

where $\eta$ is the absorption coefficient, $I_d$ is the detector current, $\alpha$ is the TCR, $R_A$ is the detector resistance, $G_{th}$ is the thermal conductance. Another important parameter affected by the thermal conductance is the time constant of the detector which is given by

$$\tau = \frac{C_{th}}{G_{th}}$$

where $C_{th}$ is the thermal capacitance. The time constant is a measure of the response time of the microbolometer, and a smaller time constant is desirable for faster sensors. For imaging applications based on 30 Hz frame rate, a time constant of 10 ms is tolerable and that for 60 Hz frame rate should be less than 7 ms [6].

In order to maximize the responsivity of the microbolometer and reduce the NETD, new materials or sensors architectures that provide higher TCR and absorptivity and lower thermal conductivity are desirable. However, when thermal conductance, $G_{th}$, is lower, the time constant increases. Due to the trade-off between NETD and time constant, a new figure of merit is defined [7], NETD-time constant product

$$FOM = \text{NETD} \cdot \tau$$

In terms of thermistor materials, most of the commercially available microbolometers employ vanadium oxide (VO$_x$) and amorphous silicon (a-Si) with TCR values between $-2\%/K$ and $-3\%/K$ [3,4]. In addition, alternative active materials reported include but are not limited to thin film metals such as titanium ($0.35\%/K$) [8] and platinum ($0.14\%/K$) [9], YBaCuO ($-3.3\%/K$) [10] and poly crystalline silicon germanium, poly-SiGe ($-1\%/C$) [11]. Besides these, there are also reports on the usage of electronic devices such as Si based diodes ($-2 \text{ mV/K}$) [12], thin film transistors ($6.5\%/K$) [13] and SiGe quantum wells ($-5.8\%/K$) [14]. Note that the values provided for Si diodes and thin film transistors are temperature coefficient of voltage and temperature coefficient of channel current, respectively. Also, recently, researchers in our group demonstrated atomic layer deposition (ALD) grown ZnO as a candidate thermistor material with a TCR value of $-10.4\%/K$ [15]. While the most widely used absorber layer is Si$_3$N$_4$ [16–19] there are also reports on the use of alternative CMOS compatible dielectrics [20] and thin metals [5,9] as the absorber layer.

The material complexity and process steps of microbolometers could be reduced if the thermistor layer and the absorber layer were consolidated in a single layer. Recently, Yoneoka et al. suggested replacing the thermistor and absorber layers with a thin ALD grown Pt layer [9]. In this work, we propose an all-ZnO microbolometer, where ALD grown ZnO layer is employed as both the thermistor and the absorber as shown in Fig. 2b. We first present optical characterization of ZnO followed by the computational analysis of a basic microbolometer structure using finite-difference-time-domain (FDTD) method in order to calculate the absorptivity in the long-wave infrared (LWIR) region (8–12 $\mu$m). In addition, thermal simulations of the microbolometer structure are conducted using finite-element-method, and time constant and NETD values are extracted. The results show that, when a 1.1 $\mu$m thick ZnO layer with a gap size of 0.8 $\mu$m is used, the absorptivity can be as high as 95.6% yielding an NETD value of 171 mK and a time constant of 6.6 ms. Such a time constant is suitable for imaging applications at 60 Hz frame rate. Such a detector could be used for low-end applications such as thermography and pedestrian security in automotive. On the other hand, when the ZnO film thickness is 150 nm with a gap of 2.1 $\mu$m, the time constant decreases to 0.32 ms while absorptivity reduces to 50.6% and NETD increases up to 940 mK. Such a high speed...
detector could be used for infrared missile seeker applications where a very low time constant is required but a high NETD is tolerable since the object (a hot nozzle) is much hotter than the scene.

2. ZnO optical properties

ALD growth of ZnO is carried out at 120 °C using a Cambridge Savannah 100 Thermal ALD system using diethylzinc (DEZ) and milli-Q water (H₂O) as precursors on n-type (100) Si wafers. Optical characterization of as-grown films is conducted using commercial spectroscopic ellipsometer IR-Vase from J.A. Woollam. The dielectric permittivity of ZnO is modelled using a Drude oscillator combined with a Lorentz oscillator. Dielectric constants of ALD grown ZnO is given in Fig. 3a and refractive indices in the LWIR band are given in Fig. 3b.

3. Optical simulations

In order to extract the absorption properties and optimize the proposed all-ZnO single layer microbolometer, electromagnetic simulations are conducted using finite-difference-time-domain (FDTD) technique on a commercial software (FDTD Simulations by Lumerical Inc.). For simplicity, a 2D simulation setup is chosen in which the legs and anchors features of a standard bolometer are neglected as can be seen in Fig. 4a. On the x-axis, periodic boundary conditions are assumed. On the y-axis, boundary condition at the bottom is chosen as a perfect reflector, to represent the metallic reflector beneath the gap. Metal boundary conditions are perfectly reflecting and do not allow electromagnetic energy to escape the simulation area. On the top, a perfectly matched layer (PML) is assumed. The structure is illuminated with a normally incident plane wave where the wavelength range of the light is 3–15 μm. A field monitor is placed behind the plane wave source in order to calculate the absorption in the microbolometer structure. Since all energy incident on the bottom boundary is reflected back, total absorption can be calculated using \( P_{abs} = \frac{1}{C_0} \frac{P_{ref}}{P_{rad}} \) where \( P_{ref} \) is the ratio of the power transmitted through the field monitor to the power radiated by the plane wave source. Therefore, \( P_{abs} \) gives the ratio of absorbed energy to incident energy. The ZnO film is modeled using the experimental optical constants found in the previous section.

A parameter sweep is conducted to find the optimum structure for which the average absorption in the 8–12 μm band is maximized. The thickness of the ZnO film (t) is varied from 50 nm to 2 μm and the gap height (g) is varied from 100 nm to 4 μm. Average absorption in the LWIR band (8–12 μm) is calculated (Fig. 4b) by equally weighing absorption at all wavelengths in the 8–12 μm band. In general, as t increases, the average absorption increases as...
expected. Average absorption is maximized when $t$ is between 1.25 and 2 $\mu$m. This is due to the Fabry–Perrot resonance inside the ZnO film. The resonance condition for the Fabry–Perrot cavity is fulfilled when the cavity length is one fourth of the wavelength of the incident light. When the incident light is in the LWIR band ($8 < \lambda_{o} < 12 \mu$m), its wavelength inside the ZnO film is in the 4.5–8 $\mu$m range since $\lambda = \lambda_{o}/n$ where $n$ is the refractive index of ZnO at the corresponding wavelength (Fig. 3b). Therefore when the film thickness is in the 1.25–2 $\mu$m range, the resonant wavelength is between 8 and 12 $\mu$m and this leads to an efficient absorption.

Besides the film itself, the resonant cavity can be formed by the gap beneath. Here, the medium is vacuum and therefore the resonance occurs when the gap height is between 2 and 3 $\mu$m ($\lambda_{o}/4$). Adjusting the gap height between 2 and 3 $\mu$m, more than 40% absorption is achieved even with ZnO films as thin as 100 nm. In Fig. 4, note that, absorption is almost zero for very thin (<100 nm) film absorbing layers if the gap height is below 2 $\mu$m. Finally, the results show that maximum absorption (95.6%) occurs when $t = 1.1 \mu$m and $g = 0.8 \mu$m.

### 4. Thermal simulations

In order to evaluate the NETD of the all-ZnO microbolometer, it is necessary to know the thermal conductance of the detector besides the parameters given in previous sections. Thermal simulations are conducted in order to estimate the thermal conductance of the detector using the Coventorware simulation tool. For the simulations, the density of ZnO is taken as 5.6 g/cm$^3$ [21], specific heat as 40.25 J/mol K [22] and thermal conductivity is used as 90 W/m K [23]. Also, the structural parameters of the simulated pixels are as follows: pixel pitch is 35 $\mu$m, arm width is 2 $\mu$m and the fill factor is 50.5%. Several simulations are performed.

![Fig. 5. The thermal simulation results for all-ZnO microbolometers. The thermal conductance of the first detector is simulated as $3.8 \times 10^{-7}$ W/K while the second one is simulated as $1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ W/K.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arm thickness ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>Body thickness ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>Gap thickness ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>NETD (mK)</th>
<th>Time constant (ms)</th>
<th>FOM (mK ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3575</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pixel area</td>
<td>$35 \mu m \times 35 \mu m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>135 kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active det. area/fill factor</td>
<td>$620 \mu m^2/50.5%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR</td>
<td>$-10.44$ K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductance</td>
<td>$3.8 \times 10^{-7}$ W/K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC responsivity</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^5$ V/W ($\Omega$ 2 V voltage bias including a reference detector in a potential ROIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorptance (absorption efficiency)</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPA size</td>
<td>$384 \times 288$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration time @30 fps</td>
<td>100 $\mu$s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical bandwidth</td>
<td>5 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector noise</td>
<td>$27 \mu V_{rms}$ including the effect of a reference detector in a potential ROIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NETD (f/1 lens, 30 fps frame rate)</td>
<td>171 mK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**

The detector parameters used for the NETD calculation.
to understand the effect of the ZnO thickness on the thermal conduction. Fig. 5a gives the thermal simulation result for the ZnO thickness of 1.1 μm on the body and 50 nm on the arms while Fig. 5b shows the result for ZnO thickness of 150 nm for both body and the arms. In the first simulation 100 nW heat is generated on the pixel while in the second one 1000 nW heat is generated. The thermal conductance of the first detector is simulated as 3.8 × 10⁻⁷ W/K while the second one is simulated as 1.1 × 10⁻⁸ W/K. Table 1 shows the NETD calculation and simulated thermal time constant for different pixels using the results of the optical and thermal simulations. The fastest detector is achieved with a ZnO thickness of 150 nm both on the body and the arms. As can be seen from the table the ultimate performance of 171 mK can be obtained with a body thickness of 1.1 μm and an arm thickness of 50 nm. Also, this pixel structure is the one that achieves the highest absorption rate, 95.6%. Using the TCR and thermal conductance values given above (10.4% and 3.8 × 10⁻⁷, respectively) and assuming a voltage drop of 2 V over the pixel (a reference detector with identical resistance value is assumed to exist in the system in order to cancel bias heating effect), responsivity can be calculated as 5.2 × 10⁻⁴ V/W (the resistance of the pixel is 135 kΩ [15]). Also, noise spectral density of ZnO is given in [15] and an RMS voltage noise of 27 μVrms is assumed accordingly. The transmission of optics (τo) is assumed as 0.93 [24]. A list of parameters including some readout related variables assumed for the NETD calculation is given in Table 2.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have introduced all-ZnO microbolometer and present two distinct pixel designs: The detector design with the highest absorption offers an NETD value of 171 mK with a thermal time constant of 6.6 ms, and FOM (NETD-time constant product) of 1129 mK ms. Also, this pixel structure is the one that achieves the highest performance microbolometers available in today’s technology that achieve <50 mK NETD. Nevertheless, such a microbolometer can be used in low-end applications such as thermography and automotive.

Our fastest detector design shows 0.32 ms thermal time constant with an NETD of 940 mK and FOM of 301 mK ms. This detector is suitable for applications where the speed is of the primary importance such as missile tracking. This application does not require a very low NETD value since the object to be tracked (a missile nozzle in this case) is a lot hotter than the scene.

Consolidating absorber and thermistor layers within a single ZnO layer, the main advantage of the all-ZnO microbolometer is the reduced material complexity and number of fabrication steps. This advantage leads to higher yield and taking into account the simple growth technology offered by ALD, all-ZnO microbolometer appears as a low cost alternative.
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