

# On the Zeros of Tails of Power Series

I. V. Ostrovskii

*Dedicated to the memory of S. A. Vinogradov*

## 1. Introduction

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

be a power series with a positive radius of convergence. Let

$$s_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k, \quad t_n(z) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

be its  $n$ th section and  $n$ th tail, respectively.

By now, the distribution of the zeros of  $s_n(z)$  has been studied in detail; see, e.g., [2, 4, 6, 7], where further references can be found. The distribution of the zeros of the tails  $t_n(z)$  has been paid less attention. The behavior of the zeros of the tails of some *concrete* power series was considered in [1, 3, 8, 12–14]. Many important results related to the tails of *general* power series were obtained in [2] and, especially, in [11]; however, only the *moduli* of the zeros of tails were treated there.

Some facts related to the *arguments* of the zeros of tails of power series with *infinite* radius of convergence were obtained in [10]. These facts show that some restrictions on the arguments of the zeros imply a bound for the growth of the entire function (1.1). Our aim in this paper is to obtain a similar result for power series with a *finite* radius of convergence. The main result is as follows.

**Theorem.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a power series (1.1) convergent in the unit disc  $\mathbf{D}$ . Suppose there exist two different tails,  $t_m(z)$  and  $t_n(z)$ , such that all zeros of  $t_m(z)t_n(z)$  lie on a finite system of radii of  $\mathbf{D}$ . Then*

$$\log M(r, f) = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^2}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $M(r, f) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$ .

The following example shows that the bound (1.2) is the best possible in the sense of order:

$$f(z) = P(z) + z^{n+1} \cos \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

where  $P(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $< n+1$ . In this example, the tails

$$t_n(z) = z^{n+1} \cos \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \quad t_{n+1}(z) = z^{n+1} \left( \cos \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} - 1 \right)$$

have only real zeros in  $\mathbf{D}$ .

## 2. Proof of the theorem

Consider the function

$$q(z) = \frac{t_n(z)}{s_m(z) - s_n(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}, \quad (2.1)$$

and observe that

$$q(z) - 1 = \frac{t_m(z)}{s_m(z) - s_n(z)}.$$

Since  $s_m(z) - s_n(z)$  has finitely many zeros, the condition of the theorem implies that all roots of the three equations

$$q(z) = 0, \quad q(z) = \infty, \quad q(z) = 1 \quad (2.2)$$

lie on a finite system of radii of  $\mathbf{D}$ . Denoting these radii by

$$\{z : \arg z = \alpha_j, 0 \leq |z| < 1\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_p < 2\pi,$$

we consider the sectors

$$S_j = \{z : \alpha_j < \arg z < \alpha_{j+1}, 0 < |z| < 1\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, p, \quad \alpha_{p+1} = \alpha_1 + 2\pi.$$

In order to investigate the behavior of  $q(z)$  and  $f(z)$  in  $S_j$ , we need the function

$$w(\zeta; \gamma) = \frac{(2\zeta^{\pi/\gamma} - i)(2 - i\zeta^{\pi/\gamma})}{(2\zeta^{\pi/\gamma} + i)(2 + i\zeta^{\pi/\gamma})}, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 2\pi, \quad 0 < \arg \zeta < \gamma.$$

This function conformally maps the sector  $\{\zeta : 0 < \arg \zeta < \gamma, 0 < |\zeta| < 1\}$  onto  $\mathbf{D}$ . A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - |w(\rho e^{i\theta}; \gamma)| &> (1 - |w(\rho e^{i\theta}; \gamma)|^2)/2 \\ &= \frac{12\rho^{\pi/\gamma}(1 - \rho^{2\pi/\gamma})\sin(\pi\theta/\gamma)}{(4 + \rho^{2\pi/\gamma} - 4\rho^{\pi/\gamma}\sin(\pi\theta/\gamma))(1 + 4\rho^{2\pi/\gamma} + 4\rho^{\pi/\gamma}\sin(\pi\theta/\gamma))} \\ &> (4/15)\rho^{\pi/\gamma}(1 - \rho^{2\pi/\gamma})\sin(\pi\theta/\gamma) \\ &> C(1 - \rho)\sin(\pi\theta/\gamma), \quad 0 < \theta < \gamma, \quad 1/2 \leq \rho < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\rho$  and  $\theta$ .

The function

$$w(ze^{-i\alpha_j}; \alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j), \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\},$$

conformally maps  $S_j$  onto  $\mathbf{D}$ . Let  $z_j(w)$  be the function performing the inverse conformal mapping. Then the function

$$q(z_j(w)), \quad w \in \mathbf{D},$$

is analytic in  $\mathbf{D}$  and does not assume the values 0 and 1 there. By the Schottky theorem (see, e.g., [5, p. 60]), we have the following estimate:

$$\log^+ |q(z_j(w))| = O\left(\frac{1}{1-|w|}\right), \quad |w| \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Since (2.1) implies  $f(z) = s_n(z) + (s_m(z) - s_n(z))q(z)$ , we see that

$$f(z_j(w)) = s_n(z_j(w)) + [s_m(z_j(w)) - s_n(z_j(w))]q(z_j(w)).$$

The functions  $s_m(z_j(w))$  and  $s_n(z_j(w))$  are bounded in  $\mathbf{D}$ ; therefore, (2.4) implies that

$$\log^+ |f(z_j(w))| = O\left(\frac{1}{1-|w|}\right), \quad |w| \rightarrow 1.$$

Substituting

$$w = w(ze^{-i\alpha_j}; \alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j), \quad z \in S_j,$$

we get

$$\log^+ |f(z)| \leq \frac{C_1}{1 - |w(ze^{-i\alpha_j}; \alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j)|}, \quad z \in S_j,$$

and, taking (2.3) into account,

$$\log^+ |f(re^{i\varphi})| \leq \frac{C_2}{(1-r)\sin[\pi(\varphi - \alpha_j)/(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j)]}, \quad \alpha_j < \varphi < \alpha_{j+1}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1,$$

where the constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  are independent of  $z = re^{i\varphi}$ . Evidently, the validity of the latter inequality for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , implies that

$$\log^+ |f(re^{i\varphi})| \leq \frac{C}{(1-r)\prod_{j=1}^p |\varphi - \alpha_j|}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $re^{i\varphi}$ .

To deduce (1.2) from (2.5), it suffices to apply the following lemma with

$$h(\zeta) = f(\zeta e^{i\alpha_j}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p; \quad \delta = (1/2) \min\{(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j) : 1 \leq j \leq p\}.$$

**Lemma.** Let  $h(\zeta)$  be a function analytic in the sector

$$S = \{\zeta : |\arg \zeta| \leq \delta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq |\zeta| < 1\}$$

and satisfying the condition

$$\log^+ |h(\zeta)| \leq \frac{B_1}{(1-|\zeta|)|\arg \zeta|}, \quad \zeta \in S, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $B_1 > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\zeta$ . Then

$$\log^+ |h(\zeta)| = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|)^2}\right), \quad \zeta \in S, \quad |\zeta| \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.7)$$

This lemma is contained implicitly in the well-known Sjöberg–Levinson–Domar log log-theorem (see, e.g., [9, p. 376]). We present its proof here for the reader's convenience.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\delta$  is small, namely,  $\sin \delta \leq \sqrt{2}/4$ , because it suffices to prove (2.7) in any sector with smaller  $\delta$ .

We fix  $R$ ,  $1/2 \leq R \leq 1$ , and consider the function

$$h_R(\zeta) = h(\zeta) \exp\left\{-\frac{B_2}{(R-\zeta)^2}\right\}, \quad \zeta \in S \setminus \{R\}, \quad h_R(R) := 0,$$

where  $B_2 > 0$  is a constant to be chosen later. This function is analytic in  $S \setminus \{R\}$ . Moreover, since

$$|h_R(\zeta)| = |h(\zeta)| \exp\left\{-\frac{B_2 \cos(2 \arg(R-\zeta))}{|R-\zeta|^2}\right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

it is continuous on

$$S_R := S \cap \{\zeta : |\arg(R-\zeta)| \leq \frac{\pi}{8}\},$$

for  $R < 1$ . We show that the constant  $B_2$  can be chosen independent of  $R$  and so large that

$$\max\{|h_R(\zeta)| : \zeta \in S_R\} \leq B_3, \quad \text{for } R < 1, \quad (2.9)$$

where the constant  $B_3 > 0$  is also independent of  $R$ .

Putting

$$L_R^{(1)} = \partial S_R \cap \{\zeta : |\arg \zeta| = \delta\}, \quad L_R^{(2)} = \partial S_R \cap \{\zeta : |\arg(R-\zeta)| = \pi/8\},$$

$$M_R^{(k)} = \max\{|h_R(\zeta)| : \zeta \in L_R^{(k)}\}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$\max\{|h_R(\zeta)| : \zeta \in \partial S_R\} = \max\{M_R^{(1)}, M_R^{(2)}\}.$$

An elementary geometric calculation shows that for  $\zeta \in L_R^{(1)}$  and  $R < 1$  we have

$$|\zeta| \leq \left(R \sin \frac{\pi}{8}\right) / \sin\left(\delta + \frac{\pi}{8}\right) < \left(\sin \frac{\pi}{8}\right) / \sin\left(\delta + \frac{\pi}{8}\right) < 1.$$

Therefore,  $M_R^{(1)}$  is bounded by a constant independent of  $R$ .

Next, (2.6) and (2.8) imply the inequality

$$M_R^{(2)} \leq \max\left\{\exp\left(\frac{B_1}{(1-|\zeta|)|\arg \zeta|} - \frac{B_2 \cos(\pi/4)}{|R-\zeta|^2}\right) : \zeta \in L_R^{(2)}\right\}.$$

We set

$$R - \zeta = t \exp\left(\pm \frac{i\pi}{8}\right), \quad t > 0, \quad \text{for } \zeta \in L_R^{(2)}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq R < 1.$$

Elementary geometric calculations show that, for these  $\zeta$ ,

$$0 \leq t \leq (R \sin \delta) / \sin \frac{\pi}{8} < R \cos \frac{\pi}{8},$$

$$1 - |\zeta| > (1 - |\zeta|^2)/2 > (R^2 - |\zeta|^2)/2 = t(2R \cos \frac{\pi}{8} - t)/2 > (tR \cos \frac{\pi}{8})/2 > \frac{1}{8}t,$$

and, moreover, there is a positive constant  $B_4$  independent of  $R$  and  $B_4$  such that

$$|\arg \zeta| \geq B_4 t.$$

Therefore,

$$M_R^{(2)} \leq \max \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{8B_1}{B_4 t^2} - \frac{B_2 \cos(\pi/4)}{t^2} \right) : 0 < t < \infty \right\}.$$

We conclude that if  $B_2 \geq 8B_1/(B_4 \cos(\pi/4))$ , then  $M_R^{(2)} \leq 1$ . Thus, (2.9) is true.

Fix any  $\zeta \in \text{int } S_1$ . Since

$$\text{int } S_1 \subset \bigcup_{1/2 < R < 1} S_R,$$

letting  $R \uparrow 1$  in (2.9), we get

$$|h_1(\zeta)| \leq B_3, \quad \zeta \in \text{int } S_1.$$

Hence, for  $\zeta \in \text{int } S_1$ ,

$$|h(\zeta)| = \left| h_1(\zeta) \exp \frac{B_2}{(1-\zeta)^2} \right| \leq B_3 \exp \frac{B_2}{(1-|\zeta|)^2},$$

i.e., (2.7) is true. For  $\zeta \in S \setminus \text{int } S_1$  we have  $|\arg \zeta| \geq B_5(1 - |\zeta|)$ , so that the validity of (2.7) is an immediate consequence of (2.6).

### 3. Some remarks

1°. One of the results of [10] mentioned in the Introduction is the following.

*Let  $f(z)$  be a power series (1.1) with an infinite radius of convergence. Suppose that there exists (i) a finite system of rays*

$$P = \bigcup_{j=1}^p \{z : \arg z = \alpha_j, 0 \leq |z| < \infty\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_p < 2\pi, \quad (3.1)$$

*and (ii) three pairwise different tails  $t_m(z), t_n(z), t_l(z)$ , such that all but finitely many zeros of  $t_m(z)t_n(z)t_l(z)$  lie on  $P$ . Then*

$$\log M(r, f) = O(r^{\pi/\gamma} \log r), \quad r \uparrow \infty, \quad (3.2)$$

*where  $\gamma = \min\{(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j) : 1 \leq j \leq p\}$  ( $\alpha_{p+1} = \alpha_1 + 2\pi$ ).*

This result can be refined by replacing three tails in (ii) by two tails,  $t_m(z)$  and  $t_n(z)$ , say. For the proof, it suffices to define  $q(z)$  by the equation

$$q(z) = \frac{t_n(z)}{s_m(z) - s_n(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

(but not by the equation on the last line on p. 1259 of [10]). The function  $q(z)$  defined in this way is meromorphic in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and all but finitely many roots of the equations (2.2) lie on the rays (3.1). The remaining part of the proof in [10] can be repeated with only trivial changes.

2°. As mentioned in [10], estimate (3.2) can be refined in the following way by using the Nevanlinna theory for an angle:

$$\log M(r, f) = O(r^{\pi/\gamma}), \quad r \uparrow \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

This remark remains valid if one replaces three tails by two tails.

3°. Estimate (1.2) does not depend on the system of radii mentioned in the theorem, meanwhile (3.2) and (3.3) depend on the system of rays (3.1). The example

$$f(z) = P(z) + z^{n+1} \cos z^{p/2}, \quad n, p \in \mathbf{N},$$

where  $P(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $< n + 1$ , and all zeros of  $t_n(z)$  and  $t_{n+1}(z)$  lie on the rays (3.1) with  $\alpha_j = 2(j-1)\pi/p$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,  $\gamma = 2\pi/p$ , shows that this dependence is essential and, moreover, (3.3) is sharp in the sense of order.

4°. The question as to whether (1.2) can be sharpened if there is a finite system of radii such that more than two different tails (e.g., all tails) have all but finitely many zeros situated on that system remains open. A result related to the case of an infinite radius of convergence was obtained in [10].

5°. If we assume that there exist two different tails,  $t_m(z)$  and  $t_n(z)$ , that have finitely many zeros in  $\mathbf{D}$ , then (1.2) can be improved; namely,

$$\log M(r, f) = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1. \quad (3.4)$$

The following example (due to A. M. Vishnyakova) shows that the bound (3.4) is also sharp in the sense of order:

$$f(z) = P(z) + z^{n+1} \exp \frac{2iz}{1+z^2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

where  $P(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $< n + 1$ . In this example, both  $t_m(z)$  and  $t_n(z)$  have only one multiple zero at  $z = 0$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ .

For the proof of (3.4), we observe that the function  $q(z)$  defined by (2.1) admits values 0 and 1 only finitely many times in  $\mathbf{D}$  and apply the Schottky theorem in the annulus  $\{z : \rho < |z| < 1\}$  where  $q(z)$  does not admit 0 and 1 at all.

I thank A. I. Il'inskii and A. M. Vishnyakova for their careful reading a preliminary version of this paper and for valuable remarks.

## References

- [1] J. D. Buckholtz, *A characterization of the exponential series*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **73** (1966), 121–123.
- [2] J. D. Buckholtz and J. K. Shaw, *Zeros of partial sums and remainders of power series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **166** (1972), 269–284.
- [3] J. Dieudonné, *Sur les zéros des polynômes-sections de  $e^x$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France, **70** (1935), 333–351.
- [4] A. Edrei, E. B. Saff, and R. S. Varga, *Zeros of sections of power series*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1002** (1983), 1–115.
- [5] W. H. J. Fuchs, *Topics in the theory of functions of one complex variable*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Princeton, N.J., **1967**.
- [6] T. Ganelius, *Sequences of analytic functions and their zeros*, Arkiv för Matematik, **3** (1953), 1–50.
- [7] T. Ganelius, *The zeros of partial sums of power series*, Duke Math. J., **30** (1963), 533–540.
- [8] G. W. Hedstrom and J. Korevaar, *The zeros of partial sums of certain small entire functions*, Duke Math. J., **30** (1963), 519–532.
- [9] P. Koosis, *The logarithmic integral, I*, Cambridge University Press, **1988**.
- [10] I. V. Ostrovskii, *Les séries de puissances dont les restes ont seulement des zéros non-positifs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I, **325** (1997), 1257–1262.
- [11] M. Pommiez, *Sur les restes successifs des séries de Taylor*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse, (4) **24** (1960), 77–165.
- [12] G. Szegő, *Über eine Eigenschaft der Exponentialreihe*, Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Math. Gessellschaft, **23** (1924), 50–64.
- [13] C. Y. Yildirim, *A sum over zeros of partial sums of  $e^x$* , J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., **6** (1991), 51–66.
- [14] C. Y. Yildirim, *On the tails of the exponential series*, Canad. Math. Bull., **37** (1994), 278–286.

Department of Mathematics,  
 Bilkent University,  
 06533 Bilkent, Ankara, Turkey,  
 and

B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering,  
 310164 Kharkov, Ukraine

*E-mail address:* iossif@fen.bilkent.edu.tr, ostrovskii@ilt.kharkov.ua