

Fibre products of hyperelliptic curves and geometric Goppa codes*

S. A. STEPANOV and F. ÖZBUDAK

Abstract — The purpose of this paper is to extend the results of the first author on construction of fairly long geometric Goppa codes over F_q ($q = p^v$ and $v > 1$ is even) with rather good parameters to the case of finite fields F_q consisting of $q = p^v$ elements, where $v > 1$ is an odd integer.

The work was supported by Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey.

The first author was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant 94-01-01206-a.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall the basic ideas of the Goppa construction (see [2, 3]) of the linear $[n, k, d]_q$ -codes associated with a smooth projective curve X of genus $g = g(X)$ defined over a finite field F_q . Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ be a set of F_q -rational points of X and

$$D_0 = P_1 + \dots + P_n.$$

Let D be a F_q -rational divisor on X whose support is disjoint with D_0 . We consider the vector F_q -space of rational functions on X

$$L(D) = \{f \in F_q(X)^* \mid (f) + D \geq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

and denote its dimension over F_q by $l(D)$. The linear $[n, k, d]$ -code $C = C(D_0, D)$ associated with the pair (D_0, D) is the image of the linear evaluation map

$$\text{Ev}: L(D) \rightarrow F_q^n, \quad f \mapsto (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)).$$

Such a q -ary linear code is called a geometric Goppa code. If $\deg D < n$, then the map Ev is an embedding, hence $k = \dim C = l(D)$ and by the Riemann–Roch theorem

$$k \geq \deg D - g + 1;$$

in particular, if $2g - 2 < \deg D < n$, then

$$k = \deg D - g + 1.$$

Moreover, we have

$$d \geq n - \deg D.$$

*UDC 519.72. Originally published in *Diskretnaya Matematika* (1997) 9, No. 3 (in Russian).
 Received August 13, 1996. Translated by the authors.

Theorem 1. Let $v > 1$ be an odd number, F_q be a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$ consisting of $q = p^v$ elements, and let s be an integer such that

$$1 \leq s < \frac{2p^v + 4}{p^{(v-1)/2}(p+1) - 2}.$$

Moreover, let r be an integer such that

$$2^{s-2}((p^{(v-1)/2}(p+1) - 2)s - 4) < r < 2^s p^v.$$

Then there exists a linear $[n, k, d]_q$ -code with parameters

$$\begin{aligned} r < n \leq 2^s p^v, \\ k &= r - 2^{s-2}((p^{(v-1)/2}(p+1) - 2)s - 4), \\ d &\geq n - r. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, there exists a linear $[n, k, d]_q$ -code with the relative parameters $R = k/n$ and $\delta = d/n$ such that

$$R \geq 1 - \delta - \frac{2^{s-2}((p^{(v-1)/2}(p+1) - 2)s - 4)}{n}.$$

In particular, for $n = 2^s p^v$ we have

$$R \geq 1 - \delta - \frac{(p^{(v-1)/2}(p+1) - 2)s - 4}{4p^v}.$$

2. NOTATION AND LEMMAS

Let \bar{F}_q be an algebraic closure of the field F_q and A^{s+1} be the $(s+1)$ -dimensional affine space over \bar{F}_q .

Lemma 1. Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s \in F_q[x]$ be pairwise coprime square-free monic polynomials of the same degree $m \geq 3$ and Y be the fibre product in A^{s+1} given over $F_q[x]$ defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^2 &= f_1(x), \\ z_2^2 &= f_2(x), \\ &\dots \\ z_s^2 &= f_s(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then the genus $g = g(X)$ of the smooth projective model X of the curve Y is

$$g = \begin{cases} (ms - 3)2^{s-2} + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ (ms - 4)2^{s-2} + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let I be the ideal of the curve Y in $\bar{F}_q[x, z_1, \dots, z_s]$ and \bar{Y} be the projective closure of Y in P^{s+1} . The homogeneous ideal of \bar{Y} in $\bar{F}_q[x_0, x, z_1, \dots, z_s]$ has the form $I_h = \{f_h \mid f \in I\}$, where f_h is the homogenization of f , i.e.,

$$f_h(x_0, x, z_1, \dots, z_s) = f(x/x_0, z_1/x_0, \dots, z_s/x_0)x_0^{\deg f}.$$

Thus, $\bar{Y} = Y \cup \{(0, 0, \pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)\}$ as a set, and the curve \bar{Y} is singular at the 2^{s-1} points $P_i \in \{(0, 0, 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)\}$ in general.

Let X be a normalization of \bar{Y} which in the same time is a non-singular model of \bar{Y} (see, for example [3], Chapter 2, 5.3). There exists a finite morphism (regular map) $\varphi_1: X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ and a composition of φ_1 with φ_2 , where $\varphi_2: \bar{Y} \rightarrow P^1$ via $(x_0, x, z_1, \dots, z_s) \mapsto (x_0, x)$ gives a morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow P^1$ of degree 2^s (see, for example [3], Chapter 2, 3.1). Since \bar{Y} has 2^{s-1} points P_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2^{s-1}$, at the hypersurface $x_0 = 0$, the set $\varphi^{-1}(0, 1)$ consists of 2^s or 2^{s-1} points $\{Q_i\} \subseteq X$.

Let $\Omega[\bar{Y}]$ be the space of regular differential forms on \bar{Y} . The space $\Omega[\bar{Y}]$, considered as a $\bar{F}_q[x, z_1, \dots, z_s]$ -module, is generated by dx and dz_i , $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since $z_i^2 = f_i(x)$, the space $\Omega[\bar{Y}]$, considered as a $\bar{F}_q[x]$ -module, is generated by dx and $dx/(z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_\sigma})$, where $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\sigma \leq s$. Next, since φ_1 is a morphism, the space $\Omega[X]$ is a submodule of $\Omega[\bar{Y}]$, hence any differential form $\omega \in \Omega[X]$ has one of the form

$$\omega = F(x)dx, \quad \omega = \frac{F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x)dx}{z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_\sigma}}$$

with $F, F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma} \in \bar{F}_q[x]$. Thus, any regular differential form in $\Omega[\bar{Y}]$ is regular at any point of X , possibly except $Q_i \in \varphi^{-1}(0, 1)$.

Let x be the coordinate on P^1 , then $u = x^{-1}$ is a local parameter at the point $(0, 1)$ at infinity. Since x is a rational function on P^1 , it defines the divisor $(x) \in \text{Div}(P^1)$. Denoting $\varphi^{-1}(x) \in \bar{F}_q(X)$ by x and its divisor by (x) again, we get the pull-back divisor $(x) \in \text{Div}(X)$.

Since $\varphi^{-1}(0, 1)$ consists of 2^s or 2^{s-1} points Q_i , we have $v_{Q_i}(u) = 1$ or $v_{Q_i}(u) = 2$, therefore $v_{Q_i}(x) = -1$ or $v_{Q_i}(x) = -2$. If $F(x)$ is a regular function on X , we have $v_{Q_i}(F(x)dx) = -(\deg F(x) + 2)$ or $-(2 \deg F(x) + 3)$ respectively. Thus, $F(x)dx \notin \Omega[X]$ for any $F(x) \in \bar{F}_q[X]$.

If m is even, then there are two cases:

- (1) $v_{Q_i}(x) = -1$ and $v_{Q_i}(z_j) = -m/2$ for any $j = 1, \dots, s$,
- (2) $v_{Q_i}(x) = -2$ and $v_{Q_i}(z_j) = -m$ for any $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Since

$$v_{Q_i} \left(\frac{F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x)dx}{z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_\sigma}} \right) = v_{Q_i}(x) \deg F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) + (v_{Q_i}(x) - 1) - \sigma v_{Q_i}(z_j)$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, s$, we have

$$v_{Q_i} \left(\frac{F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x)dx}{z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_\sigma}} \right) = \frac{m\sigma}{2} - \deg F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) - 2,$$

or

$$v_{Q_i} \left(\frac{F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) dx}{z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_\sigma}} \right) = m\sigma - 2 \deg F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) - 3$$

respectively. Thus,

$$\frac{F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) dx}{z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_\sigma}} \in \Omega[X]$$

if and only if

$$\deg F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) \leq \frac{m\sigma}{2} - 2,$$

or

$$\deg F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) \leq \frac{m\sigma}{2} - \frac{3}{2}$$

respectively. Since m is even the second inequality is equivalent to the first one.

If m is odd and $v_{Q_i}(x) = -1$, then $v_{Q_i}(z_j^2) = 2v_{Q_i}(z_j) = -m$ and we arrive at a contradiction. Thus, only one case is possible, where $v_{Q_i}(x) = -2$. In this case,

$$\frac{F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) dx}{z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_\sigma}} \in \Omega[X]$$

if only

$$\deg F_{i_1, \dots, i_\sigma}(x) \leq \begin{cases} (m\sigma - 4)/2 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is even,} \\ (m\sigma - 3)/2 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since X is non-singular, we have

$$g = \dim_{F_q} \Omega[X].$$

Thus, if m is even, then

$$g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2, \dots, < i_\sigma \leq s} (m\sigma - 2) = (ms - 4)2^{s-2} + 1,$$

and if m is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2, \dots, < i_\sigma \leq s} (m\sigma - 2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2, \dots, < i_\sigma \leq s} (m\sigma - 1) \\ &= (ms - 3)2^{s-2} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is taken over odd σ only.

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2. Let $v > 1$ be an odd number, F_q be a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$ with $q = p^v$ elements, and let $f \in F_q[x]$ be the polynomial

$$f(x) = (x + x^{p^{(v-1)/2}})(x + x^{p^{(v+1)/2}}).$$

If c is a non-zero element of F_q , then the polynomials $f(x)$ and $f(x + c)$ are relatively prime.

Proof. Let $\mu = (v-1)/2$ and $f'(x) = x^{\mu} + x$, $f''(x) = x^{\mu+1} + x$ so that $f'(x)f''(x) = f(x)$. We shall prove that $(f'(x), f'(x+c)) = (1)$ and $(f'(x), f''(x+c)) = (1)$ for any $c \in F_{p^v}^*$. This will imply that $(f(x), f(x+c)) = (1)$ for any $c \in F_{p^v}^*$.

Observe that the principal ideal I' generated by $f'(x)$ and $f'(x+c)$ is equal to

$$I' = (x^{\mu} + x, x^{\mu} + x + c^{\mu} + c).$$

The equation

$$\alpha^{\mu} + \alpha = 0 \quad (1)$$

has no solution in $F_{p^v}^*$. Otherwise $\alpha^{\mu-1} = -1$. Then $\alpha^{p^{2\mu}-1} = 1$, since p is odd and hence $2 \mid (p^{\mu} + 1)$. Thus, $\alpha \in F_{p^{\gcd(2\mu+1, 2\mu)}} = F_p$. This implies that $\alpha^{\mu-1} = 1 \neq -1$, and we obtain a contradiction.

Observe (using the Euclidean algorithm) that if $k, l, k \geq l$, are positive integers and $c \in F_{p^v}$, then the principal ideal $(x^k + x + c, x^l + x)$ in $F_{p^v}[x]$ satisfies the relation

$$(x^k + x + c, x^l + x) = (x^l + x, -x^{k-l+1} + x + c).$$

Similarly,

$$(-x^k + x + c, x^l + x) = (x^l + x, x^{k-l+1} + x + c).$$

Combining these relations, we find that if $k \geq 2l - 1$ and k, l are positive integers, then

$$(x^k + x + c, x^l + x) = (x^l + x, x^{k-2l+2} + x + c).$$

By induction, if $l \mid k$ and $c \in F_{p^v}^*$, then

$$(x^k + x + c, x^l + x) = (x^l + x, (-1)^{k/l} x^{k/l} + x + c).$$

Applying this relation for $k = p^{\mu+1}$ and $l = p^{\mu}$, we find for the ideal $I'' = (f''(x+c), f'(x))$ that

$$I'' = (x^{\mu} + x, -x^p + x + c^{\mu+1} + c).$$

Now we observe that $(g'(x), g''(x)) \supset (g'(x), (g''(x))^n)$ for any $h', h'' \in F_{p^v}[x]$. Therefore

$$I'' \supset J = (x^{\mu} + x, -x^{\mu+1} + x^{\mu} + \gamma^{\mu+1} + \gamma)$$

where $\gamma = c^{\mu}$. We can simplify the generators of J as

$$\begin{aligned} J &= (x^{\mu} + x, -x^{\mu+1} - x + \gamma^{\mu+1} + \gamma) \\ &= (x^{\mu} + x, x^{\mu+1} + x - \gamma^{\mu+1} - \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that

$$c^{\mu+1} + c \neq -\gamma^{\mu+1} - \gamma. \quad (2)$$

Since $\gamma = c^{p^\mu}$ and $c^{p^\nu} = c \in F_{p^\nu}^*$, we can rewrite the inequality (2) in the form

$$c^{p^{\mu+1}} + c^{p^\mu} + 2c \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

The equation

$$\beta^{p^{\mu+1}} + \beta^{p^\mu} + 2\beta = 0 \quad (4)$$

has no solution in $F_{p^\nu}^*$. Indeed, raising both sides of (4) to the p^μ th power, we obtain

$$\beta + \beta^{p^{2\mu}} + \beta^{p^\mu} + \beta^{p^\mu} = (\beta^{p^\mu} + \beta)^{p^\mu} + (\beta^{p^\mu} + \beta) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Since (1) has no non-zero solution, the last equation also has no solution in $F_{p^\nu}^*$.

Now since $f''(x+c) = x^{p^{\mu+1}} + x + c^{p^{\mu+1}} + c \in I''$, $x^{p^{\mu+1}} + x - \gamma^{p^{\mu+1}} - \gamma \in J \subseteq I''$, and

$$c^{p^{\mu+1}} + c \neq -\gamma^{p^{\mu+1}} - \gamma,$$

we conclude that $I'' = (1)$.

By symmetry $(f''(x), f''(x+c)) = (1)$, and $(f''(x), f'(x+c)) = (1)$. Using the uniqueness of factorization in $F_{p^\nu}[x]$, we find that

$$(f'(x), f'(x+c)f''(x+c)) = (1), \quad (f''(x), f'(x+c)f''(x+c)) = (1),$$

and hence $(f(x), f(x+c)) = (1)$.

Let $\theta: F_q \rightarrow F_q$ be the Frobenius automorphism of F_q over F_p , namely, $\theta(x) = x^p$. Let χ be a multiplicative character of F_p . We denote by χ_ν the character of F_q induced by χ :

$$\chi_\nu(x) = \chi(\text{norm}_\nu(x)), \quad x \in F_q,$$

where

$$\text{norm}_\nu(x) = x\theta(x)\dots\theta^{\nu-1}(x) = xx^p\dots x^{p^{\nu-1}}.$$

It is easy to see that if p and ν are odd numbers, χ_ν is induced by a non-trivial quadratic character of F_p , and $f(x) = (x + x^{p^{(\nu-1)/2}})(x + x^{p^{(\nu+1)/2}})$, then (see [1], Lemma 2)

$$\chi_\nu(f(x)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in F_q^*, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{f(x)}{x^2} = (1 + x^{p^{(\nu-1)/2-1}})(1 + x^{p^{(\nu+1)/2-1}}).$$

Then $\chi_\nu(\tilde{f}(x)) = 1$ for all $x \in F_q$, and we have the following result.

Lemma 3. Let $v > 1$ be an odd integer, F_q be a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$ with $q = p^v$ elements, c_1, \dots, c_s be distinct elements of F_q , and let N_q be the number of F_q -rational points of the affine curve Y defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^2 &= f_1(x) = \tilde{f}(x + c_1), \\ z_2^2 &= f_2(x) = \tilde{f}(x + c_2), \\ &\dots \\ z_s^2 &= f_s(x) = \tilde{f}(x + c_s). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$N_q = 2^s q.$$

Proof. Since $\chi_v(f_i(x)) = \chi_v(\tilde{f}(x + c_i)) = 1$ for all $x \in F_{p^v}$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_q &= \sum_{x \in F_{p^v}} (1 + \chi_v(f_1(x))) \dots (1 + \chi_v(f_s(x))) \\ &= \sum_{x \in F_{p^v}} 2^s = 2^s p^v. \end{aligned}$$

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We consider the affine curve

$$Y : z_i^2 = f_i(x) = \tilde{f}(x + c_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

where c_1, \dots, c_s are distinct elements of F_q . The number of F_q -rational points of Y is $N_q = 2^s q$ by Lemma 3. The curve Y satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1, so the genus $g = g(X)$ of its smooth projective model X is

$$g = 2^{s-2}((p^{(v-1)/2}(p+1) - 2)s - 4) + 1.$$

Let S be the set of rational points on Y and $S_1 \subset S$ be a subset of S . Applying Goppa's construction to

$$D_0 = \sum_{P \in S_1} P$$

and

$$D = rP_\infty,$$

where $r < \deg D_0 = |S_1|$ and P_∞ is the point of X corresponding to the point at infinity of the projectivization \bar{Y} of the affine curve Y , we get $r < n \leq 2^s p^v$, $k \geq r + 1 - g$, $d \geq n - r$. Since in our case $2g - 2 < r = \deg D < n$, we obtain $k = r + 1 - g$.

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Stepanov, Codes on fibre products of hyperelliptic curves. *Discrete Math. Appl.* (1997) **7**, 77–88.
2. V. G. Goppa, Codes on algebraic curves, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* (1981) **24**, 170–172.
3. I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, Berlin, 1994.